Probability construction (general setting)

Operatively, there are two ways of furnishing a (measure of) probability on a measurable space: it may be claimed The first is common in applications such as Bayesian Statistics, where you make an hypothesis on the distribution In both cases some check are in order, as we need to ensure that the probability is coherent. Note that in the secon

Some results of this kind were produced already: we have dealt with the case of discrete partitions of the sample sp [Existence and Uniqueness] Let be a discrete partition of Ω and let the function : $E_k \in \mathcal{E} \to p_k \in R$ be such that $p_k \geq 0$ for all $k \in I$. Then, there exist a unique probability P on $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ such that P and agree on \mathcal{E} . A function with

Morally, the discrete probability density on a partition describes a unique and consistent probability on the generat Let be a discrete partition of $\tilde{\Omega}$. Then

Now suppose that : $E_k \in \mathcal{E} \to p_k \in R$ is a discrete probability density. Then, if P is the probability defined in Theorem

A special case worth mentioning is that of the atomic partition on a discrete Ω . Here, the generated is $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ and the

General setting

For more general settings the short message is that Theorem holds, provided some conditions, while no explicit characteristics and the short message is that Theorem holds, provided some conditions, while no explicit characteristics are short message in the short message in the short message in the short message is that Theorem holds, provided some conditions, while no explicit characteristics are short message in the short me We present a powerful theorem of measure theory, that does just that. It will allow us to extend a pre-probability, a Let be an algebra defined on Ω . Then, $\rightarrow R$ is a pre-probability if

 $(\Omega)=1$ $(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)=\sum_i^n (A_i)$ for $A_i\in I$ if $\bigcup_{i\in N} A_i\in I$, then $(\bigcup_{i\in N} A_i)=\sum_{i\in N} (A_i)$. The latter is the condition that ensure coherence with respect to the probability, and can be read as a *need-based*. Theorem! Let be an algebra defined on Ω , and suppose that $:\to R$ is a pre-probability. Then, then, then, the suppose I is a pre-probability of I. [Carathéodory's Theorem] Let be an algebra defined on Ω , and suppose that $:\to R$ is a pre-probability. Then, then We can refine the result for practical purposes by exploiting the equivalence between σ -additivity and continuity¹.

[Carathéodory's Theorem, continuity characterization] Let be an algebra defined on Ω , and suppose that $\rightarrow R$ sat

 $\begin{array}{l} (\Omega) = 1, \\ (\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (A_i), \\ \text{if } A_i \downarrow \emptyset, \text{ then } (A_i) \downarrow 0, \end{array}$

where $A_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, there exists a unique probability $P: \sigma() \to R$ such that and P agree on. The usefulness of this is Another refinement is in order: the minimal information condition could be weaker. This is an application of the M $[\pi$ -system] A collection \mathcal{C} is said to be a π -system if stable under finite intersection. Explicitly, if $A_1, \ldots, A_n \in \mathcal{C}$ th This theorem is not just about comparisons. It furnishes sharp² conditions for the uniqueness in Theorem: it requ *Borel's σ -algebra

* σ -algebra on Bernoulli Space