CS 140 Assignment 2: Matrix-Vector Multiplication and the Power Method

Assigned January 14, 2013

Due by 11:59 pm Monday, January 21

This assignment is to write a parallel program to multiply a matrix by a vector, and to use this routine in an implementation of the power method to find the absolute value of the largest eigenvalue of the matrix. You will write separate functions to generate the matrix and to perform the power method, and you will do some timing experiments with the power method routine.

1 Mathematical background

A square matrix is an n-by-n array A of numbers. The entry in row i, column j of A is written either a_{ij} or A(i,j). The rows and columns are numbered from 1 to n. A vector is a one-dimensional array x whose i'th entry is x_i or x(i). Recall the definition of matrix-vector multiplication: The product y = Ax is a vector y whose elements are

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j.$$

In words, each element of y is obtained from one row of A and all of x, by computing an inner product (that is, by adding up the pointwise products). Every element of x contributes to every element of y; each element of A is used exactly once.

The power method uses matrix-vector multiplication to estimate the size of the largest eigenvalue of a matrix A, which is also called the spectral radius of A. It works as follows. Start with an arbitrary vector x. Then repeat the following two steps: divide each element of x by the length (or norm) of x; second, replace x by the matrix-vector product Ax. The norm of the vector eventually converges to the spectral radius of A. In your code, you will repeat the matrix-vector product 1000 times

There is a sequential Matlab code for the power method on the course web page (under Homework 2). You will write a parallel C/MPI code that does the same computation.

2 What to implement

You will write the following C routines:

- generateMatrix: Generates a matrix of specified size, with the data distributed across the processors as specified in the next section. The section on experiments below defines the matrices you'll use for experiments.
- powerMethod: Implements the power method on a given matrix (which is already distributed across the processors). This routine calls norm2 and matVec.

- norm2: Computes the norm (the length) of a given vector.
- matVec: Multiplies a given matrix (which is already distributed across the processors) by a given vector.

The assignment directory on the course web site also includes a harness consisting of a main program that calls these four routines, using MPI_Wtime to time them, and a Makefile that builds the whole code. You can modify the main program to help you debug your code, but make sure that the code you submit works with the original main program and the original Makefile! We will grade your code by compiling your routines along with the original main program and Makefile; we may also substitute a different generateMatix to make sure your code works on different matrices.

3 Where's the data?

You may assume that n, the number of rows and columns of the matrix, is divisible by p, the number of processors. Distribute the matrix across the processors by rows, with the same number of rows on each processor; thus, processor 0 gets rows 1 through n/p of A, processor 1 gets rows n/p + 1 through 2n/p, and so forth. Your generateMatrix routine should not do any communication except for the value of n; each processor should generate its own rows of the matrix, independently of the others, in parallel.

Put the vector on processor 0. For our purposes, the "arbitrary vector" you start with should be the vector whose elements are all equal to 1.

When you write your matVec routine, you should do the communication with MPI_Bcast and MPI_Gather; you will find the code to be much simpler this way than if you do it all with MPI_Send and MPI_Recv.

4 What experiments to do

When you debug parallel code, you have to go very slowly and take one step at a time; otherwise, when something breaks it is very hard to figure out where the problem is. Use lots of printf() statements!

First, debug your matVec routine by itself (called from main), first on one processor, then two processors, then several processors. Using very small matrices, check to make sure your routine is getting the same answers as the Matlab code. (Hint: In Matlab, if you omit the semicolon at the end of a statement, it prints the value computed by the statement; try running powermethod.m with and without semicolons inside the main loop.)

Second, debug the whole code, always starting with tiny matrices on one, then two, then four processors. For debugging you will only have to do two or three iterations of the power method to see if you're getting the same results as Matlab.

The Matlab code generateMatrix.m generates a specific matrix (for which you supply the size n) that you can use for both debugging and timing experiments. Here is the matrix for n = 6:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
3 & 3 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
4 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 0 \\
5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 5 & 0 \\
6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6 & 6
\end{pmatrix}$$

Because this matrix is triangular (has only zeros above the main diagonal), its eigenvalues are equal to its diagonal elements, and the spectral radius is therefore equal to n, the largest diagonal element. However, the power method is pretty slow to converge—for very large n you won't get an accurate estimate of the spectral radius in 1000 iterations. That's okay; you can check your accuracy on small matrices and use big ones just for timing experiments.

Your code should use MPI_Wtime, and for your timing experiments it should only time the call to powerMethod, not the matrix generation.

Here are the experiments you should do:

- 1. Choose a value of n for which your code runs on one processor in a reasonable amount of time, say 30 seconds to a minute, with 1000 iterations of the main loop. (On my one-processor laptop, n = 3000 takes about 40 seconds.) Run your code on this matrix for p = 1, 4, 8, and 16. For each run, report the running time t_p and the parallel efficiency $t_1/(pt_p)$. Make plots of the running time versus p, and the parallel efficiency versus p. (You can use Matlab to plot the data, or any other plot package you want.) You will want to wait to run on 8 and 16 processors until you are absolutely sure your code is giving the right answers on 2 and 4 processors.
- 2. Change your program to do only 10 iterations of the main loop, and recompile it with the Tau profiling tool. Run your code with Tau, for p = 4 and p = 16, on fairly large problems. Use pprof to generate the text profile report, and include it in the items you turn in. Can you interpret and explain the results? Also, run paraprof (you'll have to get X window forwarding going to your terminal first, as Stefan demonstrated in class) and explore the possible graphic displays of profile information for your program. Include one graphic in your report that you think best captures what is going on (and where the time is going) when your program runs, and say in your report how you interpret that graphic.

Write a report that describes your experiments and your results. What trends do you see? Do the running time and efficiency behave as you would expect? Can you explain your results? (Warning: Experimental timing results on parallel codes are often not nearly as clean as you might expect from the theory!)

You can debug your code on any machine you like (for example, you can use CSIL for debugging with p=1 and p=2, and you can run MPI on any multicore laptop or server you might have), but the results you turn in must come from runs on Triton or Lonestar.

5 What to turn in

Use ssh or scp to copy your files to CSIL, and then use turnin hw2@cs140 from CSIL to turn in the following:

- Your source code, including routines generateMatrix, powerMethod, norm2, and matVec.
- A report in PDF form named report.pdf, containing any necessary description of your code, tables of your run time results, your plots and graphics, plus a description and interpretation of your results and any conclusions you draw from them.
- If you worked in a team, your report should include the names and CSIL accounts (or perm number if you don't have an account) of both members.

• Don't turn in any executable or .o files. You don't need to turn in the main program or Makefile, because we will compile and run your code with the originals that we supplied with the assignment.

You should do this assignment in groups of two people. Only one person needs to do the turnin, but make sure both names and perm numbers are on the report.