SimVP-UNet: Framework For Video Frame Prediction and Semantic Segmentation using SimVP and U-Net

Abhipsha Das* ad6489@nyu.edu

Simran Makariye* sdm8499@nyu.edu

Srushti Pawar* sxp8182@nyu.edu

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce an innovative approach to video prediction and semantic segmentation by combining SimVP[1] and U-Net[2]. SimVP is a video prediction model that learns spatio-temporal representations from videos using convolutional neural networks (CNNs). The U-Net model is a widely used model for image segmentation tasks that has been shown to be effective in various applications. In this work, we propose a framework that integrates these two models to predict future frames in a video sequence and segment the objects of interest in the last frame. Specifically, the SimVP model predicts the future frames, while the U-Net model generates a mask for each object in the predicted last frame. The resulting masks are then utilized to segment the objects of interest and differentiate them from the background. To evaluate the effectiveness of our approach, we conducted experiments on an unseen dataset and measured performance using the Jaccard index, achieving a value of 0.251 with minimal training and fine-tuning. We believe that our proposed approach has potential in a wide range of applications, including anomaly detection, object tracking and autonomous driving.

6 1 Introduction

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

- Video frame prediction and segmentation of moving objects are challenging computer vision tasks with practical applications in robotics, autonomous driving, and surveillance. These tasks are complicated by the interactions between moving objects and their environment, as well as the inherent
- 20 noise and uncertainty in the data.
- 21 In recent years, deep learning techniques have shown promising results for video frame prediction
- 22 and image segmentation tasks. We explored RNN-based architectures such as ConvLSTM + GANs
- 23 and advanced architectures like masked autoencoders. However, we found that the best performance
- 24 was achieved using the SimVP model. We also experimented with U-Net for image segmentation task.
- 25 The U-Net architecture consists of an encoder, which downsamples the input image, and a decoder,
- 26 which upsamples the encoded features to generate the segmentation mask.
- 27 In this paper, we used a synthetic 3D training dataset consisting of video clips, each containing 22
- 28 frames. The videos depict 3D moving objects, with each object having a unique combination of
- three attributes shape (cube, sphere, or cylinder), material (metal or rubber), and color (gray, red,
- 30 blue, green, brown, cyan, purple, or yellow). The dataset is designed such that no two objects have
- identical attributes and offers a challenging set of visual stimuli for training and evaluating object
- 32 segmentation models.

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

3 2 Relevant Background

Video frame prediction is a challenging task for a machine as it deals with motion and object 34 occlusion, and the possibility of multiple outcomes of a video. Past deep learning approaches have 35 been concentrated on autoregressive RNN based implementations as well as CNN architectures, 36 GANs, and more recently, transformer and autoencoder based architectures to model latent video 37 dynamics more efficiently. Video datasets such as MovingMNIST [3] have been widely used as 38 benchmark datasets for research in this area. RNN based approaches such as Convolutional Long-40 short Term Memory[4] have been popular due to their ability to model forecasting, but alternatives 41 that can capture long term dependencies when predicting multiple steps into the future are required. 42 Generative adversarial networks have been used in conjunction with RNN architectures to differentiate 43 between model predicted and true images. Vision transformer(ViT) based models like the Video Swin Transformer[5] have shiftable local attention schema resulting in higher speed-accuracy trade-44 off; however, most of these are designed for video classification and ViT based implementations 45 particularly aimed at solving frame-prediction are still limited. Auto-encoder based models such 46 47 as VideoMAE[6] which employs the masked encoding objective by masking random cubes and reconstructing the missing ones have shown great results as a pretraining step for video inputs, trained 48 with the self-supervised learning objective and contrastive loss computation; and seem promising 49 to downstream tasks such as frame prediction. Past CNN architectures have been used to predict 50 per-pixel motion and optical flow prediction[7] and more recently architectures like SimVP have 51 performed extremely well with reduced model complexity and simple training objectives. 52

Semantic segmentation on objects is another important computer vision task that has seen multiple successful CNN-based architectures over the years, most notably the Mask R-CNN architecture[8] and the U-Net model which is an autoencoder model in which an image is converted into a vector and then the same mapping is used to reconstruct an image. This reduces the distortion by preserving the original structure of the image.

58 **3 Methods**

For our implementation, we explored a number of architectures to find the best performing ones for the joint frame-prediction and mask prediction task.

3.1 Models

65

66

67

68

69

2 3.1.1 Future Frame Prediction

Overview In the first part, we need to predict the future frames of a video conditioned on past frames, to accurately depict the motion and tracking of the moving objects in the video. For this, we explored ConvLSTM + GAN initially to predict future frames by taking the past 11 frames of a video and then autoregressively predicting 11 future frames, and the final frame was then passed to a GAN module where the discriminator learnt to distinguish between the ground truth final predicted frame and the model predicted images. The complexity of the model and slowness of training made us adopt a fully-CNN based architecture called SimVP. The prediction pipeline was much the same as the one we employed previously, where for every 11 past frames, we predict the next 11 frames.

Model Architecture SimVP consists of an encoder, a translator and a decoder module. The encoder takes in 11 frames of dimension $160 \times 240 \times 3$ and extracts spatial features from the input frames, the translator learns temporal the evolution of the frames, and the decoder integrates spatio-temporal information to predict future frames. The encoder module has 4 blocks of Conv2D, LayerNorm and LeakyReLU layers stacked together to give hidden features. The hidden feature is:

$$z_i = \sigma(LayerNorm(Conv2D(z_{i-1}))) \forall 1 \le i \le 4$$

This is then passed to a translator module that is made up of 8 Inception blocks, each with a different kernel size ([3, 5, 7, 11]). The Inception module consists of a bottleneck Conv2d with 1×1 kernel followed by parallel GroupConv2d operators. Using a CNN-based translator module was a choice we made as on running experiments, CNN required the least finetuning. The hidden feature is:

$$z_j = Inception(z_{j-1}) \forall 4 \le j \le 4 + 8$$

The decoder module is used for the unconvolution operation, consisting of 4 blocks of ConvTranspose2D, GroupNorm and LeakyReLU layers, where the hidden feature is:

$$z_k = \sigma(GroupNorm(ConvTranspose2D(z_{k-1})) \forall 4+8 \le k \le 2*4+8$$

to give the output of 11 future predicted frames, each of dimension $160 \times 240 \times 3$.

72 3.1.2 Semantic Segmentation

Overview In the second phase of the problem, our objective is to generate individual masks for every object present in the image, which we perform using semantic segmentation of the images that assigns a categorical label to each individual pixel in the image. To achieve this, we used the U-Net model. U-Net is a fully convolutional network that employs a symmetric encoder-decoder structure, which enables it to effectively capture both high-level and low-level features of an image.

Model Architecture We use U-Net for image segmentation that takes as input an image with size 78 79 160×240 , and produces a single-channel masked image of the same size. The U-Net architecture consists of encoding blocks and decoding blocks. In our implementation, the encoding blocks consist 80 of 4 blocks, each of two 3×3 Conv2D layers with N filters followed by a ReLU, BatchNorm and 81 MaxPool to downsample the image, with $N \in [64, 128, 256, 512]$. The number of filters in the 82 convolution layers increases with depth to capture higher-level features. After the last encoding block, 83 a bottleneck layer with 1024 filters is added, followed by 4 decoding blocks, each consisting of 2 84 3×3 ConvTranspose2D layers, ReLU and BatchNorm with decreasing numbers of filters (same as 85 in encoding layers in opposite direction). Finally, a convolution layer with a single filter is used to produce the output segmentation map of dimension 160×240 . Skip connections are added between the encoding and decoding blocks to preserve the spatial information lost during downsampling.

89 3.2 Experiments

90 **3.2.1 Dataset**

We train a frame prediction model on an unlabeled dataset of 13,000 videos of objects, consisting of 22 frames of size $160 \times 240 \times 3$, and use a hidden dataset of the first 11 frames to predict the 22^{nd} frame, which is then passed to a semantic segmentation model trained on 1,000 videos of 22 frames each and the masks for each frame to predict the mask for the final frame. A segmentation output produces masks that classifies 49 different combinations of object characteristics.

96 **3.2.2 Training**

Vanilla MSE loss was used to train the frame prediction model for 25 epochs on the unlabeled dataset.
We performed hyperparamter tuning for this model on different optimizers [Adam, RMSProp] and learning rates [1e-3, 1e-2] and finally trained it at a learning rate of 1e-3, using Adam optimizer and OneCycle LR scheduler using DataParallel for speedup on 2 GPUs. For the segmentation model, cross entropy loss was utilized to calculate the loss per epoch, with a learning rate of 1e-4. The model was trained for 10 and 20 epochs and its performance was assessed using the Jaccard Index on 1,000 randomly selected images from the validation set. Our models were trained on NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs and combined training and finetuning took approximately 70 GPU hours.

4 Results

105

We evaluated U-Net model's performance on 1,000 images from the validation dataset. The Jaccard 106 Index values obtained from this experiment are presented in Table 1. The U-Net model trained on 107 20 epochs performed the best on these unseen images, achieving a maximum Jaccard Index score 108 of 0.9693. This suggests that our segmentation model has the ability to predict well. The Jaccard 109 Index values were obtained with our combined pipleine of SimVP + U-Net predictions on the entire 110 validation dataset of 1,000 video clips and are presented in Table 2. The best performing model 111 achieved a Jaccard Index of 0.2452 and was obtained from the combination of 25 epochs of SimVP 112 training with a learning rate of 0.001. Figure 4, 4 and 4 shows how features are learnt over the epochs. The final jaccard index achieved on the hidden dataset is **0.251** based on the final results.

Table 1: Performance of the U-Net Model on Validation dataset

U-Net (epochs)	Jaccard Index
10 epochs	0.9680
20 epochs	0.9693







background

Figure 1: After 1 epoch, model Figure 2: After 5 epochs, model Figure 3: After 25 epochs, model learns to distinguish objects from predicts shapes, stationary ob-learns to predict position of movjects across frames are predicted ing objects and identify their more easily than moving ones shape and colour

Table 2: Evaluation Results

Models		
SimVP Params (epochs and learning rate)	U-Net	Jaccard Index
25 epochs and 0.01 learning rate 25 epochs and 0.001 learning rate	20 20	0.2417 0.2452

Conclusion 5 115

Our experiments show that training the model for longer leads to better predictions, with no loss of 116 generalization as seen in the results obtained on unseen data and the loss curves showing a converging 117 trend. The fully-CNN architecture is lightweight and easily trained with little finetuning required, 118 and learns well at even 25 epochs of training. We believe that the future direction is to train the frame 119 120 prediction model it for a longer duration and add post-processing steps to get less noisy frames, as 121 the current implementation lacks noise-reduction steps. For the baseline, our approach performs well with a Jaccard index of **0.245** on validation dataset and **0.251** on the hidden dataset. 122

References

123

- [1] Zhangyang Gao, Cheng Tan, Lirong Wu, and Stan Z. Li. Simvp: Simpler yet better video 124 prediction, 2022. 125
- [2] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for 126 biomedical image segmentation, 2015. 127
- [3] Nitish Srivastava, Elman Mansimov, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. Unsupervised learning of video 128 representations using 1stms, 2016. 129
- 130 [4] Tara N. Sainath, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Senior, and Hasim Sak. Convolutional, long short-term memory, fully connected deep neural networks. In 2015 IEEE International Conference on 131 Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), pages 4580–4584, 2015. 132
- [5] Ze Liu, Jia Ning, Yue Cao, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Han Hu. Video swin 133 transformer, 2021. 134
- [6] Zhan Tong, Yibing Song, Jue Wang, and Limin Wang. Videomae: Masked autoencoders are 135 data-efficient learners for self-supervised video pre-training, 2022. 136
- [7] Jacob Walker, Abhinav Gupta, and Martial Hebert. Dense optical flow prediction from a static 137 image, 2015. 138
 - [8] Kaiming He, Georgia Gkioxari, Piotr Dollár, and Ross Girshick. Mask r-cnn, 2018.