

# Probability Lecture Notes

December 10

## 1 Large Deviation Estimates (LDE) for Martingales

### 1.1 Recall: Hoeffding's Inequality

Let  $(X_n)_n$  be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with finite exponential moments. Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{c}(\epsilon)$  such that:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-n\tilde{c}(\epsilon)}$$

where  $\tilde{c}(\epsilon) = \sup_{t>0}(t\epsilon - c(t))$  is the Lagendre transform of  $c(t)$ , and  $c(t) = \log M(t)$  is the cumulant generating function, with  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}]$ .

**Theorem 1** (Hoeffding's Inequality). *Assume  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are independent with  $X_i \in [a_i, b_i]$ . Let  $S_n = \sum X_i$  and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S_n/n]$ . Then:*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{n} - \mu\right| > \epsilon\right) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2n^2\epsilon^2}{k}}$$

where  $k = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2$ .

**Lemma 1** (Hoeffding's Lemma). *If  $X$  is a random variable such that  $X \in [a, b]$  a.s. and  $\mathbb{E}[X] = 0$ , then:*

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] \leq e^{\frac{t^2(b-a)^2}{8}}$$

Moreover if  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is a sub  $\sigma$ -algebra then

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX} | \mathcal{F}_0] \leq e^{\frac{t^2(b-a)^2}{8}}$$

## 2 Azuma-Hoeffding Inequality

**Theorem 2** (Azuma-Hoeffding). *Let  $M = (M_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a martingale (with respect to a filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$ ) such that  $\mathbb{E}[M_n] < \infty$ . Assume the increments are bounded, i.e., there exist constants  $c_n$  such that:*

$$|M_n - M_{n-1}| \leq c_n \quad \text{a.s. } \forall n$$

*Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ :*

$$\mathbb{P}(|M_n - M_0| > \epsilon) \leq 2e^{\left(\frac{-\epsilon^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)}$$

## 2.1 Martingale Differences

A martingale difference sequence  $(X_n)$  satisfies  $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$ .

- (a) If  $(M_n)$  is a martingale, define  $X_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$  (with  $X_0 = 0$ ). Then  $(X_n)$  is a martingale difference.
- (b) Conversely, if  $(X_n)$  is a martingale difference, null at 0, then  $M_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is a martingale.

**Theorem 3** (Azuma-Hoeffding Difference Version). *If  $(X_n)$  is a martingale difference sequence with  $|X_n| \leq c_n$  a.s., then:*

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right| > t\right) \leq 2e^{\left(\frac{-t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)}$$

## 2.2 Proof of Azuma-Hoeffding

Let  $X_n = M_n - M_{n-1}$ . We have  $M_n - M_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . We want to bound  $\mathbb{P}(M_n - M_0 > \lambda)$ . Using the Chernoff bound technique:

$$\mathbb{P}(M_n - M_0 > \lambda) \leq e^{-t\lambda} \mathbb{E}[e^{t(M_n - M_0)}]$$

Decompose the expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t(M_n - M_0)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(M_{n-1} - M_0)} \cdot e^{tX_n}]$$

Use the tower property of conditional expectation (conditioning on  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ ):

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t(M_{n-1} - M_0)} \mathbb{E}[e^{tX_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]]$$

Since  $|X_n| \leq c_n$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = 0$ , by Hoeffding's Lemma (applied conditionally):

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{tX_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \leq e^{\frac{t^2(2c_n)^2}{8}} = e^{\frac{t^2c_n^2}{2}}$$

Iterating this process backwards from  $n$  to 1:

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{t(M_n - M_0)}] \leq \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{t^2c_i^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{t^2}{2}\sum c_i^2}$$

Optimizing over  $t$  yields the result.

## 3 McDiarmid's Inequality

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent random variables taking values in a set  $\mathcal{X}$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying the **Bounded Difference Property**:

$$\sup_{x_1, \dots, x_n, x'_i} |f(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n)| \leq c_i$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then:

$$\mathbb{P}(|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n)]| > \epsilon) \leq 2e^{\left(\frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2}\right)}$$

**Remark 1.** Hoeffding inequality is deducable from McDiarmid by taking

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

### 3.1 Proof of McDiarmid

Let  $\mathcal{F}_i = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ . Define the Doob Martingale:

$$M_i = \mathbb{E}[f(X_1, \dots, X_n) | \mathcal{F}_i]$$

- $M_0 = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$
- $M_n = f(X)$  (since  $f(X)$  is  $\mathcal{F}_n$ -measurable)

We must show the increments are bounded.

$$M_i - M_{i-1} = \mathbb{E}[f(X) | \mathcal{F}_i] - \mathbb{E}[f(X) | \mathcal{F}_{i-1}]$$

Let  $X^{(i)} = (X_1, \dots, X'_i, \dots, X_n)$  where  $X'_i$  is an independent copy of  $X_i$ .

$$|M_i - M_{i-1}| \leq \sup |f(x) - f(x')| \leq c_i$$

Applying Azuma-Hoeffding to  $M_n$  yields the result.

## 4 Application: Balls into Bins

Consider throwing  $n$  balls into  $m$  bins uniformly at random. Let  $Z_{n,m}$  be the number of empty bins. We want a good estimate for  $\mathbb{E}[Z_{n,m}]$  and concentration around the mean.

Let  $\mathbf{1}_{B_i}$  be the indicator that bin  $i$  is empty.

$$Z_{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{1}_{B_i}$$

Expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_{n,m}] = \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbb{P}(\text{Bin } i \text{ is empty}) = m \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)^n \approx me^{-n/m}$$

To use McDiarmid's inequality, let  $X_k$  be the index of the bin where the  $k$ -th ball falls ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ).  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are i.i.d. variables. We can write  $Z_{n,m} = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . Changing one ball's position (one  $X_k$ ) can change the number of empty bins by at most 1. Thus, the bounded difference condition holds with  $c_k = 1$ .

$$\sum_{k=1}^n c_k^2 = n$$

By McDiarmid:

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_{n,m} - \mathbb{E}[Z_{n,m}]| > \epsilon) \leq 2e^{-\frac{2\epsilon^2}{n}}$$

## 5 Central Limit Theorem (CLT)

Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  be i.i.d. random variables with  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$ . Assume  $\mu = 0, \sigma^2 = 1$  for simplicity. Let  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ .

## 5.1 Comparison with WLLN

By the Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN):

$$\frac{S_n}{n} \xrightarrow{p} 0$$

This implies  $S_n = o(n)$  with high probability. Question: What is the typical size of  $S_n$ ? Answer:  $S_n = O(\sqrt{n})$ .

By Chebyshev's Inequality:

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}\right| > \lambda\right) \leq \frac{\text{Var}(S_n/\sqrt{n})}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}$$

Since  $\text{Var}(S_n/\sqrt{n}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum \text{Var}(X_i) = 1$ .

## 5.2 Convergence Questions

Does  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  converge almost surely or in probability to a random variable? **Answer: No.**

**Proposition 1.** Let  $X_i$  be i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1. Then  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  does not converge almost surely (nor in probability) to any random variable.

*Proof Sketch.* Assume by contradiction that  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow Y$  almost surely. Then  $(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}})^2 \rightarrow Y^2$  a.s. Since the variances are uniformly bounded, by the Bounded Moment Convergence Theorem (BMCT),  $\mathbb{E}[(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}})^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[Y^2]$ . We know  $\mathbb{E}[(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}})^2] = 1$ , so  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = 1$ .

However,  $Y$  must be measurable with respect to the tail  $\sigma$ -algebra

$$\mathcal{T} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \sigma(X_n, X_{n+1}, \dots)$$

By Kolmogorov's 0-1 Law,  $Y$  must be constant almost surely. Since  $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \lim \mathbb{E}[S_n/\sqrt{n}] = 0$ , we must have  $Y = 0$  a.s. This implies  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = 0$ , which contradicts  $\mathbb{E}[Y^2] = 1$ .  $\square$

## 5.3 Statement of CLT

Although it does not converge in probability,  $\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}}$  converges in **distribution** to the standard normal distribution  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \in [a, b]\right) = \int_a^b \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-x^2/2} dx$$