

# APP4 Morse code

PATOTSKAYA Alisa      PATOTSKAYA Yulia  
REY Ruben      SID-LAKHDAR Riyane  
PAHEVICH Alexander

December 3, 2015

## Abstract

TODO

## Contents

<b>1 Polynomial algorithm: second approach</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Data structure . . . . .	1
1.2 Algorithmic principle . . . . .	2
1.3 Algorithm . . . . .	2
1.4 Optimality ????? . . . . .	3
1.5 Complexity . . . . .	3
<b>2 Conclusion</b>	<b>3</b>

## 1 Polynomial algorithm: second approach

### 1.1 Data structure

Let us first encode each word of the given English dictionary into a Morse sequence by applying the encryption function to each character. Each character can be encoded using at most 4 Morse characters (· and -). Therefore, each encoded word's length is bounded by  $4 * M$  (where  $M$  is the length of the Morse sequence to process).

Let put those words into a hashmap data structure  $W$ . The keys of this hashmap are the Morse-encoded dictionary words. The value corresponding to each Morse key word  $k_M$  is the number of different English word with the same Morse transcription  $k_M$ .

For instance, let's suppose a sequence "-....-.-" encodes the following words: "BAC", "BANN", and "DUC". Then  $W["-....-.-"] = 3$ . We can build this data structure with a linear complexity  $O(N * M)$  where  $N$  is the number of words of the dictionary and  $M$  the maximum size of such a word.

## 1.2 Algorithmic principle

Let  $S$  the Morse sequence of length  $L$  that we are trying to decode. We suppose that a partition  $P$  of the string  $S$  exists such as  $P = [s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{l_p}]$ . Then the number of ways to decode  $S$  following to this partition is given by:

$$C(P) = \prod_{i=0}^{l_p} W[s_i]$$

We can easily notice that the total number of ways to decode the string  $S$  equals to the sum of  $C(P)$  for all the possible partitions  $P$ .

We can also notice, that we are only interested in the partitions  $P$  such as  $\forall s_i \in P, s_i$  is a key of the hashmap  $W$ . For all the other partitions  $P'$  we consider that  $C(P') = 0$ .

\*\*\*\*\*

Let us consider the last sub-string of any partition  $P$ . It should be present in  $W$ . In particular, that means there should be a suffix of length  $t$  ( $1 \leq t \leq 4 * M$ ), that is present in  $W$ . Notice, there are no suffixes of length  $\geq 4 * M$ , that might be present in  $W$ , and thus we are not interested in partitions that have the last substring of this length.

\*\*\*\*\*

Since we don't have any keywords longer than  $4 * M$ , we can only consider suffixes  $s$  of length  $\leq 4 * M$  (all the rest summands will be equal to zero). This formula can be easily proved by induction on  $\text{length}(S)$ , taking  $F(0) = 1$  as a basis for induction.

## 1.3 Algorithm

```

1  algorithm(S: morse code of length M)
2  {
3      Build a hashmap W.
4      Allocate an array F[0..M] to store values of the F function.
5      Set F[0] = 1, a basis for the induction.
6      for i = 1 to M do
7          F[i] = 0
8          for suffix_len = 1 to min(i, 4 * M) do
9              factor = W[S.substring(i - suffix_len + 1 .. i)]
10             if factor > 0 then
11                 F[i] += F[i - suffix_len] * factor
12             end
13         end
14     end
15     return F[L]
16 }
```

Figure 1: Greedy algorithm for the hole drilling problem.

## 1.4 Optimality ??????

## 1.5 Complexity

The time complexity of the previous algorithm is clearly the sum of the complexity of building the hash map and the complexity of computing the function F.

- As we stated above, the time complexity of building the hash map is  $O(N * M_{english})$  where N is the number of words of the dictionary and  $M_{english}$  the maximum size of such a word (in English). The space complexity is  $O(N * (M_{english} + M_{morse}))$  where  $M_{morse}$  is the maximum size of a morse word ().
- The time complexity of the function F is  $O(N * (4 * M)) * W_{lookupcomplexity} = O(N * M^2) = O(N * M^2)$ . While its space complexity is  $O(M)$

## 2 Conclusion

TODO