# Why Network Analysis Matters

# Insights from the Royal Bank of Canada Case

SMM638 Network Analytics

2025-10-06

# The RBC Challenge

#### Context: First-Party Fraud Detection

- RBC: Canada's largest bank with 15+ million clients, 6.5M cards
- Problem: Detecting fraudulent credit applications by own customers
- Traditional approach: Rule-based systems with 85:1 false positive ratio
- Cost: \$10M upfront + \$1M annual maintenance + 30TB weekly data processing

#### McKenzie's Mission: Reduce false positives from 85:1 to 10:1

Important

**The Connected Explosion**: "Ten fraudsters sharing 10 common data elements can create 100 false identities; if they defraud 4 financial instruments per identity with \$5,000 credit limit, potential loss = **\$2 million**"

## Why Networks Detect Fraud Better

Important

### **Traditional Fraud Detection Limitations:**

Individual attribute checking misses organized fraud patterns:

- Credit scores, income verification, address validation
- Each application evaluated in isolation
- Fraudsters exploit this independence



Network Analysis Advantage:

Reveals the connected explosion - fraudsters' coordination creates detectable signatures:

- Shared phone numbers across multiple applications
- Common email domains and IP addresses
- Linked banking activities and transactions
- Connected social security numbers and addresses

#### Fraudsters Face a Paradox



Note

Fraudsters' greatest strength (coordination) becomes their Achilles' heel (detectability through network patterns)

# The Analytical Challenge

## 16 Fraud Detection Rules Applied to 13,731 Customers

#### **Key Performance Metrics:**

Rule	Positive Hits	True Positives	Detection Rate	False Positive Rate
R1	3,221	189 (45.5%)	45.5%	17:1
R27	2,057	169 (40.7%)	40.7%	12:1
R18	9,107	84 (20.2%)	20.2%	108:1



**♦** Caution

## The Core Trade-off:

- Higher detection rate  $\rightarrow$  More false positives  $\rightarrow$  Higher investigation costs
- Lower false positive rate  $\rightarrow$  Fewer fraudsters caught  $\rightarrow$  Higher fraud losses

# **Business Value: Three Critical Insights**

## 1. Precision Enhancement Through Combined Rules

- Logit model combining 16 rules outperforms any single rule
- Achieves 2.7:1 false positive ratio at optimal threshold (0.275)
- Trade-off: Only 13% detection rate at lowest FP ratio
- Sweet spot: 80% detection (330/415 fraudsters) at 10:1 ratio (threshold = 0.05)

## 2. Cost-Benefit Analysis

Must balance: - Average cost of undetected fraudster (\$2M potential loss per ring) - Average cost per investigation (\$500-2000 per positive hit) - Data processing costs (ETL: 30TB weekly, 5 days processing time)

#### 3. System Performance Optimization

- Real-time vs. batch processing: 5-day lag creates vulnerability window
- Super clusters: Everyone-connected-to-everyone formations require threshold tuning
- Scalability: Managing millions of accounts with complex network algorithms

## What Business Analysts Must Understand

## The Multi-Dimensional Optimization Problem:

#### **Technical Dimensions:**

- Feature engineering from network patterns
- Model performance (precision, recall, F1-score)
- Computational efficiency and scalability

#### **Business Dimensions:**

- Customer experience (minimizing false accusations)
- Operational efficiency (investigation team capacity)
- Financial impact (fraud losses vs. prevention costs)

#### Strategic Dimensions:

- System evolution as fraudsters adapt
- Integration with legacy banking systems
- Regulatory compliance and data privacy



Real Outcome: By end of 2015, RBC reduced false positive ratio to 24:1 (progress but still above 10:1 target). In March 2016, discontinued SNA to revamp ETL infrastructure.

# **Key Lessons for Analysts**

#### 1. Context Matters More Than Algorithms

- Best model must align with business constraints
- Pure accuracy is not the goal—balanced performance is

#### 2. Trade-offs Are Inherent

- Detection rate vs. false positive rate
- Thoroughness vs. operational efficiency
- Real-time responsiveness vs. computational cost

# 3. Network Analysis Reveals Hidden Patterns

- Individual-level analysis misses organized fraud
- Connectivity is both the crime's structure and its detection mechanism
- Network thinking transforms how we identify risk

#### Note

This case demonstrates why business analysts need both technical skills (modeling, optimization) and business judgment (trade-off management, stakeholder communication)