

II Università di Roma, Tor Vergata  
Dipartimento d'Ingegneria Civile e Ingegneria Informatica  
LM in Ingegneria dell'Informazione e dell'Automazione  
Complementi di Probabilità e Statistica - Advanced Statistics  
Instructors: Roberto Monte & Massimo Regoli  
Solved Problems on Hypothesis Tests 2023-01-17

**Problem 1** Let  $X$  be a Gaussian random variable with unknown mean  $\mu_X$  and variance  $\sigma_X^2$  representing a population. Assume that testing the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  and the sample standard deviation  $S_n$  of a simple random sample  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  of size  $n \equiv 9$  drawn from  $X$  we obtain the value  $\bar{X}_n(\omega) \equiv \bar{x}_n = 251.50\text{cm}$  and  $S_n(\omega) \equiv s_n = 2.30\text{cm}$ .

1. Considering both the rejection region method and the  $p$ -value method, should the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \mu_X = 250\text{cm}$  be rejected against the alternative  $H_a : \mu_X \neq 250\text{cm}$  at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.1$ ?
2. Considering both the rejection region method and the  $p$ -value method, should the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \sigma_X^2 = 4$  be rejected against the alternative  $H_a : \sigma_X^2 > 4$  at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ? Calculate the probability  $\beta(5)$  of a II type error.

**Solution.**

1. Since  $X$  is Gaussian distributed with unknown mean and variance and the size of the sample is small, the statistic to be used is

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_X}{S_n/\sqrt{n}}. \quad (1)$$

Consider testing the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \mu_X = \mu_0$ , where  $\mu_0 \equiv 250\text{cm}$ , against the alternative  $H_1 : \mu_X \neq \mu_0$  at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.1$ . Under the assumption that the null hypothesis is true the statistic (1) with  $\mu_X = \mu_0$  has the Student distribution with  $n - 1$  degree of freedom, that is

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_0}{S_n/\sqrt{n}} \sim T_{n-1}.$$

Moreover, the structure of the alternative hypothesis calls for a rejection region of the form

$$R = \{T_{n-1} < t_{n-1, 1-\alpha/2}\} \cup \{T_{n-1} > t_{n-1, \alpha/2}\}.$$

where,

$$t_{n-1, \alpha/2} = t_{8, 0.05} = 1.860 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{n-1, 1-\alpha/2} = -t_{n-1, \alpha/2} = -t_{8, 0.05} = -1.860.$$

Hence,

$$R = (-\infty, -1.860) \cup (1.860, +\infty)$$

Computing the realization of the statistic, we have

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n(\omega) - \mu_X}{S_n(\omega)/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\bar{x}_n - \mu_0}{s_n/\sqrt{9}} = \frac{251.50 - 250}{2.30/3} = 1.96 \in R.$$

This implies a rejection of the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative. Adopting the  $p$ -value method, we recall that, on account of the alternative hypothesis, the  $p$ -value is the probability that the absolute value of the test statistic under the null assumption yields a value not less than

the realization of the statistic. We will reject the null hypothesis when the computed  $p$ -value is smaller than the given significance level  $\alpha$ . In symbols,

$$p = \mathbf{P} \left( |T_{n-1}| \geq \frac{\bar{x}_n - \mu_0}{s_n/\sqrt{9}} \mid H_0 = T \right) = \mathbf{P}(T_8 \leq -1.96) + \mathbf{P}(T_8 \geq 1.96) = 0.087 < 0.1,$$

which confirms the rejection of the null hypothesis.

2. Since we are interested in testing a hypothesis on the variance of  $X$ , which is normally distributed with unknown variance and the size of the sample is small, the statistic to be used is

$$\frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma_X^2}. \quad (2)$$

Consider testing the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_0^2$ , where  $\sigma_0^2 \equiv 4$ , against the alternative  $H_1 : \sigma_X^2 > \sigma_0^2$  at the significance level  $\alpha \equiv 0.05$ . Under the assumption that the null hypothesis is true the statistic (2) with  $\sigma_X^2 = \sigma_0^2$  has the chi-square distribution with  $n-1$  degrees of freedom, that is

$$\frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

Hence, the upper tail rejection region is given by

$$R = \{\chi_{n-1}^2 > \chi_{n-1,\alpha}^2\}$$

where, the upper  $\alpha = 0.05$  critical value  $\chi_{n-1,\alpha}^2$  of the chi-square distribution with  $n-1 = 8$  degrees of freedom is given by

$$\chi_{8,0.05}^2 \simeq 15.51.$$

Hence,

$$R = (15.51, +\infty)$$

Computing the realization of the statistic, we have

$$\frac{(n-1) S_n^2(\omega)}{\sigma_X^2} = \frac{(n-1) s_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{8 \cdot 2.30^2}{4} = 10.58 \notin R$$

Hence, the realization of the statistic does not belong to the rejection region. This implies that  $H_0$  cannot be rejected.

In terms of  $p$ -value we have to compute

$$\mathbf{P} \left( \chi_{n-1}^2 \geq \frac{(n-1) s_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \mid H_0 \text{ true} \right) = \mathbf{P}(\chi_8^2 \geq 10.58) = 1 - \mathbf{P}(\chi_8^2 \leq 10.58) = 0.227 > 0.05.$$

The  $p$ -value method confirms that  $H_0$  cannot be rejected.

With regard to the evaluation of  $\beta(5)$ , setting  $\sigma_1^2 \equiv 5$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta(5) &= \mathbf{P}(\text{accept } H_0 \mid \sigma_X^2 = \sigma_1^2) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R \mid \sigma_X = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \notin R \mid \sigma_X = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_1^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R \mid \sigma_X = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_X^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\chi_{n-1}^2 \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\chi_8^2 \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_0^2} \leq 15.51\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}\left(\chi_8^2 \leq 15.51 \cdot \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2}\right) \\
 &= \mathbf{P}(\chi_8^2 \leq 8.46) \\
 &= 0.61.
 \end{aligned}$$

**Solution.**

**Problem 2** Let  $X$  be a normal random variable with unknown mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , which represents a certain characteristic of a population and let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a simple random sample of size  $n$  drawn from  $X$ . Assume that  $n = 25$  and the realizations  $x_1, \dots, x_{25}$  of the sample give the information summarized by

$$\sum_{k=1}^{25} x_k = 100 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=1}^{25} x_k^2 = 550$$

1. Considering both the rejection region method and the  $P$ -value method, should the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 4$  be rejected against of the alternative  $H_1 : \sigma^2 > 4$  with a significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ? Calculate the probability  $\beta(5)$  of a II type error. What should have been the size  $n$  of the sample to achieve  $\beta(5) = 0.5$ ?
2. Considering both the rejection region method and the  $P$ -value method, should the null hypothesis  $H_0 : \sigma^2 = 4$  be rejected against of the alternative  $H_1 : \sigma^2 \neq 4$  with a significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$ ? Calculate the probability  $\beta(5)$  of a II type error. What should have been the size  $n$  of the sample to achieve  $\beta(5) = 0.5$ ?

**Solution.** Note that the given information allows the knowledge of the realization of sample variance,

which is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_n^2 &= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( x_k - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell \right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( x_k^2 - \frac{2}{n} x_k \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell + \frac{1}{n^2} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right) \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell \right) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{2}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^2 + \frac{1}{n^2} n \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n x_\ell \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k^2 - \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n x_k \right)^2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, in the present case, we have

$$s_n^2 = \frac{1}{24} \left( 550 - \frac{1}{25} 100^2 \right) = \frac{25}{4} = 6.25.$$

Now, since we are interested in testing a hypothesis on the variance of  $X$ , which is normally distributed, the standard statistic to be considered is

$$\frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

1. By virtue of the above considerations, the upper tail rejection region is given by

$$R = \left\{ \frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma^2} > \chi_{n-1, \alpha}^2 \right\}$$

where, the upper  $\alpha = 0.05$  critical value of the chi-square distribution with  $n-1 = 24$  degrees of freedom is given by

$$\chi_{24, 0.05}^2 = 36.4150.$$

Now, we have

$$\frac{(n-1) s_n^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{24}{4} \cdot \frac{25}{4} = 37.50 \in R \quad (3)$$

Hence, the realization of the statistic belongs to the rejection region. This implies that the null hypothesis  $H_0$  has to be rejected in favor of  $H_1$ .

In terms of P-value we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{P} \left( \frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \geq \frac{(n-1) s_n^2}{\sigma^2} \mid H_0 = T \right) &= \mathbf{P} \left( \frac{(n-1) S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \geq \frac{(n-1) s_n^2}{\sigma^2} \mid \sigma = \sigma_0 \right) \\
&= \mathbf{P} (\chi_{24}^2 \geq 37.50) \\
&= 1 - \mathbf{P} (\chi_{24}^2 \leq 37.50) \\
&= 0.039 < 0.050.
\end{aligned}$$

As expected, the P-value method confirms the rejection of  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$ .

With regard to the evaluation of  $\beta(5)$ ,  $\sigma_0^2 \equiv 4$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 \equiv 5$ , and  $n = 25$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(5) &= \mathbf{P}(\text{II type error}) = \mathbf{P}(\text{accept } H_0 \mid H_0 = F) = \mathbf{P}(\text{accept } H_0 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} < 36.4150 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_1^2} < 36.4150 \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} < 36.4150 \frac{4}{5}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(\chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 29.132) \\
&= 0.7848.
\end{aligned}$$

In the end, to achieve  $\beta(5) = 0.5$  we have to solve the equation

$$0.5 = \mathbf{P}(\chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 29.132)$$

in terms of the smallest  $n$ . A computer aided computation yields

$$\mathbf{P}(\chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 29.132) = \begin{cases} 0.54 & \text{if } n = 29 \\ 0.49 & \text{if } n = 30 \end{cases},$$

which implies that  $n = 30$  is the desired  $n$ .

2. In this case the rejection region is given by

$$R = \left\{ \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} < \chi_{n-1, 1-\alpha/2}^2 \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} > \chi_{n-1, \alpha/2}^2 \right\}$$

where, the lower  $1 - \alpha/2 = 0.975$  and the upper  $\alpha/2 = 0.025$  critical values of the chi-square distribution with  $n - 1 = 24$  degrees of freedom are given by

$$\chi_{24, 0.975}^2 = 12.40 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_{24, 0.025}^2 = 39.36,$$

respectively. In this case the values 37.50 of the statistics (see 3) does not belong to the rejection region. Therefore,  $H_0$  cannot be rejected against  $H_1$ . In terms of P-value we have to compute

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \geq \frac{(n-1)s_n^2}{\sigma^2} \mid H_0 = T\right) &= 2\mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \geq \frac{(n-1)s_n^2}{\sigma^2} \mid \sigma = \sigma_0^2\right) \\
&= 2\mathbf{P}(\chi_{24}^2 \geq 37.50) \\
&= 2(1 - \mathbf{P}(\chi_{24}^2 < 37.50)) \\
&= 0.078 > 0.050.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the P-value method confirms that  $H_0$  cannot be rejected against  $H_1$ .

With regard to the evaluation of  $\beta(5)$ , setting  $\sigma_0^2 \equiv 4$ ,  $\sigma_1^2 \equiv 5$ , and  $n = 25$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(5) &= \mathbf{P}(\text{II type error}) = \mathbf{P}(\text{accept } H_0 \mid H_0 = F) = \mathbf{P}(\text{accept } H_0 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(\frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \notin R \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(12.40 \leq \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_0^2} \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq 39.36 \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(12.40 \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma_1^2} \leq 39.36 \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\sigma_1^2} \mid \sigma = \sigma_1^2\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}\left(12.40 \frac{4}{5} \leq \frac{(n-1)S_n^2}{\sigma^2} \leq 39.36 \frac{4}{5}\right) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(9.92 \leq \chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 31.49) \\
&= \mathbf{P}(\chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 31.49) - \mathbf{P}(\chi_{n-1}^2 \leq 9.92) \\
&= 0.860 - 0.005 = 0.855.
\end{aligned}$$