
RESEARCH TRACK 2

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Simone Contorno

Student ID: 4638263

Robotics Engineering

University of Genoa

A.A. 2021/2022

ABSTRACT

Statistical analysis is a fundamental part of a project aimed at improve the performance of a previous release.

In the course "Research Track 2" of the University of Genoa, these aspects have been analyzed; for this reason, an assignment has been assigned to the student to test their knowledge about this topic.

In this report are reported all the steps that have been followed to compare the performances of a new robot with a given solution in a virtual environment.

The program that manage the robot is a python script.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| 1 | Assignment | 1 |
| 2 | Hypothesis Testing | 1 |
| 2.1 | Null Hypothesis | 1 |
| 2.2 | Alternative Hypothesis | 1 |
| 2.3 | Level of Significance | 1 |
| 2.4 | Distribution | 1 |
| 3 | Data | 1 |
| 3.1 | New robot | 1 |
| 3.2 | Given solution | 3 |
| 3.3 | Table description | 3 |
| 4 | Conclusion | 5 |

1 Assignment

The assignment consists to perform a statistical analysis on the first assignment, considering two different implementations (the developed one, and a given solution) and testing which one performs better in the circuit given, when silver tokens are randomly placed in the environment.

As performance evaluators may possibly consider:

- the percentage of crashes / collisions with the walls / robot going in the wrong direction;
- the average time required to finish the circuit;
- the distance from the obstacles.

In this experiment the second one has been chosen.

2 Hypothesis Testing

2.1 Null Hypothesis

The Null Hypothesis H_0 is the case in which the new developed robot reports an average time to finish the circuit greater or equals than the given solution.

2.2 Alternative Hypothesis

The Alternative Hypothesis H_a is the case in which the new developed robot reports an average time to finish the circuit less than the given solution.

2.3 Level of Significance

The significance level is set to 5%.

2.4 Distribution

Since the data are few, the whole set is considered; then, the normal distribution is adopted.

3 Data

3.1 New robot

In the Table 1 are reported the data collected about the new robot and the Figure 1 is shown the normal distribution of them.

| Token density | Time (s) | Normal distribution |
|--------------------|----------|---------------------|
| One token | 75.84 | 0.0130167069 |
| | 77.91 | 0.0141626046 |
| | 78.10 | 0.0142655009 |
| | 78.45 | 0.0144537939 |
| | 79.62 | 0.0150698970 |
| Half tokens | 85.14 | 0.0175700307 |
| | 86.32 | 0.0179880316 |
| | 86.47 | 0.0180376411 |
| | 86.75 | 0.0181280442 |
| | 88.22 | 0.0185539131 |
| Full tokens | 97.18 | 0.0191495578 |
| | 98.50 | 0.0189342379 |
| | 98.94 | 0.0188458278 |
| | 99.20 | 0.0187897563 |
| | 99.78 | 0.0186545795 |
| Double tokens | 117.09 | 0.0104469512 |
| | 117.36 | 0.0102952538 |
| | 119.36 | 0.0091888671 |
| | 119.55 | 0.0090857076 |
| | 165.68 | 0.0000474524 |
| Mean/Average | 94.20 | |
| Standard deviation | 20.62 | |

Table 1: New robot data




Figure 1: Normal distribution of the new robot data

3.2 Given solution

In the Table 2 are reported the data collected about the given solution and the Figure 2 is shown the normal distribution of them.

3.3 Table description

As can be seen the tables are structured in the following way:

- the first column reports the "Token density", thus if the map is with just one of the default token, or half, full, double of them;
- the second column reports the "Time" in seconds of each lap;
- the third column reports the "Normal distribution" of each value, computed thanks to the "Mean/Average" and the "Standard deviation" values reported respectively in the penultimate and last row.

The **red value** in the last row of each table is a value given by a robot error during the simulation, like the inversion of direction.

Given the fact that this experiment aimed to test just the average time to complete a race, with different map configurations, errors like this one, given by an inefficient control robot sensor, are not be taken into account for the computation of the "Mean/Average" and "Standard deviation" values.

| Token density | Time (s) | Normal distribution |
|--------------------|----------|---------------------|
| One token | 138.03 | 0.0073391585 |
| | 139.50 | 0.0073391585 |
| | 140.03 | 0.0075283107 |
| | 142.15 | 0.0077177745 |
| | 142.17 | 0.0077195043 |
| Half tokens | 144.90 | 0.0079448211 |
| | 149.92 | 0.0082977962 |
| | 150.83 | 0.0083525045 |
| | 152.03 | 0.0084200280 |
| | 154.81 | 0.0085555777 |
| Full tokens | 161.48 | 0.0087549286 |
| | 164.21 | 0.0087830329 |
| | 165.91 | 0.0087845231 |
| | 166.36 | 0.0087828569 |
| | 166.73 | 0.0087808412 |
| Double tokens | 212.22 | 0.0051474411 |
| | 213.17 | 0.0050361838 |
| | 214.20 | 0.0049158414 |
| | 221.40 | 0.0040919824 |
| | 339.72 | 0.0000054804 |
| Mean/Average | 165.27 | |
| Standard deviation | 45.41 | |

Table 2: Given solution data




Figure 2: Normal distribution of the given solution data

4 Conclusion

Considering the data reported in the Section 3, the new robot version has highlighted a better performance in terms of velocity to complete a race of the map for all the configurations that have been used, with a difference of "Mean/Average" value of 71.07 seconds.

References

- [1] Prof. Carmine Tommaso Recchiuto (2022) *Fundamentals of Statistics*.