

Handling of plasticity in physics engine

Simo Nikula, Aki Mikkola and Timo Björk

June 12, 2016

1 Introduction

Physics engine is computer software that provides an approximate simulation of physical system. E.g. ODE - Smith (2001-2007), Bullet Physics- Coumans (2003-2016), Box2D - Catto (2007-2015) are open source physics engines that are widely used in areas where fast solutions are required. Typical industries are games and film productions.

Computational methods used in physics engines are divided to modules that handle collision detection and modules that handle simulation. Simulation can further be subdivided into time control, motion solver, constraint solver and collision solver modules. Velocity-based formulation is typically used in constraint based rigid body simulation frameworks as collisions cannot be handled easily in acceleration based formulations. Friction is typically taken into account. Joints are handled by constraint equations. Detailed description of various components can be found in e.g. Erleben (2005).

Plasticity is not typically taken into account in gaming solutions. Breaking of various objects typically takes place based on collision or impulse and breaking of steel or reinforced concrete structures does not look realistic. Theory for handling of plasticity has been presented already in Terzopoulos and Fleischer (1998). Müller et al. (2004) and Müller et al. (2005) present a method for modeling and animating of elastic and plastic objects in realtime using point based animation but it is not widely used. On major issue is collision handling of deformable objects.

Goal of this work is to find method that can be used in widely used rigid body based physics engines to make simulation more realistic. Main target is that plastic deformation takes place if force or moment exceeds given limit, deformation absorbs energy and joint breaks if plastic capacity is exceeded. Suggested method is based on using joint motors to model plasticity. (Erleben, 2005, p. 90) suggests similar method for modelling friction in joints. Adjacent objects are connected by motors. Motor limits are calculated based on plastic section modulus. Joint breaking is simulated by summing plastic deformation and comparing it to predefined material based limit. Elastic part of deformation is modelled by spring based simulation which is based on modification of existing constraint in Bullet Physics.

Methods presented in this work can be used in gaming industry to provide more realistic simulations without significant extra work. For gaming purposes presented method works best in scenarios where connected parts are relatively heavy. This allows normal integration timestep to be used without stability issues. This kind of methodology also opens quite large area of combining old structural analysis methods to modern simulation frameworks.

References

- Catto, E. (2007-2015). *Box2D A 2D Physics Engine for Games*. url: box2d.org.
- Coumans, E. (2003-2016). *Bullet Physics Library*. url: bulletphysics.org.
- Erleben, K. (2005). *Stable, Robust, and Versatile Multibody Dynamics Animation*. Ph.D. thesis. University of Copenhagen.
- Müller, M., Heidelberger, B., Teschner, M., and Gross, M. (2005). Meshless deformations based on shape matching. In: *ACM Transactions on Graphics (TOG)*, vol. 24, 3, pp. 471–478. url: <http://www.beosil.com/download/MeshlessDeformations.SIG05.pdf>.
- Müller, M., et al. (2004). Point based animation of elastic, plastic and melting objects. In: *Proceedings of the 2004 ACM SIGGRAPH/Eurographics symposium on Computer animation*, pp. 141–151. url: http://lgg.epfl.ch/publications/2004/mueller_2004_PBA.pdf.
- Smith, R. (2001-2007). *Open Dynamics Engine*. url: ode.org.
- Terzopoulos, D. and Fleischer, K. (1998). Modeling Inelastic Deformation: Viscoelasticity, Plasticity, Fracture. *Computer Graphics*, pp. 269–278. doi:10.1145/54852.378522, url: web.cs.ucla.edu/~dt/papers/siggraph88/siggraph88.pdf.