# IOE 611: Homework 2

Yulun Zhuang

October 8, 2024

# Problem 1

Inverse of an increasing convex function. Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is increasing and convex on its domain (a,b). Let g denote its inverse, i.e., the function with domain (f(a),f(b)) and g(f(x))=x for a < x < b. What can you say about convexity or concavity of g?

*Proof.* Since g and f are both differentiable, by the chain rule we have

$$g(f(x)) = x$$

$$g'(f(x))f'(x) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow g'(f(x)) = \frac{1}{f'(x)} > 0$$

$$g''(f(x))f'(x)^{2} + g'(f(x))f''(x) = 0$$

$$g''(f(x))f'(x)^{2} + \frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g''(f(x)) = -\frac{f''(x)}{f'(x)^{3}} < 0$$

Since f(x) is increasing, f'(x) > 0, such that g' > 0. Also because f(x) is convex,  $f''(x) >= 0, \forall x \in \text{dom } f$ , such that g'' <= 0.

Thus, g is concave.

# Problem 2

A family of concave utility functions. For  $0 < \alpha \le 1$  let

$$u_{\alpha}(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha}$$

with  $\operatorname{dom} u_{\alpha} = \mathbb{R}_{+}$ . We also define  $u_{0}(x) = \log x$  (with  $\operatorname{dom} u_{0} = \mathbb{R}_{++}$ ).

(a) Show that for x > 0,  $u_0(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} u_{\alpha}(x)$ .

*Proof.* Since at  $\lim_{\alpha\to 0} u_{\alpha}(x)$ , both numerator and denominator go to zero, by the L'Hopital's rule, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \to 0} u_{\alpha}(x) = \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}(x^{\alpha} - 1)}{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\alpha}\alpha}$$
$$= \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \frac{x^{\alpha} \log(x)}{1}$$
$$= \log(x)$$

(b) Show that  $u_{\alpha}$  are concave, monotone increasing, and all satisfy  $u_{\alpha}(1) = 0$ .

*Proof.* For  $\alpha \in (0,1]$ 

$$u_{\alpha}(1) = \frac{1^{\alpha} - 1}{\alpha} = 0$$

$$u'_{\alpha}(x) = x^{\alpha - 1} \ge 0 \Rightarrow \text{monotone increasing}$$

$$u''_{\alpha}(x) = (\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha - 2} \le 0 \Rightarrow \text{concave}$$

For each of the following functions determine whether it is convex, concave, quasiconvex, or quasiconcave.

(a) 
$$f(x) = e^x - 1$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}$ 

Solution.

$$f'(x) = e^x > 0 \Rightarrow \text{monotonic} \Rightarrow \text{quasilinear}$$
  
 $f''(x) = e^x > 0 \Rightarrow \text{convex}, \text{ not concave}$ 

(b)  $f(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ .

Solution.

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{ indefinite} \Rightarrow \text{ not convex or concave}$$

The superlevel sets  $\{x_1, x_2 \mid x_1x_2 \geq \alpha\}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{++}$  are convex, so f is quasiconcave but not quasiconvex.

(c) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = 1/(x_1 x_2)$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ .

Solution.

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2} \\ -\frac{1}{x_1 x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{x_1^3 x_2} & \frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2^2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1^2 x_2^2} & \frac{2}{x_1 x_2^3} \end{bmatrix} \succ 0$$

⇒ convex, not concave; quasiconvex, not quasiconcave

(d) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1/x_2$$
 on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ .

Solution.

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_2} \\ -\frac{x_1}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{x_2^2} \\ -\frac{1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1}{x_2^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  indefinite  $\Rightarrow$  not convex or concave

The sublevel sets of both f and -f are convex, so it is quasilinear.

(e) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2/x_2$$
 on  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++}$ .

Solution.

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2x_1}{x_2} \\ -\frac{x_1^2}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{x_2} & -\frac{2x_1}{x_2^2} \\ -\frac{2x_1}{x_2^2} & \frac{2x_1^2}{x_2^3} \end{bmatrix}$$

The leading principal minors of  $\nabla^2 f$  are one positive and one zero, so  $\nabla^2 f$  is positive semidefinite and f is convex and quasiconvex, but not concave and quasiconcave.

(f) 
$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{1-\alpha}$$
, where  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ , on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{++}$ .

Solution.

$$\nabla f = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{1 - \alpha} \\ (1 - \alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(\alpha - 1) x_1^{\alpha - 2} x_2^{1 - \alpha} & \alpha(1 - \alpha) x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{-\alpha} \\ \alpha(1 - \alpha) x_1^{\alpha - 1} x_2^{-\alpha} & -\alpha(1 - \alpha) x_1^{\alpha} x_2^{-\alpha - 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \alpha(1 - \alpha) x^{\alpha} x^{1 - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{x_1^2} & \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} \\ \frac{1}{x_1 x_2} & -\frac{1}{x_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= -\alpha(1 - \alpha) x^{\alpha} x^{1 - \alpha} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} \\ -\frac{1}{x_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{x_1} \\ -\frac{1}{x_2} \end{bmatrix}^{\top} \preceq 0$$

⇒ concave, not convex; quasiconcave, not quasiconvex

Adapt the proof of concavity of the log-determinant function to show the following.

(a) 
$$f(X) = \operatorname{tr}(X^{-1})$$
 is convex on  $\operatorname{dom} f = \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$ .

*Proof.* Define g(t) = f(Z + tV) where  $Z \succ 0$  and  $V \in \mathbb{S}^n$ .

$$g(t) = f(Z + tV)$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} ((Z + tV)^{-1})$$

$$= \operatorname{tr} \left( Z^{-1} \left( I + tZ^{-\frac{1}{2}} V Z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right)^{-1} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{ii}^{-1} (1 + t\lambda_i)^{-1}$$

where  $\lambda_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are eigenvalues of  $Z^{-\frac{1}{2}}VZ^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

We have  $Z_{ii}^{-1} > 0$  because the inverse of a positive definite matrix is also positive definite. g(t) is convex since it is a positive weighted sum of convex functions  $(1+t\lambda_i)^{-1}$ . Thus, f(X) is convex.  $\square$ 

(b) 
$$f(X) = (\det X)^{1/n}$$
 is concave on  $\operatorname{dom} f = \mathbb{S}_{++}^n$ .

*Proof.* Define g(t) = f(Z + tV) where  $Z \succ 0$  and  $V \in \mathbb{S}^n$ .

$$g(t) = (\det(Z + tV))^{1/n}$$

$$= \left(\det Z^{1/2} \det \left(I + tZ^{-1/2}VZ^{-1/2}\right) \det Z^{1/2}\right)^{1/n}$$

$$= (\det Z)^{1/n} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + t\lambda_i)\right)^{1/n}$$

where  $\lambda_i, i = 1, ..., n$  are eigenvalues of  $Z^{-\frac{1}{2}}VZ^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

g(t) is concave since  $\det Z > 0$  and the geometric mean  $(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i)^{1/n}$  is concave on  $\mathbb{R}^n_{++}$ . Thus f(X) is concave.

Perspective of a function.

(a) Show that for p > 1,

$$f(x,t) = \frac{|x_1|^p + \dots + |x_n|^p}{t^{p-1}} = \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}}$$

is convex on  $\{(x,t) \mid t > 0\}$ 

*Proof.* Define  $g(x) = ||x||_p^p$ ,  $\operatorname{dom} g = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  which is convex. The perspective function of g(x) on  $\{(x,t) \mid x/t \in \operatorname{dom} g, t > 0\}$  is

$$tg(x/t) = t \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^p} = \frac{\|x\|_p^p}{t^{p-1}} = f(x,t)$$

Thus, f(x,t),  $\operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f = \{(x,t) \mid t > 0\}$  is the perspective function of a convex function  $||x||_p^p$ , so it is convex.

(b) Show that

$$f(x) = \frac{\|Ax + b\|_2^2}{c^{\top}x + d}$$

is convex on  $\{x \mid c^{\top}x + d > 0\}$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m, c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $g(x) = ||Ax + b||_2^2$ ,  $\operatorname{dom} g = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , then  $\nabla^2 g(x) = 2A^{\top}A \succeq 0$ , which implies g is convex.

Therefore, the perspective function

$$h(x,t) = \frac{\|Ax + \|_2^2}{t}, \quad \mathbf{dom} \, h = \{(x,t) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t > 0\}$$

is also convex. Since  $f(x) = h(x, c^{T}x + d)$ ,  $\operatorname{dom} f = \{x \mid c^{T}x + d > 0\}$  is a composition of an affine function and a convex function, then it is also a convex function.

## Problem 6

Subgradient. A vector  $g \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is a subgradient of function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  at point  $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$  if

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + g^{\top}(y - x)$$

for any  $y \in \operatorname{dom} f$ .

(a) Suppose f is a convex function, and  $x \in \mathbf{int} \operatorname{dom} f$ . Prove that there exists a subgradient of f at x.

*Proof.* Since f is convex,  $\operatorname{\mathbf{epi}} f = \{(x,t) \mid f(x) \leq t, x \in \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f\}$  is a convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Therefore, for any  $x_0 \in \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f$ ,  $(x_0, f(x_0))$  is on the boundary of  $\operatorname{\mathbf{epi}} f$ , thus there exists a supporting hyperplane on  $x_0$ , i.e.  $\exists (a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  nonzero, such that for any  $(x,t) \in \operatorname{\mathbf{epi}} f$ ,

$$a^{\top}x + bt \ge a^{\top}x_0 + bf(x_0)$$

If b = 0, then  $a \neq 0$ . We have  $a^{\top}x \geq a^{\top}x_0$  for all  $x \in \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f$ . However, since  $x_0 \in \operatorname{\mathbf{int}} \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $B(x_0, \epsilon) \subseteq \operatorname{\mathbf{dom}} f$ . Consider  $x = x_0 - \epsilon a$ , we have

$$a^{\top}x = a^{\top}x_0 - \epsilon ||a||_2^2 < a^{\top}x_0$$

which violates the inequality.

If b < 0, then t can be arbitrarily large, such that  $a^{T}x + bt$  is arbitrarily small which will violate the inequality.

Therefore, b has to be positive. Let x = y, t = f(y), we have

$$a^{\top}y + bf(y) \ge a^{\top}x_0 + bf(x_0)$$
  
 $bf(y) \ge bf(x_0) + a^{\top}(x_0 - y)$   
 $f(y) \ge f(x_0) + \frac{-a^{\top}}{b}(y - x_0)$ 

where  $g = -a^{\top}/b$  is the subgradient of f at  $x_0$ .

(b) Suppose  $x \in \operatorname{dom} f$ , but is not an interior point of the domain. Does there (always) exist a subgradient of f at x?

*Proof.* Not always.

Consider a convex function f(x),  $\operatorname{dom} f = (-\infty, 1]$ 

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x < 1\\ 1, & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

However, for any  $g \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $y = 1 - \frac{1}{|g|} < 1, f(y) = 0$ , then

$$f(1) + g(y - 1) = 1 - \frac{g}{|g|}$$
  
  $\ge 0 = f(y)$ 

which indicates subgradient function may not exist at boundaries.

## Problem 7

Show that the so-called logarithmic barrier for the second order cone,  $f(x,t) = -log(t^2 - x^{\top}x)$  with  $\operatorname{dom} f = \{(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} | t > \|x\|_2\}$ , is convex. While this can be done by demonstrating that the Hessian of f is positive semidefinite everywhere on its domain, the following is an outline of a much simpler and more elegant proof:

(a) Show that  $t - \frac{u^{\top}u}{t}$  is a concave function on **dom** f.

Proof. Let  $g(u) = u^{\top}u$ ,  $\operatorname{dom} g = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ , which is convex, hence  $h(u,t) = u^{\top}u/t$ ,  $\operatorname{dom} h = \{(u,t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid u/t \in \operatorname{dom} g, t > \|u\|_2\}$  is the perspective of g(u) so it is convex. Note that  $-\frac{u^{\top}u}{t}$  is concave and  $t - \frac{u^{\top}u}{t} > 0$  is a combination of an affine function and a concave function so it is also concave.

(b) Show that  $-\log(t - \frac{u^{\top}u}{t})$  is a convex function on **dom** f.

*Proof.* Since the negative logarithm is convex, its extended-value extension is non-increasing. By the composition rules, given h(u,t) is concave,  $-\log(h(u,t))$  is convex.

(c) Show that f is convex.

Proof.

$$f(x,t) = -\log(t^2 - x^{\top}x)$$
$$= -\log(t \cdot h(x,t))$$
$$= -\log(t) - \log(h(x,t))$$

which is a sum of two convex function and thus also convex on  $\operatorname{dom} f$ .

Suppose that  $f(x): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is a twice differentiable function (but not necessarily convex). Show that if  $\bar{x}$  is a local minimum of f(x), then we must have  $\nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Given  $\bar{x}$  is a local minimum of f(x), there exists  $\epsilon > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \neq x$ , such that  $f(y) \geq f(\bar{x})$  for  $||y - \bar{x}|| \leq \epsilon$ .

Since f(x) is twice differentiable, expand  $f(y) = f(\bar{x}) + \nabla f(\bar{x})(y - \bar{x}) \ge f(\bar{x})$ , i.e.

$$\nabla f(\bar{x})(y - \bar{x}) \ge 0$$

Choose  $y_1 > \bar{x}$  and  $y_2 < \bar{x}$ , the inequality must holds for both y, thus

$$\nabla f(\bar{x})(y - \bar{x}) = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0$$

# Problem 9

Given a set of points  $\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ , define

$$\mathbf{conv}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\} = \{\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k \mid \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha$$

Show that the maximum of a convex function f over  $\mathbf{conv}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\}$  is achieved at one of its vertices, i.e.,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{conv}\{v1,\dots,v_k\}} f(x) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} f(v_i)$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = \alpha_1 v_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k v_k \in \mathbf{conv}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k\},\$ 

$$f(x) = f(\alpha_1 v_1 + \dots + \alpha_k v_k)$$

$$\leq \alpha_1 f(v_1) + \dots + \alpha_k f(v_k)$$

$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} f(v_i)$$

$$= \sup_{x} f(x)$$

That is, the maximum of f(x) is achieved at one of its vertices  $f(v_i)$ .