

Outline of Lecture 12

- Lazy evaluation in Haskell
- List comprehension revisited
- Infinite lists
- Algebras as Haskell type classes
- The Monoid and Functor type classes

Lazy evaluation in Haskell

- The underlying (lazy) evaluation strategy: Haskell will only evaluate an argument to a function if that argument's value is needed to compute the overall result
- If an argument is structured (e.g., a list or a tuple), only those parts of the argument that are needed for computation will be evaluated
- Since an intermediate result (e.g., list) will be only generated on demand, using such a list will not necessarily be expensive computationally
- One of the consequences: a possibility to describe infinite data structures. Under lazy evaluation, often only parts of such a data structure need to be examined

Lazy evaluation in Haskell (cont.)

- When the Haskell evaluation process starts, a *thunk* is created for each expression
- A *thunk* – a **placeholder** in the underlying graph of the program. It will be evaluated (reduced), if necessary. Otherwise, the garbage collector will eventually sweep it away
- If it is evaluated, because it's in the graph, it can be **shared** between expressions without **re-calculation**
- Lazy evaluation is often compared to **non-strictness**

Strict vs non-strict languages

- Strict languages evaluate *inside out*; nonstrict languages like Haskell evaluate *outside in*
- *Outside in* means that evaluation proceeds from the **outermost** parts of expressions and works **inward** based on what values are needed. Thus, the order of evaluation and what gets evaluated can vary depending on inputs
- While in strict languages, evaluation starts with **subexpressions**. When all of them are evaluated, their **enclosing expressions** are calculated, etc. Thus, it goes *inside out*
- The following would work only in a nonstrict language:

```
Prelude> fst (1,undefined)
1
Prelude> tail [undefined,2,3]
[2,3]
```

Lazy evaluation and function application

- Now, let's consider different evaluation scenarios in Haskell
- The argument which is not needed for producing the overall result will not be evaluated, e.g.

```
switch :: Integer -> a -> a -> a
switch n x y
  | n>0 = x
  | otherwise = y
```

If the integer `n` is positive, only `x` is evaluated while the value `y` is "ignored". And vice versa in the otherwise case

Lazy evaluation and function application

- The duplicated argument is never evaluated more than once, e.g.

```
hh :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
hh x y
  | x>0 = x+x
  | otherwise = ...
```

If the first guard succeeds, the value of x is evaluated only once (and stored in the internal Haskell data graph). For instance, in the function application

`hh 12 (344 - hh 99 5)`

the second argument expression is never evaluated

Lazy evaluation and function application

- An argument is not necessarily evaluated fully. Only the parts that are needed are examined, e.g.

```
pm :: (Integer,Integer) -> Integer  
pm (x,y) = x+1
```

If we apply this function to the pair $(3+2, 4-17)$, only the first part of the pair will be fully evaluated

Evaluation order for a function application

A reminder: general form of a function declaration:

```
f p1 p2 ... pk
  | g1      = e1
  | g2      = e2
  ...
  | otherwise = er
  where
    l1 a1,1 ... = r1
    l2 a2,1 ... = r2
    ...

f q1 q2 ... qk
  = ...
```

where $p_i, q_i, a_{i,j}$ are argument patterns, g_i are boolean expressions, and l_i are local identifiers.

Evaluation order for a function application (cont.)

- A function declaration may contain a number of equations (with pattern matching), then a number of guarded declarations with each equation, as well as several local definitions for each equation
- While applying a function, pattern matching expressions in function equations are evaluated **in the order they come** (until the first success)
- Moreover, for each applied pattern, **only the necessary parts** of argument expressions are evaluated
- Similarly, the guards are evaluated in **the defined order** (until the first success)
- Only those local definitions **that are needed** (either in guards or result expressions) are evaluated

General evaluation order in an Haskell expression

- Evaluation is **from outside in**. In situations like

$$\underline{f_1 \ e_1 \ (\underline{f_2 \ e_2 \ 17})}$$

where one application encloses another, the outer one is evaluated first

- Otherwise, evaluation is **from left to right**. In the expression like

$$\underline{f_1 \ e_1} \ + \ (\underline{f_2 \ e_2})$$

the underlined expressions are both to be evaluated, however, the left one will be evaluated first. In some cases like `False && p`, the evaluation of the left expression is sufficient for the overall result

List comprehensions revisited

- From the evaluation order standpoint ...
- A reminder: a list comprehension is an expression of the form

$$[e \mid q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k]$$

where each q_i is either

- a **generator** of the form $p \leftarrow lExp$, where p is a pattern and $lExp$ is an expression of the list type
 - a **test**, $bExp$, which is a boolean expression
- Multiple generators allow to combine elements from two or more lists. What is the evaluation order?

List comprehensions revisited (cont.)

- Example:

```
pairs :: [a] -> [b] -> [(a,b)]  
pairs xs ys = [(x,y) | x <-xs, y<-ys]
```

Then calling `pairs [1,2,3] [4,5]` gives us

`[(1,4),(1,5),(2,4),(2,5),(3,4),(3,5)]`

- First, the first value from `xs`, 1, is fixed and all possible values from `ys` are chosen. Then, the process is repeated for the remaining values from `xs` (2 and 3)

List comprehensions revisited (cont.)

- This order is not accidental, since we can have the second generator to depend on the value given by the first generator, e.g:

```
triangle :: Int -> [(Int,Int)]  
triangle n = [(x,y) | x <- [1..n], y <- [1..x]]
```

Then calling `triangle 3` gives us

```
[(1,1),(2,1),(2,2),(3,1),(3,2),(3,3)]
```

Thus, the value of `x` restricts how many values are considered for `y`

List comprehensions revisited (cont.)

- Example: Pythagorean triples (where the sum of squares of the first two numbers is equal to square of the third one):

```
pyTriples :: Integer -> [(Integer,Integer,Integer)]  
pyTriples n = [(x,y,z) | x <- [2..n], y <- [x+1..n],  
    z <- [y+1..n], x*x + y*y == z*z]
```

Here the test combines the values from the three generators

List comprehensions revisited (cont.)

- Generators may rely on (recursive) function calls. Example of calculating permutations:

```
perms :: Eq a => [a] -> [[a]]  
perms [] = [[]]  
perms xs = [x:ps | x<-xs, ps <- perms (xs\\[x])]
```

where `\\` is the list subtraction (difference) operator from `Data.List`

List comprehensions revisited (cont.)

- If some generator patterns are **refutable**, i.e., may sometimes fail, the corresponding elements are filtered out from (not counted in) the result. For instance,

```
heads :: [[a]] -> [a]
heads zs = [x | (x:_) <- zs]
```

If we apply

```
> heads [[] , [2] , [4,5] , []]
```

the result is simply [2,4]

Infinite lists

- One important consequence of lazy evaluation is a possibility for the language to describe **infinite** structures, where only the necessary finite portion will be actually evaluated
- Any recursive type will contain infinite objects. We will concentrate on infinite lists here
- A simple example:

```
ones :: [Integer]
ones = 1 : ones
```

- Evaluation of `ones` in Haskell produces a list of ones, indefinitely:

`[1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,^C,1,1,1,Interrupted`

Infinite lists (cont.)

- We can sensibly evaluate functions applied to ones, e.g.,

```
> take 20 ones  
1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1]
```

- Built in the system are the lists of the form `[n ..]` and `[n,m ..]` so that

```
[3 ..] == [3,4,5,6, ...  
[3,5, ..] == [3,5,7,9, ...
```

- We can define these functions ourselves, e.g.,

```
from :: Integer -> [Integer]  
from n = n : from (n+1)
```

Infinite lists (cont.)

- List comprehensions can also define infinite lists. Example (all Pythagorean triples):

```
pyTriples = [(x,y,z) | z <- [2..], y <- [2..z-1],  
  x <- [2 .. y-1], x*x + y*y == z*z]
```

- Another example: generating prime numbers (*Sieve of Eratosthenes*):

```
primes :: [Integer]  
primes = sieve [2 ..]  
  
sieve (x:xs) =  
  x : sieve [y | y <- xs, y `mod` x > 0]
```

- Sieve the infinite list and then add the first "survived" element to the prime list
- Then use this last found prime as the number to sieve on
- Repeat indefinitely

Infinite lists (cont.)

- Example: generating pseudo-random numbers:

```
nextRand :: Integer -> Integer
nextRand n = (multiplier*n + increment) 'mod' modulus
```

```
randomSequence :: Integer -> [Integer]
randomSequence = iterate nextRand
```

```
seed = 17489
multiplier = 25173
increment = 13849
modulus = 65536
```

```
> randomSequence seed
[17489,59134,9327,52468,43805,8378,18395, ...
```

Why infinite lists?

- **Data-directed computing** (a sequence of data generating processes and generic data transformations)
- Constructing and manipulating potentially **infinite/unlimited resources**. We don't know how much of the resource will be needed while constructing the program
- More abstract and simpler to write

Abstract patterns and algebras

- Haskell allows to recognise abstract patterns in code, which have well-defined and analysed representations in mathematics
- A word frequently used to describe these abstractions is [algebra](#), by which we mean one or more operations and the set they operate over
- Examples of such algebras: monoids, semigroups, functors, monads, ..
- In Haskell, these algebras can be implemented with type classes
- Type classes define the set of operations, while their instances define how each operation will perform for a given type or set

Type class Monoid

- In mathematics, a monoid is an algebraic structure with a single associative binary operation and an identity element
- In other words, it is a data type for which we can define a binary function such as:
 - the function takes two parameters of the same type;
 - there exists such a value that does not change other values when used with the function (identity element);
 - If we have three or more values and use the function to reduce them to a single result, the application order does not matter (associativity).
- Examples: Integer with $(*)$ and 1, List a $([a])$ with $(++)$ and $[]$

Type class Monoid (cont.)

- The class definition:

```
class Monoid a where
  mempty :: a
  mappend :: a -> a -> a
  mconcat :: [a] -> a
  mconcat = foldr mappend mempty
```

mempty – the identity element,

mappend – the binary monoid operation,

mconcat – generalisation of mappend over a list of values

Monoids are ideal for folding

Monoid examples

- Lists are monoids:

```
instance Monoid [a] where
  mempty = []
  mappend = (++)
```

- Maybe a is a monoid:

```
instance Monoid a => Monoid (Maybe a) where
  mempty = Nothing
  Nothing 'mappend' m = m
  m 'mappend' Nothing = m
  Just m1 'mappend' Just m2 = Just (m1 'mappend' m2)
```

- The last example of monoid instance demonstrates that algebras can be reused:

```
instance Monoid a => Monoid (Maybe a) ...
```

- More such examples:

- `instance Monoid b => Monoid (a -> b) ...`
- `instance (Monoid a, Monoid b) => Monoid (a, b) ...`
- `instance (Monoid a, Monoid b, Monoid c) =>
 Monoid (a, b, c) ...`

Monoid laws

- Three mathematical properties (laws) that are expected from any monoid instance
- Left identity:
 $\text{mappend mempty } x = x$
- Right identity:
 $\text{mappend } x \text{ mempty} = x$
- Associativity:
 $\text{mappend } x (\text{mappend } y \text{ } z) = \text{mappend } (\text{mappend } x \text{ } y) \text{ } z$
- Validating/checking the laws for an instance candidate: with QuickCheck, ...

- Functor – pattern of mapping over or around some structure that we do not want to alter
- That is, we want to apply the function to the value that is "inside" of some structure and leave the structure intact
- Example: a function gets applied for each element of a list and the list structure remains. No elements are removed or added, only transformed
- The type class `Functor` generalises this pattern for many types of structure

Intuition behind functors

- Applying data transformations within the given context / structure / "box" / "wrapper"
- Functors encode
 - going inside the structure (list, tree, any data constructor),
 - applying the given transformation on the extracted inside values,
 - reconstructing the original structure
- Often a sequence of actions when the values are extracted from the context, transformed, and then the context is restored are needed

Haskell type class Functor

- The Functor type class: the types that can be mapped over
- The definition:

```
class Functor f where  
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

- The type class contains a single operation `fmap` for working within a given structure
- Looks very similar to the familiar `map`:

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

- What's a structure here? What is `f` stands for? The answers soon

fmap examples

- Looks like a whole lot of fmap is going around:

```
Prelude> fmap (*10) [2,7]
[20,70]
Prelude> fmap (+1) (Just 1)
(Just 2)
Prelude> fmap (+1) Nothing
Nothing
Prelude> fmap (+10/) (4,5)
(4,2.0)
Prelude> fmap (++ "Esq.") (Right "Chris Allen")
(Right "Chris Allen, Esq.")
```

- The same principle: transformations that happen within some external structure (a list, a tuple or a data type)

What's `f` stands for in the `fmap` type?

- There are two kinds of constructors in Haskell: type constructors and data constructors. Type constructors are used only at the type level, in type signatures and typeclass declarations and instances
- Type constructors: functions that take types and produce types. Examples: `[]`, `(,)`, `Maybe`, `Either`, `Tree` ... User-defined data type names are also type constructors, if the type definition contains at least one type variable
- The `Functor` type class is parameterised over such a type constructor (`f`)
- Essentially, `f` introduces the structure that `fmap` works inside on!

Functor examples: Lists as Functors

- It is not coincidence that the definition of `fmap` function looks like the `map` function on lists

```
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

- Lists are an instance of the `Functor` type class:

```
instance Functor [] where  
    fmap = map
```

- Having `[a]` instead of `[]` here would generate an error: a function on types (a type constructor) is expected, not a concrete type like `[a]`

Functor examples (cont.)

- Trees are functors too
- A version of the `map` function for trees was defined as:

```
mapTree :: (a -> b) -> Tree a -> Tree b
mapTree Nil = Nil
mapTree f (Node x t1 t2) =
  Node (f x) (mapTree f t1) (mapTree f t2)
```

- Tree is a functor:

```
instance Functor Tree where
  fmap = mapTree
```

Functor examples

- Mapping through elements of some type is often required and useful feature
- Example: transmitting the error through `mapMaybe`

```
mapMaybe :: (a->b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b  
  
mapMaybe g Nothing  = Nothing  
mapMaybe g (Just x) = Just (g x)
```

- Maybe is a functor:

```
instance Functor Maybe where  
    fmap = mapMaybe
```

Again, writing `Maybe`, not `Maybe a`