C++26 Reflection for JSON Serialization

A Practical Journey

- Daniel Lemire, *University of Quebec*
- Francisco Geiman Thiesen 💿, *Microsoft* 🥌

CppCon 2025

JSON

- Portable, simple
- Used by ~97% of API requests. Landscape of API Traffic 2021 Cloudflare
- scalar values
 - strings (must be escaped)
 - o numbers (but not NaN or Inf)
- composed values
 - objects (key/value)
 - arrays (list)

```
"username": "Alice",
  "level": 42,
  "health": 99.5,
  "inventory": ["sword", "shield", "potion"]
}
```

JSON downside?

Reading and writing JSON can be *slow*. E.g., 100 MB/s to 300 MB/s.

Slower than fast disks or fast networks

```
$ go run parse_twitter.go
Parsed 0.63 GB in 6.961 seconds (90.72 MB/s)
```



Source: Gwen (Chen) Shapira

Micron shows off world's fastest PCIe 6.0 SSD, hitting 27 GB/s speeds — Astera Labs PCIe 6.0 switch enables impressive sequential reads



By Sunny Grimm published March 8, 2025

The next-gen of networking and storage is hitting the trade shows

Performance

- simdjson was the first library to break the gigabyte per second barrier
 - Parsing Gigabytes of JSON per Second, VLDB Journal 28 (6), 2019
 - o On-Demand JSON: A Better Way to Parse Documents? SPE 54 (6), 2024
- JSON for Modern C++ (nlohmann/json) can be $100\times$ slower!



SIMD (Single Instruction, multiple data)

- Allows us to process 16 (or more) bytes or more with one instruction
- Supported on all modern CPUs (phone, laptop)
- Data-parallel types (SIMD) (recently added to C++26)

Not all processors are equal

processor	year	arithmetic logic units	SIMD units
Apple M*	2019	6+	4 imes 128
Intel Lion Cove	2024	6	4 imes256
AMD Zen 5	2024	6	4 imes512

SIMD support in simdjson

- x64: SSSE3 (128-bit), AVX-2 (256-bit), AVX-512 (512-bit)
- ARM NEON
- POWER (PPC64)
- Loongson: LSX (128-bit) and LASX (256-bit)
- RISC-V: upcoming

simdjson: Parsing design

- First scan identifies the structural characters, start of all strings at about 10 GB/s using SIMD instructions.
- Validates Unicode (UTF-8) at 30 GB/s.
- Rest of parsing relies on the generated index.
- Allows fast skipping. (Only parse what we need)



https://openbenchmarking.org/test/pts/simdjson

Usage

The simdjson library is found in...

- Node.js, Electron, ...
- ClickHouse
- Velox
- Milvus
- QuestDB
- StarRocks



The Problem

Imagine you're building a game server that needs to persist player data.



You start simple:

```
struct Player {
    std::string username;
    int level;
    double health;
    std::vector<std::string> inventory;
};
```

The Traditional Approach: Manual Serialization

Without reflection, you may write this tedious code:

```
// Serialization - converting Player to JSON
fmt::format(
        "\"username\":\"{}\","
        "\"level\":{},"
        "\"health\":{},"
        "\"inventory\":{}"
        "}}",
        escape_json(p.username),
        p.level,
        std::isfinite(p.health) ? p.health : -1.0,
        p.inventory| std::views::transform(escape_json)
);
```

Manual Deserialization (simdjson)

```
object obj = val.get_object();
p.username = obj["username"].get_string();
p.level = obj["level"].get_int64();
p.health = obj["health"].get_double();
array arr = obj["inventory"].get_array();
for (auto item : arr) {
   p.inventory.emplace_back(item.get_string());
}
```

When Your Game Grows...

```
struct Equipment {
    std::string name;
    int damage; int durability;
};
struct Achievement {
    std::string title; std::string description; bool unlocked;
    std::chrono::system_clock::time_point unlock_time;
};
struct Player {
    std::string username;
    int level; double health;
    std::vector<std::string> inventory;
    std::map<std::string, Equipment> equipped;
                                               // New!
    std::vector<Achievement> achievements;
                                           // New!
    std::optional<std::string> guild_name;
                                                   // New!
};
```



The Pain Points

This manual approach has several problems:

- 1. Maintenance Nightmare: Add a new field? Update both functions!
- 2. Error-Prone: Typos in field names, forgotten fields, type mismatches

Our goal: Seamless Serialization/Deserialization

Player Class

name: "Alice"

score: 100



JSON

{ "name": "Alice", "score": 100 }

How do other

languages do



C#

```
string jsonString = JsonSerializer.Serialize(player, options);
Player deserializedPlayer = JsonSerializer.Deserialize<Player>(jsonInput, options);
```



Why can C# implementation be so elegant?

It is using **reflection** to access the attributes of a struct during runtime.

Rust (serde)

```
// Rust with serde
let json_str = serde_json::to_string(&player)?;
let player: Player = serde_json::from_str(&json_str)?;
```



Rust reflection

- Rust does not have any built-in reflection capabilities.
- Serde relies on annotation and macros.



Reflection as accessing the attributes of a struct.

language	runtime reflection	compile-time reflection
C++ 26	×	
Go		×
Java	✓	X
C#		×
Rust	X (macros)	X

Now it's our turn to have reflection!

With C++26 reflection and simdjson, all that boilerplate disappears:

```
// Just define your struct - no extra code needed!
struct Player {
    std::string username;
    int level;
    double health;
    std::vector<std::string> inventory;
    std::map<std::string, Equipment> equipped;
    std::vector<Achievement> achievements;
    std::optional<std::string> guild_name;
};
```

Automatic Serialization

```
// Serialization - one line!
void save_player(const Player& p) {
   std::string json = simdjson::to_json(p); // That's it!
   // Save json to file...
}
```

Automatic Deserialization

```
// Deserialization - one line!
Player load_player(std::string& json_str) {
    return simdjson::from(json_str); // That's it!
}
```

Runnable example at https://godbolt.org/z/Efr7bK9jn

Benefits of our implementation

- No manual field mapping
- Minimal maintenance burden
- Handles nested and user-defined structures and containers automatically
- You can still customize things if and when you want to

What Happens Behind the Scenes

```
// What you write:
Player p = simdjson::from(runtime_json_string);
// What reflection generates at COMPILE TIME (conceptually):
Player deserialize_Player(const json& j) {
    Player p;
    p.username = j["username"].get<std::string>();
    p.level = j["level"].get<int>();
    p.health = j["health"].get<double>();
    p.inventory = j["inventory"].get<std::vector<std::string>>();
    // ... etc for all members
    return p;
```

The Actual Reflection Magic

```
// Simplified snippet, members stores information about the class
// obtained via std::define_static_array(std::meta::nonstatic_data_members_of(^^T, ...))...
ondemand::object obj;

template for (constexpr auto member : members) {
    // These are compile-time constants
    constexpr std::string_view field_name = std::meta::identifier_of(member);
    constexpr auto member_type = std::meta::type_of(member);

    // This generates code for each member
    obj[field_name].get(out.[:member:]);
}
```

See full implementation on GitHub

Compile-Time vs Runtime: What Happens When

```
struct Player {
   std::string username; // ← Compile-time: reflection sees this
                  // ← Compile-time: reflection sees this
   int level;
   double health; // ← Compile-time: reflection sees this
};
// COMPILE TIME: Reflection reads Player's structure and generates:
// - Code to read "username" as string
// - Code to read "level" as int
// - Code to read "health" as double
// RUNTIME: The generated code processes actual JSON data
std::string json = R"({"username":"Alice","level":42,"health":100.0})";
Player p = simdjson::from(json);
// Runtime values flow through compile-time generated code
```

Try out this example at https://godbolt.org/z/WWGjhnjWW

```
struct Meeting {
    std::string title;
    long long start_time;
    std::vector<std::string> attendees;
    std::optional<std::string> location;
    bool is_recurring;
};
// Automatically serializable/deserializable!
std::string json = simdjson::to_json(Meeting{
    .title = "CppCon Planning",
    .start_time = std::chrono::duration_cast<std::chrono::milliseconds>(
        std::chrono::system_clock::now().time_since_epoch()
    ).count(),
    .attendees = {"Alice", "Bob", "Charlie"},
    .location = "Denver",
    .is_recurring = true
});
Meeting m = simdjson::from(json);
```

The Container Challenge

We can say that serializing/parsing the basic types and custom classes/structs is pretty much effortless.

How do we automatically serialize ALL these different containers?

- std::vector<T> , std::list<T> , std::deque<T>
- std::map<K,V>, std::unordered_map<K,V>
- std::set<T> , std::array<T,N>
- Custom containers from libraries
- Future containers not yet invented

The Naive Approach

```
// The OLD way - repetitive and error-prone! 
void serialize(string_builder& b, const std::vector<T>& v) { /* ... */ }
void serialize(string_builder& b, const std::list<T>& v) { /* ... */ }
void serialize(string_builder& b, const std::deque<T>& v) { /* ... */ }
void serialize(string_builder& b, const std::set<T>& v) { /* ... */ }
// ... 20+ more overloads for each container type!
```

Problem: New container type? Write more boilerplate!

The Solution: Concepts as Pattern Matching

Concepts let us say: "If it walks like a duck and quacks like a duck..."

```
// The NEW way - one function handles ALL array-like containers!
template<typename T>
  requires(has_size_and_subscript<T>) // "If it has .size() and operator[]"
void serialize(string_builder& b, const T& container) {
    b.append('[');
    for (size_t i = 0; i < container.size(); ++i) {
        serialize(b, container[i]);
    }
    b.append(']');
}</pre>
```

✓ Works with vector , array , deque , custom containers...

Concepts + Reflection = Automatic Support

When you write:

The magic:

- 1. **Reflection** discovers your struct's fields
- 2. **Concepts** match container behavior to serialization strategy
- 3. **Result**: ALL containers work automatically standard, custom, or future!

Write once, works everywhere™



How fast are we?



Ablation Study: How We Achieved 3.4 GB/s

What is Ablation?

From neuroscience: systematically remove parts to understand function

Our Approach (Apple Silicon M3 MAX):

- 1. **Baseline**: All optimizations enabled (3,400 MB/s)
- 2. Disable one optimization at a time
- 3. Measure performance impact
- 4. Calculate contribution: (Baseline Disabled) / Disabled

Five Key Optimizations

- 1. Consteval: Compile-time field name processing
- 2. **SIMD String Escaping**: Vectorized character checks
- 3. Fast Integer Serialization: Optimized number handling

Combined Performance Impact

Optimization	Twitter Contribution	CITM Contribution
Consteval	+100% (2.00x)	+141% (2.41x)
SIMD Escaping	+42% (1.42x)	+4% (1.04x)
Fast Digits	+6% (1.06x)	+34% (1.34x)

Optimization #1: Consteval

The Power of Compile-Time

The Insight: JSON field names are known at compile time!

Traditional (Runtime):

```
// Every serialization call:
write_string("\"username\""); // Quote & escape at runtime
write_string("\"level\""); // Quote & escape again!
```

With Consteval (Compile-Time):

```
constexpr auto username_key = "\"username\":"; // Pre-computed!
b.append_literal(username_key); // Just memcpy!
```

Optimization #2: SIMD String Escaping

The Problem: JSON requires escaping ", \, \, and control chars

Traditional (1 byte at a time):

```
for (char c : str) {
   if (c == '"' || c == '\\' || c < 0x20)
       return true;
}</pre>
```

SIMD (16 bytes at once):

```
auto chunk = load_16_bytes(str);
auto needs_escape = check_all_conditions_parallel(chunk);
if (!needs_escape)
   return false; // Fast path!
```

Optimization #3: Fast Integer serialization

```
while(number >= 10) {
    *write_pointer-- = char('0' + (number % 10));
    number /= 10;
}
*write_pointer = char('0' + number);
```

Writing from the end

Two digits at a time

```
while(number >= 100) {
    memcpy(write_pointer - 1, &decimal_table[(pv % 100)*2], 2);
    write_pointer -= 2;
    pv /= 100;
}
if(number >= 10) {
    *write_pointer-- = char('0' + (number % 10));
    number /= 10;
}
*write_pointer = char('0' + number);
```

Know where to start writing

Useful to compute quickly the number of digits

```
template <typename number_type>
int int_log2(number_type x) {
  return 63 - leading_zeroes(uint64_t(x) | 1);
int fast_digit_count_64(uint64_t x) {
  static uint64_t table[] = {9,
                             99,
                             999,
                             //...
                             9999999999999ULL,
                             99999999999999ULL,
                             999999999999999ULL,
                             9999999999999999ULL};
 int y = (19 * int_log2(x) >> 6);
 y += x > table[y];
  return \vee + 1;
```

Could use SIMD if we wanted to

Don't try to understand:

```
_m128i to_string_avx512ifma(uint64_t n) {
uint64_t n_15_08 = n / 100000000;
uint64_t n_07_00 = n % 100000000;
_{m512i} bcstq_h = _{mm512} set1_{epi64(n_15_08);}
_{m512i} bcstq_l = _{mm512} set1_{epi64(n_07_00)};
m512i zmmzero
                  = _mm512_castsi128_si512(_mm_cvtsi64_si128(0x1A1A400));
                  = _mm512_set1_epi64(10);
m512i zmmTen
_{m512i} asciiZero = _{mm512}set1_{epi64('0')};
                      = _{mm512\_setr\_epi64(0x00000000002af31dc, ...)};
m512i ifma_const
__m512i permb_const
                      = _mm512_castsi128_si512(_mm_set_epi8(0x78, ...));
                      = _mm512_madd52lo_epu64(zmmzero, bcstq_h, ifma_const);
 m512i lowbits h
                      = _mm512_madd52lo_epu64(zmmzero, bcstq_l, ifma_const);
m512i lowbits l
 __m512i highbits_h
                      = _mm512_madd52hi_epu64(asciiZero, zmmTen, lowbits_h);
__m512i highbits_l
                      = _mm512_madd52hi_epu64(asciiZero, zmmTen, lowbits_l);
                      = _mm512_permutex2var_epi8(highbits_h, permb_const, highbits_l);
__m512i perm
                      = _mm512_castsi512_si128(perm);
__m128i digits_15_0
return digits_15_0;
```

Does fast integer processing matter?

• Replace fast digit count by naive approach based on std::to_string

```
std::to_string(value).length();
```

• Only 34% worse in one dataset.

What about compilation time?

We've observed a 6% slow-down when compiling simdjson with static reflection enabled. (clang p2996 experimental branch).

Key Technical Insights

1. With reflection and concepts

- your code becomes shorter
- your code becomes more general
- 2. Compilation time not much slower
- 3. Compile-Time optimizations can be awesome
 - Consteval: 2-2.6x speedup alone
- 4. **SIMD** String operations benefit
- **5. Every Optimization Matters**
 - Small gains compound into huge improvements

Thank You!

C++ Reflection Paper Authors

• The authors of P2996 for making compile-time reflection a reality

Compiler Implementation Teams

- Everyone that implemented P2996 and made it publicly available.
- Early adopters testing and providing feedback

Compiler Explorer Team

Matt Godbolt and contributors

simdjson Community

• All contributors and users (John Keiser, Geoff Langdale, Paul Dreik...)

Questions?

Daniel Lemire and Francisco Geiman Thiesen

GitHub: github.com/simdjson/simdjson

Thank you!