SOME REMARKS ON REMOVABLE SINGULARITIES

Let $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. For a fixed $x_0\in[a,b]$, we define

$$\omega_{\delta}(x_0) = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{U}_{\delta}(x_0)} f(x) - \inf_{x \in \mathcal{U}_{\delta}(x_0)} f(x),$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{\delta}(x_0) = (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$. Clearly, ω_{δ} is a bounded and decreasing function of δ . Therefore, it is well defined the limit

$$\omega(x_0) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \omega_{\delta}(x_0).$$

We call $\omega(x_0)$ the oscillation of f at x_0 . We have the following equivalence:

$$(1.1) f ext{ is continuous at } x_0 \iff \omega(x_0) = 0.$$

In particular, it follows that the oscillation at every point of discointinuity is strictly positive.

Remark 1.1. If x_0 is a removable singularity of f, then

$$\omega(x_0) = \left| f(x_0) - \lim_{x \to x_0} f(x) \right|.$$

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.2. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{x \in [a, b] : x \text{ is a removable or jump discontinuity of } f\}$$

Then A is at most countable.

Proof. Let

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \left\{ x \in \mathcal{A} : \omega(x) > \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

We claim that every \mathcal{A}_n is a finite set. Indeed, assume by contradiction that there exists \overline{n} such that $\mathcal{A}_{\overline{n}}$ is infinite. Then, there is at least one accumulation point $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}_{\overline{n}}$. But then, it is not difficult to show that the limit of f for $x \to x_0$ does not exist. Hence, x_0 is an essential discontinuity, contradicting the fact that \mathcal{A} contains only removable or jump discontinuities. Since

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n,$$

we have the thesis.

In what follows, we will assume that f has only removable singularities. We can now state our main result.

Theorem 1.3. Let \hat{f} be the function defined by

$$\widehat{f}(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0} f(x).$$

Then \hat{f} is continuous.

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. Let $f, g : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be two functions such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x) - g(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

for a certain $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |\omega^{(f)}(x) - \omega^{(g)}(x)| < 2\varepsilon,$$

where $\omega^{(f)}, \omega^{(g)}$ are, respectively, the oscillations of f and g at x.

Proof. Let x_0 be fixed. By definition

$$|\omega^{(f)}(x_0) - \omega^{(g)}(x_0)| = \lim_{\delta \to 0} |\omega_{\delta}^{(f)}(x_0) - \omega_{\delta}^{(g)}(x_0)|.$$

For a fixed $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\omega_{\delta}^{(f)}(x_0) - \omega_{\delta}^{(g)}(x_0) = \sup f(x) - \sup g(x) - (\inf f(x) - \inf g(x)),$$

where it is understood that every supremum and infimum is computed over a neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_{\delta}(x_0)$ of x_0 . Since

$$|\sup f(x) - \sup g(x)| + |\inf f(x) - \inf g(x)| \le 2\varepsilon,$$

the proof is concluded.

We are now in position to prove the main result. Define the sequence of functions

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \omega(x) \le \frac{1}{n}, \\ \widehat{f}(x) & \omega(x) > \frac{1}{n} \end{cases}$$

Then, recalling Remark 1.1,

$$\sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f_n(x) - \widehat{f}(x)| \le \frac{1}{n}.$$

Accordingly, by Lemma 1.4 we obtain

$$|\omega^n(x_0) - \omega(x_0)| < \frac{2}{n} \quad \forall x_0 \in [a, b],$$

where ω^n is the oscillation of f_n , and ω the oscillation of \widehat{f} . Finally, since by definition

$$\omega^n(x_0) \le \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall x_0 \in [a, b],$$

we can collect the two inequalities to obtain

$$\omega(x_0) \le \omega^n(x_0) + |\omega(x_0) - \omega^n(x_0)| \le \frac{3}{n}$$

for every n, implying that \hat{f} is continuous at x_0 .