

# Supplementary Materials: A Color Preserving Tone Mapping Framework in the Intrinsic Domain

S Melcarne<sup>1</sup>, P Cyriac<sup>2</sup>, J L Dugelay<sup>1</sup>, A Artusi<sup>3</sup>, F Banterle<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Eurecom Research Center, Sophia Antipolis, France

<sup>2</sup> Huawei Nice Research Center, Mougins, France

<sup>3</sup> DeepCamera MRG, RISE Ltd, Nicosia, Cyprus

<sup>4</sup> Visual Computing Lab, ISTI-CNR, Pisa, Italy

E-mail: simone.melcarne@eurecom.fr

## 1 Global TMOs parameters choice

In this section, we present the parameters used for the three global tone mapping operators (TMOs) tested in our study. For Reinhard et al.'s [1] TMO parameters,  $\alpha$  and  $L_{white}$  were estimated using Reinhard's estimation [2]. Regarding Reinhard and Devlin's TMO [3], we employed the default parameters suggested in the paper [3]:  $m = 0.3 + 0.7k^{1.4}$  (where  $k$  is the key of the image),  $f' = 0$ ,  $c = 0$ , and  $a = 1$ . Finally, for Drago et al.'s TMO [4], we employed the default parameters values as in the paper [4]:  $L_{dmax} = 100 \text{ cd/m}^2$  and  $b = 0.85$ .

## 2 Experimental procedure

In Figure 1 we summarize the experimental setup. In an environment deliberately darkened to minimize all light sources, participants first viewed the HDR image, which was displayed alone in full-screen mode. When the participant pressed a key, a grayscale image was projected to allow for eye adaptation. After this adaptation period, participants were asked to express their preference between two SDR images shown side by side. Since there were 4 methods to compare in total, each HDR image required 6 pairwise comparisons of tone mapped SDR images. Participants were allowed to view the HDR image for as long as they wanted before proceeding to compare the SDR image pairs. Additionally, participants could bring up the HDR image again at any time before making their final choice. The frequent switching between HDR and SDR modes was facilitated using custom software. Participants viewed the images at a fixed distance of 80 cm from the monitor, with all images displayed in full-HD resolution. Figure 2 shows the 25 "baseline" images selected from the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5], which were used to generate all the variations for the 4 methods analyzed in this study. This resulted in a total of 100 (25 × 4) test images as experimental stimuli. However, due to space constraints, only the 25 baseline images are shown.

## 3 Additional results

In Figures 3, 4 and 5, we provide more visual comparisons between the proposed method and the others, showing the results for all tested TMOs. Note that these images represent additional examples of visual comparison from the 106 tested images of the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5] but not all of them were randomly selected for the experiment, except for *LabBooth*, *WaffleHouse* and *Zentrum*, which also appeared in the experiment.



Figure 1: Experimental setup. The subject looks at the HDR image first. Then, a gray scale image is projected for an adaptation time for the eyes. Finally, the subject is asked to express a preference between 2 tone mapped options and for 6 times for each test image.




Figure 2: The 25 randomly selected images from the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5] for the experiment. Note that we show the "baseline" images tone mapped for visualization purposes using Reinhard et al.'s TMO [1], and not the versions obtained following each of the tested methods.




Figure 3: Visual comparison of our method against the state-of-the-art. The first column represents an HDR image respectively tone mapped using all the tested TMOs. Remaining columns represent the result obtained when each specific method is employed. In this figure, *LabBooth* and *MasonLake(2)* images from the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5] have been used from top to bottom respectively.




Figure 4: Visual comparison of our method against the state-of-the-art. The first column represents an HDR image respectively tone mapped using all the tested TMOs. Remaining columns represent the result obtained when each specific method is employed. In this figure, *Peppermill* and *Waffle House* images from the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5] have been used from top to bottom respectively.




Figure 5: Visual comparison of our method against the state-of-the-art. The first column represents an HDR image respectively tone mapped using all the tested TMOs. Remaining columns represent the results obtained when each specific method is employed. In this figure, *Canadian Falls* and *Zentrum* images from the *HDR Photographic Survey* [5] have been used from top to bottom respectively.

## References

- [1] Reinhard E, Stark M, Shirley P, and Ferwerda J, “Photographic tone reproduction for digital images,” *ACM Trans. Graph.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 267–276, July 2002.
- [2] Reinhard E, “Parameter estimation for photographic tone reproduction,” *J. Graphics, GPU, & Game Tools*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 45–51, 2002.
- [3] Reinhard E and Devlin K, “Dynamic range reduction inspired by photoreceptor physiology,” *IEEE Trans. Vis. Comput. Graph.*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 13–24, 2005.
- [4] Drago F, Myszkowski K, Annen T, and Chiba N, “Adaptive logarithmic mapping for displaying high contrast scenes,” in *Proc. Eurographics*, 2003.
- [5] Fairchild M, “Hdr photographic survey,” 2008.
- [6] Schlick C, “Quantization techniques for visualization of high dynamic range pictures,” in *Photorealistic rendering techniques*, Sakas G, Müller S, and Shirley P, Eds., pp. 7–20. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1995.
- [7] Mantiuk R, Mantiuk R, Tomaszecka A M, and Heidrich W, “Color correction for tone mapping,” *Comput. Graph. Forum*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 193–202, 2009.
- [8] Artusi A, Pouli T, Banterle F, and Akyuz A O, “Automatic saturation correction for dynamic range management algorithms,” *Signal Process. Image Commun.*, vol. 63, pp. 110–120, April 2018.
- [9] Vinker Y, Huberman-Spiegelglas I, and Fattal R, “Unpaired learning for high dynamic range image tone mapping,” in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Int. Conf. Comput. Vis. (ICCV)*, Montreal, QC, Canada, 2021, pp. 14637–14646, IEEE.