STAT 578 - Advanced Bayesian Modeling - Fall 2019

Assignment 3

- 1. (a) [2 pts] R script FlintGibbs.R implements a Gibbs sampler for the partially conjugate Flint data model. It is very similar to the example R code in Lesson 7.2: Gibbs Sampling. Use the script to simulate from the posterior for μ and σ^2 . Then use R function acf to produce an autocorrelation plot for the successive μ variates and an autocorrelation plot for the successive σ^2 variates.
 - (b) R script FlintMetropolis.R implements a Metropolis sampler for the same Flint data model. It is very similar to the example R code in Lesson 7.3: Metropolis and Metropolis-Hastings.
 - (i) [1 pt] Experiment with different settings for the proposal variance rho (by uncommenting its line and changing the value). Find a value of rho that gives an overall (average) acceptance rate of about 0.35. What value of rho did you find? [Warning: Using a value of rho that is too large may cause the R code to produce an error, due to numerical problems. Start with smaller values of rho.]
 - (ii) [2 pts] With the value of **rho** that you found, use the script to simulate from the posterior for μ and σ^2 . Then use R function acf to produce an autocorrelation plot for the successive μ variates and an autocorrelation plot for the successive σ^2 variates.
 - (c) [1 pt] Compare the autocorrelation plots from the previous two parts. Which method exhibited faster mixing: the Gibbs sampler or the Metropolis sampler?
- 2. Use the 2016 US presidential polls data in polls2016.txt to answer the following, running all parts using JAGS and R (rjags). Remember that you will have to create a variable sigma in R to represent the standard deviation of the polls, defined to be half of the margin of error. Refer to Lesson 4.2: Normal Hierarchical Model in R/JAGS.
 - (a) Use the model in polls20161.bug for the following:
 - (i) [2 pts] Create an initialization list (in R) supporting 4 chains, with a different initialization for each chain. Set initial values for mu to ±100 and values for tau to 100 or 0.01. Then use jags.model to create the JAGS model R object with these initializations. List all of the R code you used.
 - (ii) [2 pts] Perform a burn-in of 2500 iterations, then monitor the mu and tau nodes for 5000 iterations (for each chain). List all of the R code you used.
 - (iii) [3 pts] For the iterations you monitored, produce trace plots of mu and tau. Do there appear to be any convergence problems? Display the plots and R code that produced them.
 - (iv) [2 pts] For the iterations you monitored, compute Gelman-Rubin statistics (potential scale reduction factors) for mu and tau. Do there appear to be any convergence problems? Show your R code and its output.

¹This is approximately the "optimal" acceptance rate for a two-dimensional parameter. See Roberts, G. O., & Rosenthal, J. S. (2001). Optimal scaling for various Metropolis-Hastings algorithms. *Statistical Science*, 16, 351–367.

- (v) [2 pts] For the iterations you monitored, display autocorrelation plots for mu and tau for one of the chains. (Hint: For example, to reference the first chain of an mcmc.list object named x, use x[[1]].) Comment on the apparent speed of mixing.
- (vi) [2 pts] For the iterations you monitored, compute effective sample sizes (over all chains) for mu and tau. Would they be considered adequate? Show your R code and its output.
- (b) Now consider a new model that uses an almost flat prior for tau on the log scale, as follows: Create a new JAGS model by modifying polls20161.bug to eliminate the current prior for tau, create a new parameter logtau with a U(-100, 100) prior distribution, and define tau to be equal to exp(logtau).
 - (i) [2 pts] Display all of the code for your new JAGS model.
 - (ii) [2 pts] Create an initialization list (in R) supporting 4 chains, with a different initialization for each chain. Set initial values for mu to ±100 and values for logtau to log 100 or log 0.01. Then use jags.model to create the JAGS model R object with these initializations. List all of the R code you used.
 - (iii) [2 pts] Perform a burn-in of 2500 iterations, then monitor the mu and tau nodes for 5000 iterations (for each chain). List all of the R code you used.
 - (iv) [3 pts] For the iterations you monitored, produce trace plots of mu and tau. Do there appear to be any convergence problems? Display the plots and R code that produced them.
 - (v) [2 pts] For the iterations you monitored, compute Gelman-Rubin statistics (potential scale reduction factors) for mu and tau. Do there appear to be any convergence problems? Show your R code and its output.
 - (vi) [2 pts] For the iterations you monitored, display autocorrelation plots for mu and tau for one of the chains.² Comment on the apparent speed of mixing.
 - (vii) [2 pts] Suggest an explanation for the presence of any problems you noted. (Hint: What would happen if you used an improper flat prior on $\log \tau$?)

Total: 34 pts

²Ordinarily, autocorrelation plots are not used for chains that have not converged, but you are asked to produce them here even if there was no convergence.