

# Assignment #1

## Question 1

a)

We will start with the four-momentum of the original electron, denoted  $p_i^\mu = (E_i, \mathbf{p}_i)$ . Using the mostly-minus metric  $\eta^{\mu\nu} = (+, -, -, -)$ , we have that  $p_i^2 = E_i^2 - |\mathbf{p}_i|^2 = m_e^2$ . Meanwhile, we define the four-momenta of the electron in the final state and the emitted photon as  $p_f^\mu = (E_f, \mathbf{p}_f)$  and  $q^\mu = (\omega, \mathbf{q})$  respectively. For the photon, we made use of  $E = \hbar\omega$ , where, in natural units,  $\hbar = c = 1$ . Now let us try to conserve both energy and momentum in this reaction in one move via four-momentum conservation.

$$p_i^\mu = p_f^\mu + q^\mu$$

Now let us square this equation

$$p_i^2 = m_e^2 = (p_f^\mu + q^\mu)^2 \quad (1)$$

$$= p_f^2 + 2(p_f \cdot q) + q^2 \quad (2)$$

$$= m_e^2 + 2(p_f \cdot q) \quad (3)$$

And cancelling the squared electron mass term on both sides we obtain  $p_f \cdot q = 0$ , where  $p_f \cdot q = E_f\omega - \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{q}$ , therefore  $E_f\omega = \mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{q}$ . Notice, however, that

$$\mathbf{p}_f \cdot \mathbf{q} \leq |\mathbf{p}_f| |\mathbf{q}| = |\mathbf{p}_f| \omega$$

$$E_f\omega \leq |\mathbf{p}_f| \omega$$

$$E_f \leq |\mathbf{p}_f|$$

$$E_f^2 \leq |\mathbf{p}_f|^2$$

But, by the invariant, we require  $E_f^2 - |\mathbf{p}_f|^2 = m_e^2 > 0$  and thus

$$E_f^2 > |\mathbf{p}_f|^2$$

Which is clearly a contradiction. Thus, we cannot have both momentum and energy conservation in this instance.

b)

If the photon were to pick up a nonzero mass  $\mu > 0$ , then the electric potential gains an exponential term, having a Yukawa character  $V(r) = -\frac{kq^2}{r}e^{-\mu r}$ , where  $k = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$ . If we expand this exponential via

$$e^{-\mu r} = 1 - \mu r + \frac{\mu^2 r^2}{2} + \dots$$

we see that at the leading order

$$V(r) = -\frac{kq^2}{r} + kq^2\mu$$

Now, the Schrodinger equation for the system becomes (substituting the electric charge  $e$  in place of  $q$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\nabla^2}{2m}\psi - \frac{ke^2}{r}\psi + kq^2\mu\psi &= E\psi \\ \rightarrow \frac{\nabla^2}{2m}\psi - \frac{ke^2}{r}\psi &= (E - ke^2\mu)\psi \end{aligned}$$

Which is equivalent to a system with original potential  $V(r) = -\frac{kq^2}{r}$  but energy  $E - \frac{e^2\mu}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$ . Thus hydrogen would have a lower ionization energy.

c)

A particle of mass  $m$  decaying from rest must emit the electron-positron pair back-to-back to conserve momentum, meaning  $p_+ = p_- = p$ , and since both electrons and positrons have equal mass, it must impart its rest energy  $E_i = mc^2$  equally among them. Thus

$$E_+ = E_- = \frac{1}{2}E_i$$

Meanwhile, the total energy of the two products is given by

$$E_f = E_+ + E_- = 2\sqrt{m_e^2c^4 + p^2c^2}$$

By energy conservation

$$mc^2 = 2\sqrt{m_e^2c^4 + p^2c^2}$$

Isolating for  $p$

$$4p^2c^2 = m^2c^4 - 4m_e^2c^4 \quad (4)$$

$$p = c\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{4} - m_e^2} \quad (5)$$

Now we can relate the momentum to the particle velocity via  $p = mv$ . Then, the magnetic force is  $\mathbf{F} = q\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  and since  $\mathbf{B}$  is perpendicular to the plane of the decay, the magnitude is  $F = evB$ . Since this force is centripetal, we may write  $evB = mv^2/r$ . Isolating for  $r$  in terms of known quantities

$$r = \frac{mv}{eB} \quad (6)$$

$$= \frac{m}{eB} \frac{p}{m} \quad (7)$$

$$(8)$$

and substituting  $p$  from (5):

$$r = \frac{c\sqrt{\frac{m^2}{4} - m_e^2}}{eB} \quad (9)$$

As desired.

d)

The four-momenta of the particles are

$$p_x^\mu = \left( \frac{E_x}{c}, \mathbf{p}_x \right) \quad (10)$$

$$p_y^\mu = \left( \frac{E_y}{c}, 0 \right) = \left( \frac{m_y c^2}{c}, 0 \right) = (m_y c, 0) \quad (11)$$

$$p_z^\mu = \left( \frac{E_z}{c}, \mathbf{p}_z \right) \quad (12)$$

By conservation of four-momentum

$$p_x^\mu + p_y^\mu = p_z^\mu \quad (13)$$

$$(p_x^\mu + p_y^\mu)^2 = (p_z^\mu)^2 \quad (14)$$

$$m_x^2 c^2 + 2 p_y \cdot p_x + m_y^2 c^2 = m_z^2 c^2 \quad (15)$$

$$p_y \cdot p_x = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2} \quad (16)$$

Now, by explicit evaluation of the dot product (since  $p_y^\mu = (m_y c, 0)$ ):

$$p_y \cdot p_x = \frac{m_y c E_x}{c} = m_y E_x \quad (17)$$

Thus

$$m_y E_x = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2} \quad (18)$$

By energy conservation,

$$E_z = E_x + m_y c^2, \quad (19)$$

so

$$m_y (E_z - m_y c^2) = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2} \quad (20)$$

$$E_z - m_y c^2 = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2 m_y} \quad (21)$$

$$E_z = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2 m_y} + m_y c^2 \quad (22)$$

$$E_z = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 - m_y^2) c^2}{2 m_y} + \frac{2 m_y^2 c^2}{2 m_y} \quad (23)$$

$$E_z = \frac{(m_z^2 - m_x^2 + m_y^2) c^2}{2 m_y} \quad (24)$$

As desired. Meanwhile, in the head-on collision, the initial momenta, which are equal and opposite in magnitude add to zero. This means particle z also has zero momentum and thus

$$E_z = m_z c^2$$

## Question 2

Between each pair of plates, we have an infinite tower of standing waves due to the fact that the wavefunctions must vanish at the boundaries. This permits only wavefunctions of the form  $\psi_n(x) = \sin\left(\frac{n\pi x}{a}\right)$  where  $a$  is  $d$  in region AB and  $L - d$  in region BC. This corresponds to wavenumbers  $k_n = \frac{n\pi}{a}$ . Now, for a massless field such as this, we have  $E_n = p_n c = \hbar k_n c$  and since  $E_n = \hbar \omega_n$ , then the allowable angular frequencies are

$$\omega_n = \frac{n\pi c}{a}$$

Since each mode of the field is a quantum harmonic oscillator, the vacuum (zero-point) contribution of each mode is

$$E_n = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega_n = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar n \pi c}{a}$$

Thus, taking the sum of these zero-point energies for each individual harmonic oscillator, making sure to substitute the proper expressions for  $a$  when evaluating the energies in regions AB and BC respectively, we obtain

$$E = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar n \pi c}{d} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\hbar m \pi c}{L-d} \quad (25)$$

$$E = \frac{\pi c \hbar}{2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{d} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{m}{L-d} \right) \quad (26)$$

As desired.

Now, to perform the regularization, let us substitute  $n \rightarrow ne^{-\frac{an\pi}{d}}$  and  $m \rightarrow me^{-\frac{am\pi}{L-d}}$  into the above result

$$E = \frac{\pi c \hbar}{2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{ne^{-\frac{an\pi}{d}}}{d} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{me^{-\frac{am\pi}{L-d}}}{L-d} \right)$$

Let us evaluate these sums one at a time, starting with

$$E_1 = \frac{\pi c \hbar}{2d} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ne^{-\frac{an\pi}{d}} \quad (27)$$

$$= -\frac{c \hbar}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{an\pi}{d}} \right) \quad (28)$$

$$= -\frac{c \hbar}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\frac{a\pi}{d}}} \right) \quad (29)$$

$$= -\frac{c \hbar}{2} \left( -\frac{\pi}{d} \right) \frac{e^{-\frac{a\pi}{d}}}{(1 - e^{-\frac{a\pi}{d}})^2} \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{c \hbar \pi}{2d} \frac{e^{\frac{a\pi}{d}}}{(e^{\frac{a\pi}{d}} - 1)^2} \quad (31)$$

The equivalent calculation for the BC region gives

$$E_2 = \frac{\pi c \hbar}{2(L-d)} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m e^{-am\pi/(L-d)} \quad (32)$$

$$= -\frac{c \hbar}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e^{-am\pi/(L-d)} \quad (33)$$

$$= \frac{c \hbar \pi}{2(L-d)} \frac{e^{a\pi/(L-d)}}{(e^{a\pi/(L-d)} - 1)^2} \quad (34)$$

$$(35)$$

In both instances, we proceed by Taylor expanding  $\frac{e^x}{(e^x-1)^2}$  in  $x$

$$\frac{e^x}{(e^x-1)^2} = \frac{\left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots\right)}{\left(x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots\right)^2} \quad (36)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x^2} \frac{\left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \dots\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \frac{x^2}{3!} + \dots\right)^2} \quad (37)$$

We can use the binomial theorem  $(1+u)^\alpha = 1 + \alpha u + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2} u^2 + \dots$  to simplify the denominator. Specifically, letting  $u = \frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^2}{6}$  and  $\alpha = -2$

$$\left(1 + \frac{x}{2!} + \frac{x^2}{3!}\right)^{-2} = 1 - x + -\frac{x^2}{3} + \frac{3x^2}{4} + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \quad (38)$$

$$\approx 1 - x + \frac{5x^2}{12} \quad (39)$$

And putting this result back into the above

$$\frac{e^x}{(e^x-1)^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} \left(1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \dots\right) \left(1 - x + \frac{5x^2}{12}\right) \quad (40)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x^2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{12}x^2 + \mathcal{O}(x^3)\right) \quad (41)$$

$$= \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \mathcal{O}(x^3) \quad (42)$$

Using this, and substituting  $x = \frac{a\pi}{d}$  in the AB region instance and  $x = \frac{a\pi}{(L-d)}$  in the BC region instance, we get a total energy of

$$E_1 + E_2 = \frac{\pi c \hbar}{2d} \left(\frac{d^2}{a^2 \pi^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \mathcal{O}(a^3)\right) + \frac{c \hbar \pi}{2(L-d)} \left(\frac{(L-d)^2}{a^2 \pi^2} - \frac{1}{12} + \mathcal{O}(a^3)\right) \quad (43)$$

$$= \hbar c \left(\frac{d}{2\pi a^2} - \frac{\pi}{24d} + \frac{(L-d)}{2\pi a^2} - \frac{\pi}{24(L-d)} + \mathcal{O}(a^3)\right) \quad (44)$$

$$= \hbar c \left(\frac{L}{2\pi a^2} - \frac{\pi}{24d} - \frac{\pi}{24(L-d)} + \mathcal{O}(a^3)\right) \quad (45)$$

Now, we can finally evaluate the force by taking the partial derivative of this expression with respect to  $d$ . Remarkably, the divergent first term vanishes here, meaning we have a finite force even in the  $a \rightarrow 0$  limit! As such

$$F = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial d} = -\hbar c \left( \frac{\pi}{24d^2} - \frac{\pi}{24(L-d)^2} + \dots \right) \quad (46)$$

But in the  $L \gg d$  limit, this second term also vanishes. Thus, we finally obtain the desired result of

$$F \approx -\frac{\pi c \hbar}{24d^2} \quad (47)$$

### Question 3

We have that the amplitude of finding the particle propagating from  $x$  to  $y$  is  $\mathcal{A} = \langle y | e^{-iHT} | x \rangle$ . If we divide this distance between  $x$  and  $y$  into  $N$  points labelled  $(x, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, y)$  into equal intervals of time  $\delta t = T/N$ , then we can break up the exponential in the inner product as

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle y | e^{-iH\delta t} e^{-iH\delta t} \dots e^{-iH\delta t} | x \rangle$$

Which contains exactly  $N$  such exponential factors. We may insert an identity operator  $\mathbb{I} = \int dx |x\rangle \langle x|$  between each such factor

$$\mathcal{A} = \langle y | e^{-iH\delta t} \mathbb{I} e^{-iH\delta t} \mathbb{I} \dots e^{-iH\delta t} | x \rangle \quad (48)$$

$$= \left( \prod_{j=1}^N \int dx_j \right) \langle y | e^{-iH\delta t} | x_{N-1} \rangle \langle x_{N-1} | e^{-iH\delta t} | x_{N-2} \rangle \dots \langle x_1 | e^{-iH\delta t} | x \rangle \quad (49)$$

As desired. Now, taking an individual factor  $f = \langle x_{j+1} | e^{-iH\delta t} | x_j \rangle$  let us compute this by taking  $H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}$  where  $\mathbf{p}$  is an operator. Plugging this Hamiltonian in our expression for the factor

$$f = \langle x_{j+1} | e^{-i\mathbf{p}^2 \delta t / 2m} | x_j \rangle$$

Inserting the identity  $\mathbb{I} = \int \frac{dp}{2\pi} |p\rangle \langle p|$  in the inner product and noticing that  $e^{-i\mathbf{p}^2} |p\rangle = e^{-ip^2} |p\rangle$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp \langle x_{j+1} | e^{-ip^2 \delta t / 2m} | p \rangle \langle p | x_j \rangle \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp e^{-ip^2 \delta t / 2m} \langle x_{j+1} | p \rangle \langle p | x_j \rangle \quad (51)$$

$$(52)$$

Now, note that  $\langle x_{j+1} | p \rangle = e^{ipx_{j+1}}$  and  $\langle p | x_j \rangle = (\langle x_j | p \rangle)^* = e^{-ipx_j}$  such that

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp e^{-ip^2 \delta t / 2m} e^{ip(x_{j+1} - x_j)} \quad (53)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dp e^{-ap^2 + bp} \quad (54)$$

where  $a = \frac{i\delta t}{2m}$  and  $b = i(x_{j+1} - x_j)$ . Completing the square,  $-ap^2 + bp = -a\left(p - \frac{b}{2a}\right)^2 + \frac{b^2}{4a}$  such that

$$f = \frac{e^{b^2/4a}}{2\pi} \int dp e^{-a(p-b/2a)^2}$$

Which is a standard Gaussian integral which we evaluate over all space. Doing this and substituting our values for  $a$  and  $b$ :

$$f = \frac{e^{b^2/4a}}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}} \quad (55)$$

$$= \boxed{\sqrt{\frac{-2\pi im}{\delta t}} \exp\left[im \frac{(x_{j+1} - x_j)^2}{2\delta t}\right]} \quad (56)$$

as desired. Now, putting this result in for every such factor

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(\frac{-2\pi im}{\delta t}\right)^{N/2} \prod_{j=0}^N \int dx_j \exp\left[\frac{im}{2} \frac{(x_{j+1} - x_j)^2}{\delta t}\right] \quad (57)$$

$$= \left(\frac{-2\pi im}{\delta t}\right)^{N/2} \int dx dx_{N-1} dx_{N-2} \dots dx_1 dy \exp\left[\delta t \sum_{j=0}^N \frac{im}{2} \left(\frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{\delta t}\right)^2\right] \quad (58)$$

$$(59)$$

Making the substitutions  $\frac{x_{j+1} - x_j}{\delta t} \rightarrow \dot{x}$  and  $\delta t \sum_{j=0}^N \rightarrow \int_0^T dt$  in the  $\delta t \rightarrow 0$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$  continuum limit:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left(\frac{-2\pi im}{\delta t}\right)^{N/2} \int dx dx_{N-1} dx_{N-2} \dots dx_1 dy \exp\left[i \int_0^T dt \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2\right]$$

And using  $\left(\frac{-2\pi im}{\delta t}\right)^{N/2} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \int dx_j = \int \mathcal{D}x$  in this limit we obtain

$$\boxed{\mathcal{A} = \int \mathcal{D}x e^{i \int_0^T dt \frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^2}} \quad (60)$$

As desired.

## Question 4

Starting with the action

$$S = \int dx \left[ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_x \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \phi^2 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \right)^2 \right] = \int \mathcal{L} dx \quad (61)$$

$$= \int T - V dx \quad (62)$$

$$(63)$$

Such that  $V[\phi] = \int dx \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \phi)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{4} \left( \phi^2 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \right)^2$ . Now, the Euler-Lagrange equation tells us

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi} = \partial_\mu \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_\mu \phi)} \right) - \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

We can break up the first term into its temporal part  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \phi)} = \partial_0 \phi$  such that

$$\partial_0 \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_0 \phi)} \right) = \partial_0^2 \phi$$

and then its spatial part  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} = -\partial_x \phi$  such that

$$\partial_x \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \right) = -\partial_x^2 \phi$$

and thus in sum we have  $\partial_0^2 \phi - \partial_x^2 \phi$  from the first term. Meanwhile, for the second term, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = -\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \phi^2 - \frac{m^2}{\lambda} \right) 2\phi \quad (64)$$

$$= -\lambda \phi (\phi^2 - m^2/\lambda) \quad (65)$$

Therefore, the full EOM is

$$\partial_0^2 \phi - \partial_x^2 \phi + \lambda \phi (\phi^2 - m^2/\lambda) = 0$$

Now, for the trivial solution, the EOM reduces to

$$\lambda \phi_0 (\phi_0^2 - m^2/\lambda) = 0 \quad (66)$$

$$\boxed{\phi_0 = \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}} \quad (67)$$

Then, for the space-dependent solution, our EOM becomes an ODE of the form

$$\phi''(x) = \lambda \phi(x) (\phi^2(x) - m^2/\lambda) \quad (68)$$

$$\phi'(x) \phi''(x) = \phi'(x) \lambda \phi(x) (\phi^2(x) - m^2/\lambda) \quad (69)$$

$$(70)$$

We can write both sides of this equation in terms of a derivative like so

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{2} (\phi'(x))^2 \right) = \frac{dV}{d\phi} \phi'(x) \quad (71)$$

$$= \frac{dV}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{dx} \quad (72)$$

$$= \frac{d}{dx} V(\phi(x)) \quad (73)$$



And integrating w.r.t.  $x$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\phi'(x))^2 - V(\phi) = C$$

We can determine the constant of integration  $C$  via the argument that for a finite-energy solution, we require the field to approach the trivial vacuum solutions  $\phi_0 = \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Through this, we have that  $V(\pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}}) = \frac{\lambda}{4}((\pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}})^2 - (\pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}})^2)^2 = 0$ , and similarly  $\phi'(x) \rightarrow 0$  because the slope is constant at infinity. Therefore,  $\frac{1}{2}(\phi'(\infty))^2 - V(\phi(\infty)) = 0 - 0 = 0 = C$ . Thus, defining  $\frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \nu$  for simplicity we may continue with

$$\frac{1}{2}(\phi'(x))^2 = V(\phi) = \frac{\lambda}{4}(\phi^2 - \nu^2)^2 \quad (74)$$

$$\phi'(x) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\phi^2 - \nu^2) \quad (75)$$

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}(\phi^2 - \nu^2) \quad (76)$$

$$(77)$$

Which is a separable ODE which evaluates to

$$\ln \left| \frac{\nu + \phi}{\nu - \phi} \right| = 2\nu \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{2}}x + C_2 \quad (78)$$

$$\ln \left| \frac{\nu + \phi}{\nu - \phi} \right| = \sqrt{2}mx + C_2 \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{\nu + \phi}{\nu - \phi} = Ce^{\sqrt{2}mx} \quad (80)$$

$$(81)$$

Solving the above for  $\phi(x)$ , we obtain

$$\phi(x) = \nu \frac{Ce^{\sqrt{2}mx} - 1}{Ce^{\sqrt{2}mx} + 1} \quad (82)$$

$$(83)$$

Then, writing  $C = e^{-\sqrt{2}mx_0}$  for some other constant  $x_0$ , we get a cleaner result, namely

$$\phi(x) = \nu \frac{e^{\sqrt{2}m(x-x_0)} - 1}{e^{\sqrt{2}m(x-x_0)} + 1} \quad (84)$$

$$(85)$$

Recognizing this expression as being similar to the hyperbolic tangent identity

$$\tanh(z) = \frac{e^{2z} - 1}{e^{2z} + 1}$$

we finally obtain our non-trivial space-dependent background solutions

$$\boxed{\phi_{\text{cl}}(x) = \pm \frac{m}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tanh \left( \frac{m(x-x_0)}{\sqrt{2}} \right)}$$