

Shared Memory Synchronization

Goal

- Coordinate sharing among all threads
 - mutually exclusive access to shared data
- Coordinate pairwise sharing
 - Producer/Consumer $\frac{3}{4}$ problem!

Synchronization

- locks : only one thread can enter critical section
- barriers : no thread can execute code until all iterations have finished

Shared Memory

- Limitation
 - : Multiple writers of variable \sim unpredictable values
- Solution : atomic operation Synchronization
get!

Shared Memory Synchronization

lock ★ 구현하는 방법

Test and Set ✓

- test-and-set (Word &M)
 - writes 1 to M
 - return M's Previous value

```

type Lock = (unlocked, locked)

procedure acquire_lock(Lock *L)
loop
    // NOTE: test and set returns old value
    if test_and_set(L) == unlocked
        return

procedure release_lock(Lock *L)
    *L = unlocked
    
```

acquire test 실패하면
lock이 write 발생함.

- Shared Memory system에서 local code에 write 할때마다

Bus transaction이 발생하여 Communication overhead ↑↑↑

Compare and Swap ✓

- Compare-and-Swap (Word &M, Word oldV, Word newV)
 - if (M == oldV) M ← newV
 - returns true if store was performed

```

type Lock = (unlocked, locked)

procedure acquire_lock(Lock *L)
loop
    // NOTE: test and set returns old value
    if compare_and_swap(L, unlocked, locked) == TRUE
        return

procedure release_lock(Lock *L)
    *L = unlocked
    
```

Why better?

read 전용 프로세스 (M.S)는
read request는 transaction x
지문 발생.

Bus transaction 발생 원상 복구

Atomic Primitives ✓

- test_and_set(Word &M)
 - writes a 1 into M
 - returns M's previous value
- swap(Word &M, Word V)
 - replaces the contents of M with V
 - returns M's previous value
- compare_and_swap(Word &M, Word oldV, Word newV)
 - if (M == oldV) M ← newV
 - returns TRUE if store was performed
- fetch_and_φ(Word &M, Word V)
 - φ can be ADD, OR, XOR, ...
 - replaces the value of M with φ(old value, V)
 - returns M's previous value

Shared Memory Synchronization

barrier {병행성}

Design of Simple Barrier

- each processor indicates its arrival at the barrier
 - updates shared state
- busy-wait on shared state to determine when all have arrived
- Once all have arrived, each processor is allowed to continue

Sense-switching

centralized barrier

```
integer count = P
bool sense = true
thread_local bool local_sense = true

void central_barrier() {
    // each processor toggles its own sense
    local_sense = not local_sense
    if (fetch_and_add(&count, -1) == 1)
        count = P
        sense = local_sense // last processor toggles global sense
    else
        repeat until sense == local_sense
}
```

this thread is last thread in execution

이걸 overwrite 되는 code라서 컴퓨터가 다 볼 수 있다.
count를 매번 update 하기 때문에
count가 invalidate 될 수 있다

General Consideration

- Adjusting state of synchronization
 - centralized 루어 ~ sense switching 사용해서
- Interconnect traffic @ contention
 - spin-waiting을 사용할때 루어해야함.

Avoid Spin Waiting over Interconnect

Avoid Spin Waiting over the Interconnect

- How?

- don't have multiple threads spin wait on a shared variable that will change multiple times per synchronization operation

- For instance

- avoid spin waiting on

- a barrier count that others are adjusting with `atomic_add`
use a barrier flag (e.g., sense) instead

- a lock variable that others will toggle with test and set

- use a `compare and swap` (no excessive broadcasting)

- use a `link-list-based lock` (local spinning)

- e.g. MCS lock

lock state: linked list of local pvers

