Softwarepraktikum SS 2021

Assignment 5 Report

Group 3

Jamie Anike Heikrodt	394705	anike.heikrodt@rwth-aachen.de
Thomas Pollert	406215	thomas.pollert@rwth-aachen.de
Jascha Austermann	422571	jascha.auster@rwth-aachen.de
Silyu Li	402523	silyu.li@rwth-aachen.de

Contents

1	Task 1	3
2	Task 2	4
3	Task 3 Jamie worked on Task 1 for the last assignment already. This week she imp	5
		716-
$m\epsilon$	ented the Aspiration window algorithm.	
Th	nomas worked on finding a good windowsize and benchmarking the Aspirat	ion

Task 1

Already implemented and discussed in the previous assignment.

Task 2

The second task of the assignment dealt with implementing the aspiration window algorithm. We save the alpha and beta values from the last evaluations and use those as our guess for the next alpha/beta values. Then a window is added. We found a good having versions of our own Ai play with different windowsizes against eachother.

Task 3

We found n optimal windows size by letting Versions of our Ai with different window sizes play against eachother. Minimizing the times the calculation needed to restart lead to windows that were too big. We decided to settle for a window size of 5, this way about every Xth calculation is restarted. We noticed that the mapsize heavily influences the goodness of our windowsize. Therefore we plan to make a dynaic windowsize depending on the mapsize or the in relation to the last evaluation of the board. This would most definitely be an optimisation to our current adultion, but it heavily depends on the heuristic we use. Next week our focus will be on reworking our heuristic because of the lack of performance from our AI, the windowsize will be optimised again fitting the new heuristic.