

# Columbia University: Arts & Sciences

## A&S Spring 2019 Standard Evaluation

**Course:** ECONGU4260\_001\_2019\_1-MARKETDESIGN  
**Instructor:** Guillaume Haeringer  
**TA:** Lan Nguyen,Silvio Ravaoli \*  
**Response Rate:** 59/76 (77.63 %)

### 1 - What are the strengths and weaknesses of Silvio Ravaoli (discussion section leader, lab section leader, grader) as an instructor, and how might Silvio Ravaoli's teaching be improved?

**Response Rate** 11/76 (14.47%)

- I thought Silvio was one of the best teaching assistants I've ever had. His recitations were great and very helpful.
- Silvio is a very smart TA who has perfect teaching skills. I couldn't ask for a better TA than Silvio since he always switched he way of explaining things if I didn't understand.
- Great section leader and highly capable at answering complex questions in a straightforward way.
- Silvio was always available and very friendly. His support made the class material easy to grasp and enjoyable to study.
- Silvio was awesome - carried me through the class, super nice, helpful and of course very thorough when covering the material
- Great guy
- Silvio was excellent in his recitation sections
- Excellent section leader. Understanding and flexible instructor.
- Responsive and is genuinely interested in the subject matter.
- Silvio is the best TA I have ever had. He truly cares if students understand the material. He goes above and beyond to prepare for office hours and recitation sections. It is incredible to see Silvio's passion and excitement for this course material--it makes his students excited to learn. Silvio's ability as a TA has impressed me. He has a clear natural talent for both economics and instruction.
- Silvio is an amazing TA! He is very happy to help, knows the material well, and was even willing to meet me outside of OH once because I had a class during his OH.

### 2 - What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of Silvio Ravaoli?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Excellent	(5)	23	54.76%	<div></div>	<div>4.29</div>			
Very Good	(4)	11	26.19%	<div></div>				
Good	(3)	5	11.90%	<div></div>				
Fair	(2)	3	7.14%	<div></div>				
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%	<div></div>				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
<b>Response Rate</b>				<b>Mean</b>	<b>STD</b>	<b>Median</b>		
42/76 (55.26%)				4.29	0.94	5.00		