Mechanized Logical Relations for Termination-Insensitive Noninterference

Technical Appendix

August 6, 2020

Abstract

This document presents a λ_{sec} , a standard ML-like language with higher-order heap equipped with an information-flow control type system featuring subtyping, recursive types, label polymorphism, existential types, and impredicative type polymorphism. We introduce a generalized theory of Modal Weakest Precondition predicates and construct a novel "'logical" logical-relations model of the type system in Iris, a state-of-the-art separation logic. Finally, we use the model to prove that the type system guarantees termination-insensitive noninterference.

1 Syntax and Semantics

Definition 1.1 (Syntax and types).

```
x, y, z \in Var
                           \iota \in \mathit{Loc}
                          n \in \mathbb{N}
                       l, \zeta \in \mathcal{L}
                       \odot ::= + | - | * | = | <
\ell, pc \in Label_{\mathcal{L}} ::= \kappa \mid l \mid \ell \sqcup \ell
       	au \in LType ::= t^{\ell}
          t \in \mathit{Type} \quad ::= \quad \alpha \mid 1 \mid \mathbb{B} \mid \mathbb{N} \mid \tau \times \tau \mid \tau + \tau \mid \tau \xrightarrow{\ell} \tau \mid \forall_{\ell} \alpha. \tau \mid \forall_{\ell} \kappa. \tau \mid \exists \alpha. \tau \mid \mu \alpha. \tau \mid \mathsf{ref}(\tau)
          if e then e else e \mid (e, e) \mid \pi_i e \mid \text{inj}_i e \mid \text{match } e \text{ with inj}_i \Rightarrow e_i \text{ end}
                                   |\operatorname{ref}(e)| !e | e \leftarrow e | \operatorname{fold} e | \operatorname{unfold} e | \operatorname{pack} e | \operatorname{unpack} e \operatorname{as} x \operatorname{in} e
            v \in Val ::= () | true | false | n \mid \lambda x. e \mid \Lambda e \mid \Lambda e \mid fold v \mid pack v \mid (v, v) \mid inj, v \mid \iota
     K \in ECtx ::= - \mid K \odot e \mid v \odot K \mid \text{if } K \text{ then } e \text{ else } e \mid (K, e) \mid (v, K) \mid \pi_1 K \mid \pi_2 K
                                   |\operatorname{inj}_1 K | \operatorname{inj}_2 K | \operatorname{match} K \operatorname{with} \operatorname{inj}_i \Rightarrow e_i \operatorname{end} | K e | v K
                                    \mid \quad \mathsf{ref}(K) \mid !K \mid K \leftarrow e \mid v \leftarrow K \mid \mathsf{fold} \ K \mid \mathsf{unfold} \ K \mid \mathsf{pack} \ K \mid \mathsf{unpack} \ K \ \mathsf{as} \ x \ \mathsf{in} \ e
                           \sigma \in Loc \stackrel{\text{fin}}{\longrightarrow} Val
```

In addition to the given constructions we will write $\det x = e_1 \operatorname{in} e_2$ for the term $(\lambda x. e_1) e_2$ and $e_1; e_2$ for $\det L = e_1 \operatorname{in} e_2$.

The syntax of types is parameterized over a bounded join-semilattice \mathcal{L} where the induced ordering \sqsubseteq defines the security policy. $\forall_{\ell} \kappa. \tau$ denotes the type of label-polymorphic terms (over variable κ) with the corresponding term Λ e. $\forall_{\ell} \alpha. \tau$ denotes the type of type-polymorphic terms (over variable α) with the corresponding term Λ e. Both the two polymorphic types and the arrow type are annotated with a label ℓ that in the type system will constitute a lower-bound on side-effects of the term.

Definition 1.2 (Operational semantics).

$$v \circledcirc v' \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} v'' \qquad \qquad \text{if } v'' = v \circledcirc v'$$

$$\text{if true then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e_1$$

$$\text{if false then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2 \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e_2$$

$$\pi_i \left(v_1, v_2 \right) \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} v_i \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\text{match inj}_i v \text{ with inj}_i \Rightarrow e \text{ end} \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$\left(\lambda x. e \right) v \overset{\text{pure}}{\leadsto} e[v/x] \qquad \qquad i \in \{1, 2\}$$

The operational semantics are mostly standard and defined with a call-by-value, left-to-right evaluation strategy. We first define a head reduction relation, $(\sigma, e) \to_h (\sigma, e')$, which relates two pairs of a state and an expression. The head-step relation is lifted to a reduction relation $(\sigma, e) \to (\sigma', e')$ using evaluation contexts.

2 Type System

Definition 2.1 (Label-ordering with free variables).

Definition 2.2 (Subtyping).

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{S-refl} \\ \frac{\text{FV}(t) \subseteq \Xi}{\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash t < : t} \\ \hline \\ \text{S-Trans} \\ \hline \\ \Xi \mid \Psi \vdash t_1 < : t_2 \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash t_1 < : t_3 \\ \hline \\ \text{S-ARROW} \\ \hline \\ \frac{\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_1}{\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_2 < : \tau_2'} \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 \stackrel{\ell_1}{\to} \tau_2 < : \tau_1' \stackrel{\ell_2}{\to} \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{S-IFORALL} \\ \hline \\ \frac{\Psi, \kappa \vdash \ell_2 \sqsubseteq \ell_1}{\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \forall_{\ell_1} \kappa. \tau_1 < : \forall_{\ell_2} \kappa. \tau_2} \\ \hline \\ \frac{S\text{-PROD}}{\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_1' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_1' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_2 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_1' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_1' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_2' \\ \hline \\ \text{E} \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1' < : \tau_1' \\ \hline \\ \text{$$

Definition 2.3 (Protected-at).

$$t^{\ell'} \searrow \ell \triangleq \ell \sqsubseteq \ell'$$

Definition 2.4 (Typing).

$$\frac{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e:\tau\quad\Psi\vdash\tau\searrow pc}{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}\mathrm{ref}(e):\mathrm{ref}(\tau)^{\perp}}$$
 T-store
$$\frac{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e_{1}:\mathrm{ref}(\tau)^{\ell}\quad\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e_{2}:\tau\quad\Psi\vdash\tau\searrow pc\sqcup\ell}{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e_{1}\leftarrow e_{2}:1^{\perp}}$$

$$\frac{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}\mathrm{ref}(e_{1}):\mathrm{ref}(\tau)^{\ell}\quad\Xi|\Psi\vdash\tau<:\tau'\quad\Psi\vdash\tau'\searrow\ell}{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}!e:\tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e:\tau'\quad\Psi\vdash\tau'\searrow\ell}{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}!e:\tau'}$$

$$\frac{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e:\tau'\quad\Psi\vdash\tau'<:\tau}{\Xi|\Psi|\Gamma\vdash_{pc}e:\tau}$$

3 Modal Weakest Precondition (MWP)

We refer to the Coq formalization for details not described in this document. Note that the MWP-theory is implicitly parameterized over a suitable language with expressions $e \in Expr$, values $v \in Val$, a stepping relation $(e, \sigma_1) \to (e_2, \sigma_2)$, and a state interpretation $S : State \to iProp$.

Definition 3.1 (MWP). Let $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$ where

$$A,B:\mathit{Type}$$

$$\mathsf{M}:A\to\mathit{Masks}\to\mathbb{N}\to(B\to\mathit{iProp})\to\mathit{iProp}$$

$$\mathsf{BindCond}:A\to A\to(B\to A)\to(B\to B\to B)\to\mathsf{Prop}$$

with $a \in A$ and $\mathcal{E} \in Masks$ then

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \ e \ \{\Phi\} \triangleq \forall \sigma_1, \sigma_2, v, n. \ (e, \sigma_1) \to^n (v, \sigma_2) \twoheadrightarrow S(\sigma_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E}:n}^a(\lambda b. \ \varPhi(v, n, b) \ast S(\sigma_2)).$$

When omitting the mask \mathcal{E} we assume it as the largest possible mask \top .

Definition 3.2 (MWP validity). A modality $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$ is valid if

$$\forall a, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}', n, \Phi, \Psi. \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \Rightarrow \forall b. \Phi(b) \twoheadrightarrow \Psi(b) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\Phi) \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}';n}(\Psi)$$
 (monotone)
$$\forall a, \mathcal{E}, n, \Phi. \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};0}(\Phi) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\Phi)$$
 (introducable)
$$\forall a, a', f, g, \mathcal{E}, n, m, \Phi. \; \mathsf{BindCond}(a, a', f, g) \Rightarrow$$

$$\mathsf{M}^{a'}_{\mathcal{E},n}(\lambda b. \; \mathsf{M}^{f(b)}_{\mathcal{E};m}(\lambda b'. \; \Phi(g(b,b')))) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n+m}(\Phi)$$
 (binding)

Lemma 3.3 (M validity). Given a valid modality $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$ then

$$\frac{\frac{\mathsf{MWP\text{-}Intro}}{\forall v, n. \, \mathsf{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^{a}(\lambda b. \, \varPhi(v, n, b)) \quad e \ \, \mathsf{executes \, purely}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\varPhi\}} \\ \frac{\frac{\mathsf{MWP\text{-}Value}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\varPhi\}}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Psi\}} \\ \frac{\frac{\mathsf{MWP\text{-}Mono}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Psi\}}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Psi\}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \quad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MWP\text{-}Mask\text{-}mono}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \quad \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MWP\text{-}Bind}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MW\text{-}Bind}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MW\text{-}Bind}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{MW\text{-}Bind}}{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{\mathcal{M};a} \, e \, \{\Phi\}} \\ \frac{\mathsf{M$$

Definition 3.4 (Atomic shift). $\mathcal{M} = (A, B, M, BindCond)$ supports atomic shifts at a if

$$\forall \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2, n, \varPhi. \ n \leq 1 \Rightarrow {}^{\mathcal{E}_1} {\Longrightarrow}^{\mathcal{E}_2} \, \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}_2;n}(\lambda b. \, {}^{\mathcal{E}_2} {\Longrightarrow}^{\mathcal{E}_1} \varPhi(b)) \vdash \mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E}_1;n}(\varPhi)$$

Definition 3.5 (Atomic Operation).

$$\operatorname{atomic}(e) \triangleq \forall \sigma, \sigma', e'. (\sigma, e) \to (\sigma', e') \Rightarrow e' \in Val$$

Definition 3.6 (Reducible Operation).

reducible
$$(e, \sigma) \triangleq \exists e', \sigma'. (\sigma, e) \rightarrow (\sigma', e')$$

Lemma 3.7 (MWP Atomic Step). Given \mathcal{M} that supports atomic shifts at a then

$$\frac{ \begin{subarray}{l} \$$

Definition 3.8 (M splitting). Let $\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2 : Masks \to iProp \to iProp$ be two modalities indexed by masks. M can be split into $(\mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2)$, written $SplitsInto(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{M}_1, \mathbb{M}_2, a)$, if

$$\begin{split} &\forall \mathcal{E}, n, \varPhi. \ \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E}) \left(\mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E}) \left(\mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{E};n}^{a}(\varPhi) \right) \right) \vdash \mathbb{M}_{\mathcal{E};n+1}^{a}(\varPhi) \\ &\forall \mathcal{E}, P, Q. \ P \twoheadrightarrow Q \vdash \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E})(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{1}(\mathcal{E})(Q) \\ &\forall \mathcal{E}, P, Q. \ P \twoheadrightarrow Q \vdash \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E})(P) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_{2}(\mathcal{E})(Q) \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.9 (Lifting). Let $a \in A$ and M a modality with $SplitsInto(M; M_1, M_2, a)$ then

MWP-LIFT-STEI

$$\underbrace{ e_1 \not\in \mathit{Val} \qquad \forall \sigma_1. \ S(\sigma_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{M}_1(\mathcal{E}) \left(\begin{matrix} \forall \sigma_2, e_2. \ (e, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (e_2, \sigma_2) \twoheadrightarrow \\ & \mathbb{M}_2(\mathcal{E}) \left(S(\sigma_2) \ast \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}; a} \ e_2 \left\{ v, n, b. \ \varPhi(v, n+1, b) \right\} \right) \right)}_{\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}; a} \ e_1} \left\{ \varPhi \right\}$$

Definition 3.10 (MWP instance: Unary update). Let $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons} \triangleq (1, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$ where

$$\mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq \Longrightarrow_{\mathcal{E}} \varPhi()$$

$$\mathsf{BindCond}(a,a',f,g) \triangleq \lambda_{-}, g = id$$

Lemma 3.11 (Properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons}$).

- 1. $\mathcal{M}_{\mbox{\scriptsize le}}$ defines a valid modality.
- 2. $\mathcal{M}_{rightharpoonup}$ supports atomic shifts.
- 3. $SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \bowtie^{\emptyset}, {}^{\emptyset} \bowtie^{\mathcal{E}}).$

Lemma 3.12 (Unary update MWP always supports atomic shifts).

$$\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Longrightarrow}\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}}\overset{}{\Longrightarrow}e\left\{v,n,b.\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Longrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Longrightarrow}\varPhi(v,n,b)\right\}\twoheadrightarrow\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}}\overset{}{\Longrightarrow}e\left\{\varPhi\right\}$$

Definition 3.13 (MWP instance: Unary step-update). Let $\mathcal{M}_{\rightleftharpoons \triangleright} \triangleq (1, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$ where

$$\mathsf{M}^a_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq ({}^{\mathcal{E}} {\biguplus}^{\emptyset} \, {\triangleright}^{\,\emptyset} {\biguplus}^{\mathcal{E}})^n {\biguplus}_{\mathcal{E}} \varPhi()$$

$$\mathsf{BindCond}(a,a',f,g) \triangleq \lambda_-, g = id$$

Lemma 3.14 (Properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\bowtie \triangleright}$).

- 1. $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow}$ defines a valid modality.
- 2. $\mathcal{M}_{\Longrightarrow}$ supports atomic shifts.
- 3. $SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \bowtie^{\emptyset} \triangleright, {}^{\emptyset} \bowtie^{\mathcal{E}}).$

Definition 3.15 (MWP instance: Binary update). Let $\mathcal{M}_{\times \rightleftharpoons} \triangleq (Expr, Val \times \mathbb{N}, M, BindCond)$ where

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}^e_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) &\triangleq \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \text{lift}} \, e\left\{w, m. \, \varPhi(w, m)\right\} \\ \mathsf{BindCond}(e_1, e_2, f, g) &\triangleq \exists K. \, e_1 = K[e_2] \wedge \, g = \lambda(v_1, n_1), (v_2, n_2).(v_2, n_1 + n_2) \wedge \\ \forall v, k. \, f(v, k) = K[v]. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.16 (Properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\times \rightleftharpoons}$).

- 1. $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rightarrow}$ defines a valid modality.
- 2. $\forall a. SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \not\models^{\emptyset}, {}^{\emptyset} \not\models^{\mathcal{E}}, a).$

Fact 3.17 (Unfolding MWP with $\mathcal{M}_{\times p}$). By unfolding the definition of MWP instantiated with \mathcal{M}_{p} we get:

Lemma 3.18 (Unary update MWP implies binary update MWP).

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, e_1 \left\{ v, n. \, \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, e_2 \left\{ w, m. \, \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \, \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\times} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, : e_2} \, e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \\ & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, e_2 \left\{ w, m. \, \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, e_1 \left\{ v, n. \, \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \, \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, {\times} \, {\Longrightarrow}} \, : e_2} \, e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.19 (Binary update MWP always supports shifts).

$$\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\Rrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\bowtie}\operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\boxminus};e_{2}}e_{1}\left\{v,n,b.\overset{\mathcal{E}_{2}}{\Rrightarrow}\overset{\mathcal{E}_{1}}{\nleftrightarrow}\varPhi(v,n,b)\right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_{1}}^{\mathcal{M}_{X}\boxminus};e_{2}}e_{1}\left\{\varPhi\right\}$$

Definition 3.20 (MWP instance: Binary step-update). Let $\mathcal{M}_I \triangleq (\mathbb{N}, 1, M, \mathsf{BindCond})$ where

$$\mathsf{M}^m_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) \triangleq ({}^{\mathcal{E}} \boldsymbol{\bowtie}^{\emptyset} \boldsymbol{\rhd}^{\emptyset} \boldsymbol{\bowtie}^{\mathcal{E}})^{n+m} \boldsymbol{\bowtie}_{\mathcal{E}} \varPhi()$$

$$\mathsf{BindCond}(n,m,f,g) \triangleq m \leq n \land \forall x,f(x) = n - m \land \lambda_-, g = id.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rightarrow \triangleright} \triangleq (Expr, Val \times \mathbb{N}, M, BindCond)$ where

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}^e_{\mathcal{E};n}(\varPhi) &\triangleq \mathsf{mwp}^{\mathcal{M}_I;n}_{\mathcal{E}} \, e \, \{w,m. \, \varPhi(w,m)\} \\ \mathsf{BindCond}(e_1,e_2,f,g) &\triangleq \exists K. \, e_1 = K[e_2] \wedge \, g = \lambda(v_1,n_1), (v_2,n_2).(v_2,n_1+n_2) \wedge \\ \forall v,k. \, f(v,k) = K[v]. \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.21 (Properties of $\mathcal{M}_{\times p}$).

- 1. $\mathcal{M}_{\times \Rightarrow \triangleright}$ is a valid MWP-modality.
- 2. $\forall a. SplitsInto(M; {}^{\mathcal{E}} \models^{\emptyset} \triangleright, {}^{\emptyset} \models^{\mathcal{E}}, a).$

Fact 3.22 (Unfolding MWP with $\mathcal{M}_{\times \not \Rightarrow \triangleright}$). By unfolding the definition of MWP instantiated $\mathcal{M}_{\times \not \Rightarrow \triangleright}$ we get:

Lemma 3.23 (Unary step-update MWP implies binary step-update MWP).

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat} :^{e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \\ & \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \varPhi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat} :^{e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\} \end{split}$$

Lemma 3.24 (Double atomicity of binary step-update MWP). If $atomic(e_1)$ and $atomic(e_2)$ then

$$\begin{tabular}{l} \mathcal{E}_1 \Longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_2 & \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \begin{tabular}{l} \mathcal{E}_2 \boxminus \mathcal{E}_1 \Phi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} & \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat}; e_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_2 & \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \begin{tabular}{l} \mathcal{E}_2 \boxminus \mathcal{E}_1 \Phi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} & \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat}; e_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_2 & \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_1 \left\{ v, n. \ \begin{tabular}{l} \mathcal{E}_2 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_1 \Phi(v, n, (w, m)) \right\} \right\} & \twoheadrightarrow \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M} \times \Rrightarrow \flat}; e_2 \\ \mathcal{E}_1 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_2 & \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat} e_2 \left\{ w, m. \ \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M} \Longrightarrow \flat}; e_2 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_2 \right\} & \mathbb{E}_2 \bowtie \mathcal{E}_2 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$$

Lemma 3.25 (Binary update MWP implies binary step-update MWP). Let

$$\operatorname{reduces}(e, S, \mathcal{E}) \triangleq \forall \sigma. S(\sigma) \stackrel{\mathcal{E}}{\Longrightarrow}^{\emptyset} \operatorname{reducible}(e, \sigma).$$

Then

$$\begin{split} & (\operatorname{reduces}(e_1, S_1, \mathcal{E}_1) \vee \operatorname{reduces}(e_2, S_2, \mathcal{E}_1)) \wedge \\ & \left(\stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\vDash} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\vDash} \operatorname{pmwp}_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times} \Rrightarrow ; e_2} e_1 \left\{ v, n, b. \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_2}{\vDash} \stackrel{\mathcal{E}_1}{\rightleftarrows} \varPhi(v, n, b) \right\} \right) - \!\!\!\! * \operatorname{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}_1}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times} \Rrightarrow \triangleright ; e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varPhi \right\}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 3.26 (Adequacy of binary step-update MWP). Let φ be a first-order predicate over values. Suppose

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times \Longrightarrow \triangleright}; e_2} e_1 \left\{ \varphi \right\}$$

is derivable. Given $S_1(\sigma_1)$ and $S_2(\sigma_2)$, if we have $(\sigma_1, e_1) \to^{n_1} (\sigma_1, v_1)$ and $(\sigma_2, e_2) \to^{n_2} (\sigma_2', v_2)$ then $\varphi(v_1, n_1, v_2, n_2)$ holds at the meta-level.

3.1 Language-level lemmas

By instantiating the MWP-theory with λ_{sec} and state interpretation $\lambda \sigma \cdot \left[\bullet \sigma \right]^{\gamma}$ with $\iota \hookrightarrow v \triangleq \left[\circ \left[\iota \mapsto v \right] \right]^{\gamma}$ for modelling the heap we get the following lemmas for interaction with the heap.

Lemma 3.27 (Properties of unary update MWP with λ_{sec}).

1.
$$\forall \iota. \iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q \iota \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \mapsto} \mathsf{ref}(v) \{v. Q\}$$

$$2. \ \iota \hookrightarrow v * (\iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q \, v) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \, \trianglerighteq} \ ! \, \iota \, \{v. \, \, Q\}$$

3.
$$\iota \hookrightarrow v * (\iota \hookrightarrow w \twoheadrightarrow Q ()) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow} \iota \leftarrow w \{v. Q\}$$

Lemma 3.28 (Properties of unary step-taking update MWP with λ_{sec}).

$$\begin{aligned} &1. \ \, \triangleright \forall \iota.\ \iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q\ \iota \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\Rrightarrow \flat}} \ \mathsf{ref}(v) \, \{v.\ Q\} \\ &2. \ \, \triangleright \iota \hookrightarrow v \ast \triangleright (\iota \hookrightarrow v \twoheadrightarrow Q\ v) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\Rrightarrow \flat}} \, \, !\, \iota \, \{v.\ Q\} \\ &3. \ \, \triangleright \iota \hookrightarrow v \ast \triangleright (\iota \hookrightarrow w \twoheadrightarrow Q\ ()) \vdash \mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\Rrightarrow \flat}} \, \iota \leftarrow w \, \{v.\ Q\} \end{aligned}$$

4 Logical Relations

The binary value relation is an Iris relation of type $Rel \triangleq Val \times Val \rightarrow iProp_{\square}$. Similarly, the unary value relation is an Iris predicate of type $Pred \triangleq Val \rightarrow iProp_{\square}$.

Both the unary and binary logical relation is implicitly quantified over a lattice $\mathcal L$ and an observer/attacker label ζ . The environment $\rho: Lvar \to \mathcal L$ maps label variables to semantic labels from $\mathcal L$ and Θ is a semantic type environment for type variables, as is usual for interpretations of languages with parametric polymorphism. However, for every type variable we keep both a binary relation and two unary relations, one for each of the two sides:

$$\Theta: \mathit{Tvar} \to \mathit{Rel} \times \mathit{Pred} \times \mathit{Pred}.$$

We use Θ_L , Θ_R : $Tvar \to Pred$ as shorthand for $\pi_2 \circ \Theta$ and $\pi_3 \circ \Theta$, respectively, where $\pi_i(x)$ denotes the ith projection of x. We will use

$$\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}} \, e_1 \sim e_2 \, \{v, w. \, Q\}$$

as shorthand for $\mathsf{mwp}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathcal{M}_{\times} \Rightarrow \triangleright; e_2} e_1 \{v, _, (w, _). \ Q\}.$

Definition 4.1 (Label interpretation).

$$\begin{split} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket . \ : \ (Lvar \to \mathcal{L}) \to Label_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathcal{L} \\ \llbracket \kappa \rrbracket_{\rho} &\triangleq \rho(\kappa) \\ \llbracket \ell \rrbracket_{\rho} &\triangleq l \\ \llbracket \ell_1 \sqcup \ell_2 \rrbracket_{\rho} \triangleq \llbracket \ell_1 \rrbracket_{\rho} \sqcup \llbracket \ell_2 \rrbracket_{\rho} \end{split}$$

Definition 4.2 (Unary value interpretation).

Definition 4.3 (Unary expression interpretation).

$$\mathcal{E}_{pc} \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(e) \triangleq \llbracket pc \rrbracket_{\rho} \not\sqsubseteq \zeta \Rightarrow \mathsf{mwp}^{\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow \flat} e \{ \llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho} \}$$

Definition 4.4 (Unary environment interpretation).

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{G} \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(\epsilon) &\triangleq \mathsf{True} \\ \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma, x : \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(\overrightarrow{v}w) &\triangleq \mathcal{G} \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(\overrightarrow{v}) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(w) \end{split}$$

Definition 4.5 (Unary semantic typing).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash_{pc} e : \tau \triangleq \Box \begin{pmatrix} \forall \Delta, \rho, \overrightarrow{v}. \operatorname{dom}(\Xi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\Delta) * \operatorname{dom}(\Psi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\rho) - * \\ \mathcal{G}\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(\overrightarrow{v}) - * \mathcal{E}_{pc}\llbracket \tau \rrbracket_{\Delta}^{\rho}(e[\overrightarrow{v}/\overrightarrow{x}]) \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 4.6 (Unary semantic subtyping). If $dom(\Xi) \subseteq dom(\Delta)$ and $dom(\Psi) \subseteq dom(\rho)$ then

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v) \twoheadrightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket_{\Lambda}^{\rho}(v)$$

Theorem 4.7 (Unary fundamental theorem).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vdash_{nc} e : \tau \Rightarrow \Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash_{nc} e : \tau$$

Definition 4.8 (Binary value interpretation).

Definition 4.9 (Binary expression interpretation).

$$\mathcal{E}[\![\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(e,e') \triangleq \mathsf{mwp}\,e_1 \sim e_2\,\{[\![\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}\}$$

Definition 4.10 (Binary environment interpretation).

$$\mathcal{G}[\![\cdot]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\epsilon,\epsilon) \triangleq \mathsf{True}$$

$$\mathcal{G}[\![\Gamma,x:\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\overrightarrow{v_1}w_1,\overrightarrow{v_2}w_2) \triangleq \mathcal{G}[\![\Gamma]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(\overrightarrow{v_1},\overrightarrow{v_2}) * [\![\tau]\!]^{\rho}_{\Theta}(w_1,w_2)$$

Definition 4.11 (Binary environment coherence).

$$Coh(\Theta) \triangleq \bigwedge_{(\varPhi, \varPhi_{\mathsf{L}}, \varPhi_{\mathsf{R}}) \in \Theta} \Box \left(\forall v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}. \varPhi(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}) \twoheadrightarrow \varPhi_{\mathsf{L}}(v_{\mathsf{L}}) \ast \varPhi_{\mathsf{R}}(v_{\mathsf{R}}) \right)$$

Definition 4.12 (Binary semantic typing).

$$\Xi \mid \Psi \mid \Gamma \vDash e_{\mathsf{L}} \approx_{\zeta} e_{\mathsf{R}} : \tau \triangleq \Box \begin{pmatrix} \forall \Theta, \rho, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}. \operatorname{dom}(\Xi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\Theta) * \operatorname{dom}(\Psi) \subseteq \operatorname{dom}(\rho) - * \\ \operatorname{Coh}(\Theta) * \mathcal{G}[\![\Gamma]\!]_{\Theta}^{\rho}(\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}, \overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}) - * \mathcal{E}[\![\tau]\!]_{\Theta}^{\rho}(e_{\mathsf{L}}[\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{L}}}/\overrightarrow{x}], e_{\mathsf{R}}[\overrightarrow{v_{\mathsf{R}}}/\overrightarrow{x}]) \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 4.13 (Binary semantic subtyping). If $dom(\Xi) \subseteq dom(\Theta)$ and $dom(\Psi) \subseteq dom(\rho)$ then

$$\Xi \,|\, \Psi \vdash \tau_1 <: \tau_2 \Rightarrow \llbracket \tau_1 \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(v_\mathsf{L}, v_\mathsf{R}) \twoheadrightarrow \llbracket \tau_2 \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Delta}(v_\mathsf{L}, v_\mathsf{R})$$

Lemma 4.14 (Binary-unary subsumption).

$$Coh(\Theta) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta}(v_{\mathsf{L}}, v_{\mathsf{R}}) \twoheadrightarrow \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta_{\mathsf{L}}}(v_{\mathsf{L}}) * \llbracket \tau \rrbracket^{\rho}_{\Theta_{\mathsf{R}}}(v_{\mathsf{R}})$$

Theorem 4.15 (Binary fundamental theorem).

$$\Xi \,|\, \Psi \,|\, \Gamma \vdash_{pc} e : \tau \Rightarrow \Xi \,|\, \Psi \,|\, \Gamma \vDash e \approx_{\zeta} e : \tau$$

Theorem 4.16 (Termination-Insensitive Noninterference). Let \top and \bot be labels drawn from a join-semilattice such that $\bot \sqsubseteq \zeta$ and $\top \not\sqsubseteq \zeta$. If

$$\begin{split} \cdot \mid \cdot \mid x : \mathbb{B}^{\top} \vdash_{\perp} e : \mathbb{B}^{\perp}, \\ \cdot \mid \cdot \mid \cdot \vdash_{\perp} v_{1} : \mathbb{B}^{\top}, \text{ and } \cdot \mid \cdot \mid \cdot \vdash_{\perp} v_{2} : \mathbb{B}^{\top} \end{split}$$

then

$$(\emptyset, e[v_1/x]) \to^* (\sigma_1, v_1') \land (\emptyset, e[v_2/x]) \to^* (\sigma_2, v_2') \Rightarrow v_1' = v_2'.$$