

# Week 2: The wave function, Particle in a box

Simon Elias Schrader

August 30<sup>th</sup> 2024

## Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.

# Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.
- Q3.5 Why must a *Hermitian* operator  $\hat{A}$  satisfy the relation  $\int \psi^*(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]dx = \int \psi(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]^*dx$  ?

# Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.
- Q3.5 Why must a *Hermitian* operator  $\hat{A}$  satisfy the relation  $\int \psi^*(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]dx = \int \psi(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]^*dx$  ?
- Q3.9 If a system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest, the wave function of the system can be determined. Explain this assertion. How could you know that the system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest?

# Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.
- Q3.5 Why must a *Hermitian* operator  $\hat{A}$  satisfy the relation  $\int \psi^*(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]dx = \int \psi(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]^*dx$  ?
- Q3.9 If a system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest, the wave function of the system can be determined. Explain this assertion. How could you know that the system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest?
- Q4.15 Why is the zero point energy lower for a He atom in a box than for an electron?

# Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.
- Q3.5 Why must a *Hermitian* operator  $\hat{A}$  satisfy the relation  $\int \psi^*(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]dx = \int \psi(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]^*dx$  ?
- Q3.9 If a system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest, the wave function of the system can be determined. Explain this assertion. How could you know that the system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest?
- Q4.15 Why is the zero point energy lower for a He atom in a box than for an electron?
- Q4.6 The probability density for a particle in a box is an oscillatory function even for very large energies. Explain how the classical limit of a constant probability density that is independent of position is achieved.

# Discussion exercises - 1

- P3.17 Is the relation  $\hat{A}[f(x) + g(x)] = \hat{A}f(x) + \hat{A}g(x)$  always obeyed? If not, give an example to support your conclusion.
- Q3.5 Why must a *Hermitian* operator  $\hat{A}$  satisfy the relation  $\int \psi^*(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]dx = \int \psi(x)[\hat{A}\psi(x)]^*dx$  ?
- Q3.9 If a system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest, the wave function of the system can be determined. Explain this assertion. How could you know that the system is in an eigenstate of the operator of interest?
- Q4.15 Why is the zero point energy lower for a He atom in a box than for an electron?
- Q4.6 The probability density for a particle in a box is an oscillatory function even for very large energies. Explain how the classical limit of a constant probability density that is independent of position is achieved.
- Q5.5 Explain how it is possible to create a three-dimensional electron conductor that has a continuous energy spectrum in two dimensions and a discrete energy spectrum in the third.

## Example exercises calculated by me

- P4.19 Show that the eigenfunctions of a 3D particle in a box with lengths along the  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  directions of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , respectively, are

$$\psi_{n_x, n_y, n_z}(x, y, z) = N \sin\left(\frac{n_x \pi x}{a}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n_y \pi y}{b}\right) \sin\left(\frac{n_z \pi z}{c}\right)$$

Obtain an expression for  $E_{n_x, n_y, n_z}$  in terms of  $n_x$ ,  $n_y$ ,  $n_z$ , and  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ .

- P4.35 Is the superposition wave function

$$\psi(x) = \sqrt{2/a} [\sin(n\pi x/a) + \sin(m\pi x/a)]$$

an eigenfunction of the total energy operator for the particle in the box?



## One more example

P5.5 For the  $\pi$ -network of  $\beta$ -carotene modeled with the particle in the box approach, the position-dependent probability density of finding 1 of the 22 electrons is given by

$$P_n(x) = |\psi_n(x)|^2 = \frac{2}{a} \sin^2 \left( \frac{n\pi x}{a} \right)$$

The quantum number  $n$  in this equation is determined by the energy level of the  $n$ th electron. Would you also expect the total probability density defined by  $P_{\text{total}}(x) = \sum_n |\psi_n(x)|^2$  to be strongly position dependent? The sum is over all the electrons in the  $\pi$ -network. Calculate the total probability density  $P_{\text{total}}(x) = \sum_n |\psi_n(x)|^2$  using the box length  $a = 2.90$  nm and plot your results as a function of  $x$ . Does  $P_{\text{total}}(x)$  have the same value near the ends and at the middle of the molecule?

What value would you expect for  $P_{\text{total}}(x)$  if the electrons were uniformly distributed over the molecule? How does this value compare with your previous result?

# Exercises 1

- P4.2 Show that the energy eigenvalues for the free particle,  $E = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$ , are consistent with the classical result  $E = (1/2)mv^2$ .
- P5.1 Calculate the energy levels of the  $\pi$ -network in butadiene,  $C_4H_6$ , using the particle in the box model. To calculate the box length, assume that the molecule is linear and use the values 135 and 154 pm for  $C = C$  and  $C - C$  bonds. What is the wavelength of light required to induce a transition from the ground state to the first excited state? How does this compare with the experimentally observed value of 290 nm ? What does the comparison suggest to you about estimating the length of the  $\pi$ -network by adding bond lengths for this molecule?

## Exercises 2

- P4.30 (This exercise is wrong as it is formulated in the book)  
Suppose that the wave function for a system can be written as

$$\psi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}\phi_1(x) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\phi_2(x) + \frac{2 + \sqrt{2}i}{4}\phi_3(x)$$

and that  $\phi_1(x)$ ,  $\phi_2(x)$ , and  $\phi_3(x)$  are orthonormal eigenfunctions of the operator  $\hat{H}$  with eigenvalues  $E_1$ ,  $2E_1$ , and  $4E_1$ , respectively.

- Verify that  $\psi(x)$  is normalized.
- What are the possible values that you could obtain in measuring the energy  $\hat{H}$  on identically prepared systems?
- What is the probability of measuring each of these eigenvalues?
- What is the average value of  $\langle \hat{H} \rangle$  that you would obtain from a large number of measurements?
- Exercise P5.1 for a challenge!