Performance Modeling of Computer Systems and Networks

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè

Analytical models
Exercises

Università degli studi di Roma Tor Vergata
Department of Civil Engineering and Computer Science Engineering

1

Consider a web server with a mean processing rate of 1.2 job/s.
If the server receives requests with a rate of 0.45 job/s and it has 0.225 enqueued jobs on average, determine:

a) the average utilization
b) the average response time.

During rush hours the arrival rate grows of 20% and the average number of enqueued jobs becomes 0.3681818.
Determine:
c) the performance metrics a) and b)
d) which further increasing in arrival rate makes the server collapsing
e) the performance metrics a) and b) for the limiting case d).

2



E(N_Q)=0,225

a) the average utilization
b) the average response time.

$$\rho = \lambda / \mu = 0{,}375$$

$$E(T_s) = \frac{E(N_s)}{\lambda} \quad =0,6/0,45 = 1,333333 \text{ s} \qquad E(N_s) = E(N_Q) + \rho = 0,225+0,375=0,6$$

3



E(N_Q)=0,3681818

During rush hours the arrival rate grows of 20% and the average number of enqueued jobs becomes 0.3681818.
Determine:
c) the performance metrics a) and b)

$$\rho = \lambda' / \mu = 0{,}45$$

$$E(T_Q) = \frac{E(N_Q)}{\lambda'} =0,681818 \text{ s} \qquad E(T_s) = E(T_Q) + E(S) \quad = 1,515151$$

4



c) which further increasing in arrival rate makes the server collapsing
d) the performance metrics a) and b) for the limiting case d).

$\rho \to 1$ $\lambda' \to \mu$

0,54*x=1,2 x=2,222222

$E(T_s) = \infty$

5

Let us consider a server that processes jobs with rate 0.8 jobs/s.
By assuming that the server receives jobs with a rate depending on the time slot as follows:

8.00 a.m. – 12.00 a.m. average arrival rate 1.5 jobs/s
12.00 a.m. – 2.00 p.m. average arrival rate 0.5 jobs/s
2.00 p.m. – 7.00 p.m. average arrival rate 1.5 jobs/s
7.00 p.m. – 9.00 p.m. average arrival rate 0.5 jobs/s
9.00 p.m. – 8.00 a.m. average arrival rate 0.05 jobs/s

Determine:

a) average arrival rate per day (24 hours)
b) average utilization per day
c) average throughput per day
d) average throughput for each time slot

Please, justify and comment the results by indicating the used laws.

6

a) average arrival rate per day (24 hours)
b) average utilization per day



I time slot:	4 h	1.5 jobs/s
II time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
III time slot:	5 h	1.5 jobs/s
IV time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
V time slot:	11 h	0.05 jobs/s

$$\frac{9}{24}\times 1{,}5+\frac{4}{24}\times 0{,}5+\frac{11}{24}\times 0{,}05 = 0{,}66875\ j/s$$

$$\rho = \lambda/\mu = 0{,}835937$$

nelle 24 ore, tutto ciò che è entrato è stato servito, in quanto lambda < mu

7

c) average throughput per day



I time slot:	4 h	1.5 jobs/s
II time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
III time slot:	5 h	1.5 jobs/s
IV time slot:	2 h	0.5 jobs/s
V time slot:	11 h	0.05 jobs/s

~~$\lambda < \mu \rightarrow X = \lambda$~~
~~$\lambda > \mu \rightarrow X = \mu$~~

Questo vale solo se si parte da coda vuota, ma nessuno qui ci ha assicurato che ad ogni slot si parta con tale condizione.

$$\frac{9}{24}\times 0{,}8+\frac{4}{24}\times 0{,}5+\frac{11}{24}\times 0{,}05 = 0{,}4062496\ j/s < 0{,}66875\ \text{j/s}$$

??? questo calcolo è quindi sbagliato!

The system is not stationary!!!(entra X, esce Y<X)

avrei dovuto avere due valori uguali, allora sarebbe stato stazionario.

8

In questa seconda slide, l'osservazione che facciamo è la seguente:
Prima abbiamo visto il valore lambda nelle 24 ore, arrivando al valore di 0.66875.
Adesso stiamo vedendo il throughput nelle 24 ore, che è il minimo tra lambda e mu nelle varie fasce orarie.
Tuttavia, facendo il calcolo, notiamo come il throughput sia MINORE di lambda, mentre per quello che sappiamo noi, nel caso stazionario throughput == lambda. Qua non abbiamo ciò, quindi il sistema non è stazionario.

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



I time slot:	4 h = 14.400 x1,5=	21.600	jobs arrived
	14.400x0,8=	11.520	jobs served
		10.080	jobs in queue!! rimasti in coda
II time slot:		10.080	job in queue
	2 h =7.200x0.5=	+ 3.600	job arrived
	7.200x0.8=	- 5.760	job served
		7.920	jobs in queue
III time slot:		7.920	jobs in queue
	5 h =18.400x1.5=	27.000	jobs arrived
	18.400x0.8=	14.400	jobs served
		20.520	jobs in queue

9

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



IV time slot:		20.520	jobs in queue
	2 h =7.200x0.5=	3.600	job arrived
	7.200x0.8=	5.760	job served
		18.360	jobs in queue
V time slot:		18.360	jobs in queue
	11 h =39.600x0.05=	1.980	jobs arrived
		20.340	all served!!!

nell'ultimo slot ne servo 39600*0,8 = 31680 che essendo maggiore di 20340 vuol dire che li servo tutti, quindi non ne avanza nessuno. Solo in questo slot il sistema è stazionario, perchè li ho serviti tutti.

10

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



server never empty!!

I time slot:	4 h = 14.400 x1,5=	21.600	jobs arrived	
	14.400x0,8=	11.520	jobs served	X=0,8 j/s
		10.080	jobs in queue!!	
II time slot:		10.080	job in queue	
	2 h =7.200x0.5=	3.600	job arrived	X=0,8 j/s
	7.200x0.8=	5.760	job served	
		7.920	jobs in queue	
III time slot:		7.920	jobs in queue	
	5 h =18.400x1.5=	27.000	jobs arrived	X=0,8 j/s
	18.400x0.8=	14.400	jobs served	
		20.520	jobs in queue	

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè 11

11

in questi slot è ho lambda > mu, quindi throughput è mu.

The system is not stationary

d) average throughput for each time slot



IV time slot:		20.520	jobs in queue	
	2 h =7.200x0.5=	3.600	job arrived	X=0,8 j/s
	7.200x0.8=	5.760	job served	
		18.360	jobs in queue	
V time slot:		18.360	jobs in queue	
	11 h =39.600x0.05=	1.980	jobs arrived	X= 20.340/39600= 0,513636 j/s
		20.340	all served!!!	

$$\frac{13}{24}\times 0,8+\frac{11}{24}\times 0,5136363 = 0,6687499\ j/s$$

Prof. Vittoria de Nitto Personè 12

12

job arrivati in totale nell'intervallo di osservazione

Non poteva essere solo lambda perchè abbiamo il "riporto" degli altri job da sommare.