

Advanced Machine Learning

Silvan Stadelmann - 29. Oktober 2025 - v0.0.1

github.com/silvasta/summary-aml



Contents

Representation	2
1 Learning objectives	2
2 Expected risk	2
3 Empirical risk	2
4 Empirical test error and expected risk	2
5 Comparing algorithm performance on test data	2
6 Data	2
6.1 Feature space	2
6.2 Example of Data	3
7 Mathematical Spaces	3
Regression	3
8 Linear Regression	3
9 Gauss Markov Theorem	3
10 Bias/Variance Dilemma	3
11 Bayesian Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimates	3
11.1 Ridge Regression	3
11.2 LASSO	3
11.3 Ridge vs. LASSO Estimation	3
12 Remarks on Shrinkage Methods	3
13 Model averaging is common practice	3
14 Combining Regressors - Bias	4
15 Combining Regressors - Variance	4
16 Ensemble Learning	4
17 Induction Principles for Classifier Selection	4

18 Motivation for Ensemble Methods	4
19 Weak Learners Used for Bagging or Boosting	4
20 Loss functions for classification	4
21 Learning Objectives	4
22 Gaussian Processes	5
22.1 Bayesian linear regression	5
22.2 Moments of Bayesian linear regression	5
23 Gaussian processes	5
23.1 Recall	5
23.2 Gram matrix	5
23.3 Examples of kernel functions	5
23.4 Kernel engineering by composition	5
23.5 Prediction by Gaussian processes	5
23.6 Prediction by Gaussian processes	5
23.7 Kernel validation	5

24 Controller Optimization for Robust Control	5
24.1 Gaussian processes for Control	6

Support Vector Machines

Neural Networks

Transformer

Exercises

25 Problem 1 - Regression

Representation

1 Learning objectives

Estimation of Dependences Based on Empirical Data

What is the learning problem?

$$y = f_{\theta}(x) + \eta \quad \text{with} \quad \nu \sim P(\eta|0, \sigma^2)$$

2 Expected risk

- Conditional expected risk

- Total expected risk

3 Empirical risk

- Test and Train Data

Test data cannot be used before the final estimator has been selected!

Training error $\hat{R}(f_n, \mathcal{Z}^{\text{train}})$ for Empirical Risk Minimizer (ERM)
 \hat{f}_n

4 Empirical test error and expected risk

Distinguish

5 Comparing algorithm performance on test data

6 Data

6.1 Feature space

- Measurement space \mathcal{X}

+ numerical $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$

+ boolean $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{B}$

+ categorial $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, k\}$

Features are derived quantities or indirect observations which often significantly compress the information content of measurements.

Remark The selection of a specific feature space predetermines the metric to compare data; this choice is the first significant design decision in a machine learning system.

Taxonomy of Data

6.2 Example of Data

- monadic data
- dyadic data
- pairwise data
- polyadic data

7 Mathematical Spaces

- Topological spaces
- Metric space
- Euclidean vector spaces
- Probability Spaces

Regression

8 Linear Regression

- Statistical model

$$Y = X^T \beta. \quad Y \in \mathbb{R}. \quad X. \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$$

- Residual Sum of Squares (RSS)

$$\begin{aligned} RSS(\beta) &= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) \\ \hat{\beta} &= (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} \end{aligned}$$

9 Gauss Markov Theorem

10 Bias/Variance Dilemma

- Tradeoff, split Error
- Identify error components

11 Bayesian Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) estimates

11.1 Ridge Regression

- Cost function
- Bayesian view
- Solution

Tikhonov regularization

11.2 LASSO

- Cost function
- Bayesian view
- Solution

11.3 Ridge vs. LASSO Estimation

12 Remarks on Shrinkage Methods

- Generalized Ridge Regression

Idea behind shrinkage When white noise is added to the data then all Fourier coefficients are increased by a constant on average. ☐ Shrink all coefficients by the estimated noise amount to derive a robust predictor.

13 Model averaging is common practice

- Previous: Gaussian process motivated by Bayesian linear regression.

- Seldom: take MAP estimator in Bayesian setting.
- Bayesian approach: average models with different parameters (weighted according to prior).
- Cross validation: Take average over models trained on different folds.
- Winners of most Machine Learning competitions (e.g. on Kaggle): ensembles (weighted averages of models).

14 Combining Regressors - Bias

TODO: formula

15 Combining Regressors - Variance

TODO: formula

16 Ensemble Learning

The idea of classifier ensembles Boosting is an approach to machine learning based on the idea of creating a highly accurate prediction rule by combining many relatively weak and inaccurate rules.

- Computational advantage
- Statistical advantage

17 Induction Principles for Classifier Selection

I) Empirical Risk Minimization (ERM) Principle

II) Bayesian inference by model averaging

18 Motivation for Ensemble Methods

- Train several sufficiently diverse predictors
- Bagging
- Arcing
- Boosting

19 Weak Learners Used for Bagging or Boosting

Combining Classifiers

Bagging Classifiers

Classifier selection: First compare, then bag!

Bagging: The Mechanism

Decision Trees

Random Forests

The Idea of Boosting

AdaBoost

Data Reweighting

Boosted Classifier

Comparison of ensemble methods

20 Loss functions for classification

21 Learning Objectives

- To motivate, understand, and design Gaussian processes.
- To be able to analytically derive procedures for making predictions with Gaussian processes.
- To analytically compute conditionals, marginals, and posteriors of Gaussians.
- To formulate and understand kernels.
- To be able to use kernel engineering to design new kernels.
- To be able to make a formal connection between Gaussian

processes and Bayesian linear regression.

22 Gaussian Processes

22.1 Bayesian linear regression

multiple linear regression model

$$Y = X^T \beta + \epsilon \quad \text{Gaussian Noise } \epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\epsilon | 0, \sigma^2)$$

$$p(Y|X, \beta, \sigma) = \mathcal{N}(Y|X^T \beta, \sigma^2) \propto e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(Y - X^T \beta)^2}$$

Bayesian linear regression extends multiple linear regression by defining a prior over the regression coefficients, for example (ridge regression)

- Model inversion

22.2 Moments of Bayesian linear regression

Setting

Expected Value

Covariance

23 Gaussian processes

Moments of joint Gaussian:

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}(Y | 0, k_{i,j} + \sigma^2 \text{ if } i = j)$$

with $k_{i,j}$ kernel function

Gaussian Processes as “kernelized linear regression”

- Kernel functions specify the similarity between any two data points.

23.1 Recall

Kernel properties:

- Symmetry
- Positive semi-definit

23.2 Gram matrix

Must be positive semi-definit

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_1, x_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ k(x_n, x_1) & \cdots & k(x_n, x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

23.3 Examples of kernel functions

Linear kernel: $k(x, x_0) = x^T x_0$

Polynomial kernel: $k(x, x_0) = (x^T x_0 + 1)^p$, for $p \in \mathbb{N}$

Gaussian (RBF) kernel: $k(x, x_0) = \exp(-\kappa \|x - x_0\|^2 / h^2)$

Sigmoid (tanh) kernel: $k(x, x_0) = \tanh(\kappa x^T x_0 - b)$

Different kernels have different **invariance properties!**

For example, invariance to **rotation** or **translation**.

23.4 Kernel engineering by composition

Addition: Multiplication: Scaling: Composition:

23.5 Prediction by Gaussian processes

Predictive density $p(y_{n+1}|x_{n+1}, X, y)$

Reminder: Conditional Gaussian Distributions

23.6 Prediction by Gaussian processes

23.7 Kernel validation

Goal: Validate hyperparameters of kernels by random splits D

24 Controller Optimization for Robust Control

Machine Learning in Control Systems

Machine learning techniques are becoming more and more im-

portant for enabling computers to control complex and stochastic systems and predict the outcomes of such systems.

24.1 Gaussian processes for Control

A **Fundamental problem** when designing controllers for dynamic systems is the estimation of the controller parameters. Besides pure statistical performance, robustness arises as an important design issue.

The **classical approach** selects a model of the system to design an initial controller; parameters are then tuned manually to achieve best performance.

An **alternative approach** uses methods from machine learning to optimize statistical performance, e.g., Bayesian optimization.

Safety-critical system failures may happen because these methods evaluate different controller parameters.

24.2 Safe optimization

Overcome safety-critical system failures by using a specialized optimization algorithm for automatic controller parameter tuning. This algorithm models the underlying performance measure as a GP and only explores new controller parameters whose performance lies above a safe performance threshold with high probability.

Support Vector Machines

Neural Networks

Transformer

Exercises

25 Problem 1 - Regression

- Linear Regression
- Ridge Regression
- Noisy Regression

E1.2.c - An Engineer's rule of thumb is to choose K as $\min \sqrt{n}, 10$

- Overfitting
- Cross Validation
- Generative vs. Discriminative Modeling