

II Università di Roma, Tor Vergata
Dipartimento d'Ingegneria Civile e Ingegneria Informatica
LM in Ingegneria dell'Informazione e dell'Automazione
Complementi di Probabilità e Statistica - Advanced Statistics
Instructors: Roberto Monte & Massimo Regoli
Problems on Conditional Expectation with Solution 2021-11-25

Problem 1 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ be a probability space and let $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$. Assume that $\mathbf{E}[Y^2 | X] = X^2$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | X] = X$. Show that $X = Y$ a.s. on Ω . Hint: is it true that $X = Y$ a.s. on Ω if and only if $\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] = 0$?

Solution. Recall that, since $(X - Y)^2 \geq 0$, thanks to the properties of the Lebesgue integral, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] = 0 \Leftrightarrow X = Y \text{ a.s. on } \Omega.$$

Now, by virtues of the properties of the conditional expectation operator, we can write

$$\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2 | X]].$$

On the other hand, since the random variable X is clearly measurable with respect to the σ -algebra $\sigma(X)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2 | X] &= \mathbf{E}[X^2 - 2XY + Y^2 | X] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[X^2 | X] - 2\mathbf{E}[XY | X] + \mathbf{E}[Y^2 | X] \\ &= X^2 - 2X\mathbf{E}[Y | X] + \mathbf{E}[Y^2 | X]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the assumptions on $\mathbf{E}[Y^2 | X]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | X]$, allow us to conclude that

$$\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2 | X] = 0.$$

It follows

$$\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] = 0,$$

which implies the desired result.

Problem 2 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ be a probability space and let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) \equiv \mathbb{R}$ be the Euclidean real line endowed with the Borel σ -algebra. Let $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, let $\{F_n\}_{n \in N}$ be a complete system of mutually exclusive events of Ω and let \mathcal{F} be the σ -algebra generated by $\{F_n\}_{n \in N}$. In symbols $\mathcal{F} \equiv \sigma(\{F_n\}_{n \in N})$. We know that a map $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -random variable if and only if

$$Y(\omega) = \sum_{n \in N} y_n 1_{F_n}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $(y_n)_{n \in N}$ is a suitable sequence of real numbers.

Exercise 3 Consider a random variable $X \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ and let $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ the space of all $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -random variables with finite second-order moment. Use the above claim to prove that

$$\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}] = \arg \min_{Y \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})} \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2]$$

As a consequence, after proving that $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, show that $\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}]$ is the orthogonal projection of X on $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$.

Solution. The space $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ of all $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -random variables with finite second-order moment is a subspace of $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ because fulfills the conditions for a subset of a Hilbert space to be a subspace of the Hilbert space. In fact, for all $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$, $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -random variables with finite second-order moment, and all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ the linear combination $\alpha X + \beta Y$ is also an $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$ -random variable with finite second order moment, that is to say $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ is closed with respect to the linear combination. In addition, if $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence belonging to $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ and such that $X_n \xrightarrow{L^2} X$, where $X \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$, we have also $X \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$, that is to say $L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$ is a closed subset of $L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ in the topology induced by the norm.

Now, given $X \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})$, consider the functional $\Delta_X : L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ given by

$$\Delta_X(Y) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{E} \left[(X - Y)^2 \right], \quad \forall Y \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}).$$

Since in the case under consideration

$$Y \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow Y(\omega) = \sum_{n \in N} y_n 1_{F_n}(\omega), \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega,$$

we can write

$$\Delta_X(Y) = \mathbf{E} \left[\left(X - \sum_{n \in N} y_n 1_{F_n} \right)^2 \right] \equiv \Delta_X(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_X(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) &= \mathbf{E} \left[X^2 - 2 \sum_{n \in N} y_n X 1_{F_n} + \sum_{m, n \in N} y_m y_n 1_{F_m} 1_{F_n} \right] \\ &= \mathbf{E} [X^2] - 2 \sum_{n \in N} y_n \mathbf{E} [X 1_{F_n}] + \sum_{m, n \in N} y_m y_n \mathbf{E} [1_{F_m} 1_{F_n}]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$1_{F_m} 1_{F_n} = \begin{cases} 1_{F_n} & \text{if } m = n \\ 1_{\emptyset} & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases}.$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{E} [1_E] = \mathbf{P}(E), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}$$

and

$$\mathbf{E} [X 1_E] = \int_{\Omega} X 1_E d\mathbf{P} = \int_E X d\mathbf{P}, \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{E}.$$

Therefore,

$$\Delta_X(Y) = \mathbf{E} [X^2] - 2 \sum_{n \in N} y_n \int_{F_n} X d\mathbf{P} + \sum_{n \in N} y_n^2 \mathbf{P}(F_n).$$

As a consequence,

$$\partial_{y_m} \Delta_X(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) = -2 \int_{F_m} X d\mathbf{P} + 2y_m \mathbf{P}(F_m), \quad \forall m \in N,$$

which implies

$$\partial_{y_m} \Delta_X(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) = 0 \Leftrightarrow y_m = \frac{1}{\mathbf{P}(F_m)} \int_{F_m} X d\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{E} [X \mid F_m], \quad \forall m \in N.$$

Thus, a candidate minimum Y for the functional $\Delta_X : L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ takes the form

$$Y = \sum_{n \in N} \mathbf{E}[X | F_n] 1_{F_n} = \mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}].$$

Now, we have

$$\partial_{y_m}^2 \Delta_X(y_1, \dots, y_n, \dots) = \mathbf{P}(F_m) > 0$$

and the functional $\Delta_X : L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is known to be convex¹. It then follow that

$$\mathbf{E}[X | \mathcal{F}] = \arg \min_{Y \in L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R})} \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2].$$

To complete the proof, it is sufficient to observe that in a Hilbert space the ortoghonal projection of a given vector onto a subspace determines the vector in the subspace of the minimum distance from the given vector.

Problem 4 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ be a probability space and let $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})) \equiv \mathbb{R}$ be the Euclidean real line endowed with the Borel σ -algebra. Let $X, Y \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$.

1. Prove in all details that $\mathbf{E}[Y | X] = \mathbf{E}[Y]$ a.e. on Ω implies $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$, but X and Y may not be independent.

¹To prove the convexity of the functional $\Delta_X : L^2(\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, we may observe that thanks, to the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the convexity of the standard quadratic function $f(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_X(\theta Y + (1 - \theta)Z) &= \mathbf{E}[(X - (\theta Y + (1 - \theta)Z))^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[(\theta(X - Y) + (1 - \theta)(X - Z))^2] \\ &= \mathbf{E}[\theta^2(X - Y)^2 + 2\theta(1 - \theta)(X - Y)(X - Z) + (1 - \theta)^2(X - Z)^2] \\ &= \theta^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] + 2\theta(1 - \theta) \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)(X - Z)] + (1 - \theta)^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2] \\ &\leq \theta^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] + 2\theta(1 - \theta) |\mathbf{E}[(X - Y)(X - Z)]| + (1 - \theta)^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2] \\ &\leq \theta^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] + 2\theta(1 - \theta) \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2]^{1/2} \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2]^{1/2} + (1 - \theta)^2 \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2] \\ &= \left(\theta \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2]^{1/2} + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2]^{1/2} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \theta \mathbf{E}[(X - Y)^2] + (1 - \theta) \mathbf{E}[(X - Z)^2], \end{aligned}$$

for every $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

To show the convexity of the standard quadratic function, $f(u) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} u^2$, we may observe that the inequality

$$(u - v)^2 \geq 0,$$

which holds true for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, implies

$$-\theta(1 - \theta)(u - v)^2 \leq 0,$$

which holds true for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\theta \in [0, 1]$. The latter can be rewritten as

$$-\theta(1 - \theta)(u^2 - 2uv + v^2) \leq 0$$

or equivalently

$$\theta^2 u^2 - \theta u^2 + 2\theta(1 - \theta)uv + (1 - \theta)^2 v^2 - (1 - \theta)v^2.$$

This implies

$$\theta^2 u^2 + 2\theta(1 - \theta)uv + (1 - \theta)^2 v^2 \leq \theta u^2 + (1 - \theta)v^2.$$

Hence,

$$(\theta u + (1 - \theta)v)^2 \leq \theta u^2 + (1 - \theta)v^2,$$

which proves the desired result.

2. Prove in all details that $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = 0$ does not imply $\mathbf{E}[Y | X] = \mathbf{E}[Y]$.

Hint: in the first case, to generate a suitable counterexample one may consider the random variables $X \sim \text{Ber}(p)$, $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, independent of X , and $Y = XZ$. In the second case consider $X \sim N(0, 1)$ and $Y = X^2$.

Solution.

Problem 5 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ be a probability space and let X and Y be independent standard Gaussian distributed random variables on Ω . Set

$$U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X + Y, \quad V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X - Y.$$

1. Compute the distributions of U and V .
2. Prove that U and V are independent.
3. Compute $\mathbf{E}[X | U]$, $\mathbf{E}[X | V]$, $\mathbf{E}[Y | U]$, $\mathbf{E}[Y | V]$.
4. Compute $\mathbf{E}[XY | U]$.

Hint: It might be useful to consider $\mathbf{E}[X^2 | U]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y^2 | U]$.

Solution.

1. Since X and Y are independent Gaussian random variables, X and Y are also jointly Gaussian, that is the random vector $(X, Y)^\top$ is Gaussian. By virtue of the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} U \\ V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix},$$

it then follows that the vector $(U, V)^\top$ is Gaussian. Hence, its entries U and V are Gaussian. Now,

$$\mathbf{E}[U] = \mathbf{E}[X + Y] = \mathbf{E}[X] + \mathbf{E}[Y] = 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[V] = \mathbf{E}[X - Y] = \mathbf{E}[X] - \mathbf{E}[Y] = 0.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathbf{D}^2[U] = \mathbf{D}^2[X + Y] = \mathbf{D}^2[X] + \mathbf{D}^2[Y] = 2$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}^2[V] = \mathbf{D}^2[X - Y] = \mathbf{D}^2[X] + \mathbf{D}^2[Y] = 2.$$

We then have

$$U \sim V \sim N(0, 2).$$

2. We clearly have,

$$\mathbf{E}[U] \mathbf{E}[V] = 0$$

Moreover,

$$\mathbf{E}[UV] = \mathbf{E}[(X + Y)(X - Y)] = \mathbf{E}[X^2 - Y^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^2] - \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{D}^2[X] - \mathbf{D}^2[Y] = 0$$

As a consequence,

$$\text{Cov}(U, V) = \mathbf{E}[UV] - \mathbf{E}[U] \mathbf{E}[V] = 0.$$

On the other hand, the vector $(U, V)^\top$ is Gaussian. Thus, the zero correlation of U and V implies the independence of U and V .

3. Note that we can write

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X + Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ V \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ X - Y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix}$$

Hence, the vectors $(X, U)^\top$ and $(X, V)^\top$ are Gaussian. As a consequence, thanks to the conditional expectation formula for the entries of a Gaussian vector, we can write

$$\mathbf{E}[X | U] = \mathbf{E}[X] + \frac{\text{Cov}(X, U)}{\mathbf{D}^2[U]} (U - \mathbf{E}[U]) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, X + Y)}{2} U = \frac{\mathbf{D}^2[X] + \text{Cov}(X, Y)}{2} U = \frac{1}{2} U.$$

Similarly

$$\mathbf{E}[X | V] = \mathbf{E}[X] + \frac{\text{Cov}(X, V)}{\mathbf{D}^2[V]} (V - \mathbf{E}[V]) = \frac{\text{Cov}(X, X - Y)}{2} V = \frac{\mathbf{D}^2[X] - \text{Cov}(X, Y)}{2} V = \frac{1}{2} V.$$

The same argument implies

$$\mathbf{E}[Y | U] = -\frac{1}{2} U \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}[Y | V] = -\frac{1}{2} V.$$

Alternatively, thanks to the properties of the conditional expectation and independence of U and V we can write

$$\mathbf{E}[X | U] + \mathbf{E}[Y | U] = \mathbf{E}[X + Y | U] = \mathbf{E}[U | U] = U$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[X | U] - \mathbf{E}[Y | U] = \mathbf{E}[X - Y | U] = \mathbf{E}[V | U] = \mathbf{E}[V] = 0$$

Solving for $\mathbf{E}[X | U] + \mathbf{E}[Y | U]$, we obtain

$$\mathbf{E}[X | U] = \frac{1}{2} U \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}[Y | U] = -\frac{1}{2} U$$

We can also write

$$\mathbf{E}[X | V] - \mathbf{E}[Y | V] = \mathbf{E}[X - Y | V] = \mathbf{E}[V | V] = V.$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[X | V] + \mathbf{E}[Y | V] = \mathbf{E}[X + Y | V] = \mathbf{E}[U | V] = \mathbf{E}[U] = 0.$$

It follows

$$\mathbf{E}[X | V] = \frac{1}{2} V \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E}[Y | V] = -\frac{1}{2} V.$$

Note that $\mathbf{E}[X | U]$ and $\mathbf{E}[X | V]$ are the linear regressions of X against U and V , respectively while $\mathbf{E}[Y | U]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | V]$ are the linear regressions of Y against U and V , respectively.

4. In the end, observe that we have

$$X = \frac{1}{2} (U + V) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \frac{1}{2} (U - V).$$

Hence,

$$XY = \frac{1}{4} (U^2 - V^2).$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbf{E}[XY \mid U] &= \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{E}[U^2 - V^2 \mid U] \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} (\mathbf{E}[U^2 \mid U] - \mathbf{E}[V^2 \mid U]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} (U^2 - \mathbf{E}[V^2]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} (U^2 - \mathbf{D}^2[V]) \\
 &= \frac{1}{4} (U^2 - 2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Problem 6 Let N be a geometric random variable with success probability p , which models the first occurrence of success in n independent trials, and let $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of independent and normally distributed random variables with mean μ and variance σ^2 , which are also independent of N . Study the conditional expectation

$$\mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^N X_k \mid N \right].$$

Use the properties of the conditional expectation to compute the expectation and the variance of the random sum

$$S_N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^N X_k.$$

Solution. .

Problem 7 Let Z [resp. R] be a standard Gaussian [Rademacher] random variable on a probability space Ω . In symbols, $X \sim N(0,1)$ and $R \sim \text{Rad}(1/2)$. Assume that X and R are independent and define $Y \equiv R \cdot X$.

1. Is the random variable Y Gaussian?
2. Are the random variables X and Y uncorrelated? Are X and Y independent?
3. Are the random variables R and Y uncorrelated? Are R and Y independent?
4. Does the random vector $(X, Y)^\top$ have a bivariate Gaussian distribution? Hint: consider the possibility that $(X, Y)^\top$ has a bivariate Gaussian distribution; how the random variable $Z \equiv X + Y$ should be distributed?
5. Can you compute $\mathbf{E}[Y \mid X]$ and $\mathbf{E}[X \mid Y]$?

Solution.

1. To prove that Y is Gaussian we show that

$$\mathbf{P}(Y \leq y) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq y), \tag{1}$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$. To this, on account that $\{R = 1\}$, $\{R = -1\}$ constitute a partition of Ω , the random variables R and X are independent, and X is symmetric about 0, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P}(Y \leq y) &= \mathbf{P}(RX \leq y) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(RX \leq y, R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(RX \leq y, R = -1) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(RX \leq y \mid R = 1) \mathbf{P}(R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(RX \leq y \mid R = -1) \mathbf{P}(R = -1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(X \leq y \mid R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y \mid R = -1)) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(X \leq y) + \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y)) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(X \leq y),
\end{aligned}$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$. This proves that $Y \sim X \sim N(0, 1)$.

2. Since $Y \equiv R \cdot X$, the intuition is that the observation of the values taken by X transmits information on the values taken by Y . That is X and Y are not independent. However, on account that $\mathbf{E}[R] = 0$ and thanks to the independence of X and R , which implies the independence of X^2 and R , we have

$$\mathbf{E}[XY] = \mathbf{E}[XRX] = \mathbf{E}[RX^2] = \mathbf{E}[R] \mathbf{E}[X^2] = 0 = \mathbf{E}[X] \mathbf{E}[R].$$

This shows that X and Y are uncorrelated. On the other hand, since $X \sim N(0, 1)$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[X^2 Y^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^2 R^2 X^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^4] = 3$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[X^2] \mathbf{E}[Y^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^2] \mathbf{E}[R^2 X^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^2] \mathbf{E}[X^2] = \mathbf{E}[X^2]^2 = 1.$$

This shows that X^2 and Y^2 are not uncorrelated, which prevents that X^2 and Y^2 are not independent. Eventually, X and Y cannot be independent.

3. On account that $R^2 \sim \text{Dirac}(1)$, we have

$$\mathbf{E}[RY] = \mathbf{E}[RRX] = \mathbf{E}[R^2 X] = \mathbf{E}[X] = 0 = \mathbf{E}[X] \mathbf{E}[R].$$

This shows that R and Y are uncorrelated. On the other hand, since $Y \equiv R \cdot X \sim N(0, 1)$ the intuition is that the observation of the values taken by R transmits no information on the values taken by Y . Hence, the intuition is that R and Y are independent. To prove this, we show that

$$\mathbf{P}(R \leq r, Y \leq y) = \mathbf{P}(R \leq r) \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y), \quad (2)$$

for all $r, y \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact, still on account that $\{R = 1\}$, $\{R = -1\}$ constitute a partition of Ω , the random variables R and X are independent and X is symmetric about 0, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{P}(R \leq r, Y \leq y) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, Y \leq y, R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, Y \leq y, R = -1) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, XR \leq y, R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, XR \leq y, R = -1) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, XR \leq y \mid R = 1) \mathbf{P}(R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(R \leq r, XR \leq y \mid R = -1) \mathbf{P}(R = -1) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(1 \leq r, X \leq y \mid R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(-1 \leq r, X \geq -y \mid R = -1)) \\
&= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y \mid R = -1) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(X \leq y) & \text{if } -1 \leq r < 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(X \leq y \mid R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y \mid R = -1)) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(X \leq y) + \mathbf{P}(X \geq -y)) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq y) & \text{if } 1 \leq r \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\mathbf{P}(R \leq r) \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < -1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(X \leq y) & \text{if } -1 \leq r < 1 \\ \mathbf{P}(Y \leq y) = \mathbf{P}(X \leq y) & \text{if } 1 \leq r \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the random variables R and Y are independent.

4. If the random vector $(X, Y)^\top$ had a bivariate Gaussian distribution, the random variable $Z \equiv X + Y$ should be Gaussian distributed. On the other hand,

$$Z = X + Y = X + RX = (R + 1)X.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(x) &= \mathbf{P}(Z \leq z) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Z \leq z, R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(Z \leq z, R = -1) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(Z \leq z \mid R = 1) \mathbf{P}(R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(Z \leq z \mid R = -1) \mathbf{P}(R = -1) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}((R + 1)X \leq z \mid R = 1) + \mathbf{P}((R + 1)X \leq z \mid R = -1)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(2X \leq z \mid R = 1) + \mathbf{P}(0 \leq z \mid R = -1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have that the events

$$\{2X \leq z\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{R = 1\}$$

are independent. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \{0 \leq z\} &= \Omega \quad \text{if } z \geq 0 \\ \{0 \leq z\} &= \emptyset \quad \text{if } z < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$F_Z(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(2X \leq z) & \text{if } z < 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(2X \leq z) + 1) & \text{if } z \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

in particular, if $z < 0$, we have

$$F_Z(x) \leq \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}(2X \leq 0) = \frac{1}{4}$$

and if $z \geq 0$

$$F_Z(x) \geq \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{P}(2X \leq 0) + 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + 1 \right) = \frac{3}{4},$$

Hence, F_Z cannot not continuous at $z = 0$. This prevents Z to be Gaussian.

5. By virtue of what shown above and the properties of the conditional expectation, we have,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y \mid X] = \mathbf{E}[RX \mid X] = X \mathbf{E}[R \mid X] = X \mathbf{E}[R] = 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}[X \mid Y] = \mathbf{E}[XR^2 \mid Y] = \mathbf{E}[XRR \mid Y] = \mathbf{E}[YR \mid Y] = Y \mathbf{E}[R \mid Y] = Y \mathbf{E}[R] = 0.$$

Problem 8 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ a probability space, let X and Y be independent standard Rademacher random variables on Ω . Define $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X + Y$.

1. Compute $\mathbf{E}[X \mid Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y \mid Z]$.

2. Are the random variables $\mathbf{E}[X | Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | Z]$ uncorrelated?
3. Are the random variables $\mathbf{E}[X | Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | Z]$ independent?
4. By using the properties of the conditional expectation, on account that you are dealing with standard Rademacher random variables, can you compute $\mathbf{E}\left[(X + Y)^2 | Z\right]$ and $\mathbf{E}[XY | Z]$?

Solution. .

Problem 9 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{P}) \equiv \Omega$ a probability space, let X and Y be independent standard Bernoulli random variables on Ω . Define $Z \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X + Y$.

1. Compute $\mathbf{E}[X | Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | Z]$.
2. Are the random variables $\mathbf{E}[X | Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | Z]$ uncorrelated?
3. Are the random variables $\mathbf{E}[X | Z]$ and $\mathbf{E}[Y | Z]$ independent?
4. By using the properties of the conditional expectation, on account that you are dealing with standard Bernoulli random variables, can you compute $\mathbf{E}\left[(X + Y)^2 | Z\right]$ and $\mathbf{E}[XY | Z]$?

Solution. .