

Performance Modeling of Computer Systems and Networks

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

Analytical models
Exercises

Università degli studi di Roma Tor Vergata
Department of Civil Engineering and Computer Science Engineering

Copyright © Vittoria de Nitto Personè, 2021
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>



1

Consider a web server with a mean processing rate of 1.2 job/s.
If the server receives requests with a rate of 0.45 job/s and it has 0.225
enqueued jobs on average, determine:

- a) the average utilization
- b) the average response time.

During rush hours the arrival rate grows of 20% and the average number of
enqueued jobs becomes 0.3681818.

Determine:


- c) the performance metrics a) and b)
- d) which further increasing in arrival rate makes the server collapsing
- e) the performance metrics a) and b) for the limiting case d).

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

2

2

Analytical models



- a) the average utilization
b) the average response time.

$$\rho = \lambda / \mu = 0,375$$


$$E(T_s) = \frac{E(N_s)}{\lambda} = 0,6 / 0,45 = 1,333333 \text{ s} \quad E(N_s) = E(N_Q) + \rho = 0,225 + 0,375 = 0,6$$

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

3

3

Analytical models



During rush hours the arrival rate grows of 20% and the average number of enqueued jobs becomes 0.3681818.

Determine:

- c) the performance metrics a) and b)


$$\rho = \lambda' / \mu = 0,45$$

$$E(T_Q) = \frac{E(N_Q)}{\lambda'} = 0,681818 \text{ s} \quad E(T_s) = E(T_Q) + E(S) = 1,515151$$

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

4

4



- c) which further increasing in arrival rate makes the server collapsing
 d) the performance metrics a) and b) for the limiting case d).

$$\rho \rightarrow 1 \quad \lambda' \rightarrow \mu$$

$$0,54 * x = 1,2 \quad x = 2,222222$$

$$E(T_s) = \infty$$

Let us consider a server that processes jobs with rate 0.8 jobs/s.
 By assuming that the server receives jobs with a rate depending on the time slot as follows:

- 8.00 a.m. – 12.00 a.m. average arrival rate 1.5 jobs/s
- 12.00 a.m. – 2.00 p.m. average arrival rate 0.5 jobs/s
- 2.00 p.m. – 7.00 p.m. average arrival rate 1.5 jobs/s
- 7.00 p.m. – 9.00 p.m. average arrival rate 0.5 jobs/s
- 9.00 p.m. – 8.00 a.m. average arrival rate 0.05 jobs/s

Determine:

- a) average arrival rate per day (24 hours)
- b) average utilization per day
- c) average throughput per day
- d) average throughput for each time slot

Please, justify and comment the results by indicating the used laws.

- a) average arrival rate per day (24 hours)
b) average utilization per day



I time slot:	4 h	1.5 jobs/s
II time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
III time slot:	5 h	1.5 jobs/s
IV time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
V time slot:	11 h	0.05 jobs/s

$$\frac{9}{24} \times 1,5 + \frac{4}{24} \times 0,5 + \frac{11}{24} \times 0,05 = 0,66875 \text{ j / s}$$

$$\rho = \lambda / \mu = 0,835937$$

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

7

7

- c) average throughput per day



I time slot:	4 h	1.5 jobs/s
II time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
III time slot:	5 h	1.5 jobs/s
IV time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
V time slot:	11 h	0.05 jobs/s

~~$$\begin{aligned} \lambda < \mu &\rightarrow X = \lambda \\ \lambda > \mu &\rightarrow X = \mu \end{aligned}$$~~

$$\frac{9}{24} \times 0,8 + \frac{4}{24} \times 0,5 + \frac{11}{24} \times 0,05 = 0,4062496 \text{ j / s} < 0,66875 \text{ j/s}$$

???

The system is not stationary!!!


Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

8

8

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



I time slot:	4 h = 14.400 x 1,5 =	21.600	jobs arrived
	14.400 x 0,8 =	<u>11.520</u>	jobs served
		10.080	jobs in queue!!
II time slot:		10.080	job in queue
	2 h = 7.200 x 0,5 =	3.600	job arrived
	7.200 x 0,8 =	<u>5.760</u>	job served
		7.920	jobs in queue
III time slot:		7.920	jobs in queue
	5 h = 18.400 x 1,5 =	27.000	jobs arrived
	18.400 x 0,8 =	<u>14.400</u>	jobs served
		20.520	jobs in queue

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

9

9

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



IV time slot:		20.520	jobs in queue
	2 h = 7.200 x 0,5 =	3.600	job arrived
	7.200 x 0,8 =	<u>5.760</u>	job served
		18.360	jobs in queue
V time slot:		18.360	jobs in queue
	11 h = 39.600 x 0,05 =	<u>1.980</u>	jobs arrived
		20.340	all served!!!


Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

10

10

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot $\lambda=0,66875 \text{ j/s}$



server never empty!!
 $X=0,8 \text{ j/s}$

I time slot:	4 h = 14.400 x 1,5 =	21.600	jobs arrived	
	14.400 x 0,8 =	<u>11.520</u>	jobs served	
		10.080	jobs in queue!!	
II time slot:		10.080	job in queue	
	2 h = 7.200 x 0,5 =	3.600	job arrived	$X=0,8 \text{ j/s}$
	7.200 x 0,8 =	<u>5.760</u>	job served	
		7.920	jobs in queue	
III time slot:		7.920	jobs in queue	
	5 h = 18.400 x 1,5 =	27.000	jobs arrived	$X=0,8 \text{ j/s}$
	18.400 x 0,8 =	<u>14.400</u>	jobs served	
		20.520	jobs in queue	


Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

11

11

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot $\lambda=0,66875 \text{ j/s}$



$X=0,8 \text{ j/s}$

IV time slot:		20.520	jobs in queue	
	2 h = 7.200 x 0,5 =	3.600	job arrived	
	7.200 x 0,8 =	<u>5.760</u>	job served	
		18.360	jobs in queue	
V time slot:		18.360	jobs in queue	
	11 h = 39.600 x 0,05 =	<u>1.980</u>	jobs arrived	$X=20.340/39600=$
		20.340	all served!!!	$0,513636 \text{ j/s}$

$$\frac{13}{24} \times 0,8 + \frac{11}{24} \times 0,513636 = 0,6687499 \text{ j/s}$$

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

12

12