## Coverage for ISO/IEC 8652:2012 and subsequent corrections in ACATS 3.x and 4.x Clauses 8.3.1-8.5.5

A Key to Kinds and subkinds is found on the sheet named Key. Tests new to ACATS 3.0 are shown in **bold**; ACATS 3.1 in **bold italic**; ACATS 4.0 in **blue bold**; ACATS 4.1 in **blue bold italic**. ACATS 4.2 in **green bold italic**.

							Objective's	S		Submitted tests
lause	Para.	Lines	Kind	Subkind	Notes	Tests	New Priority	Objective Text	Objective notes	(will need work).
3.1	(1/2)		General							
	(2/2)		Syntax							
	(3/3)		Legality			B831001, C831001	Part	Check that an overriding indicator can be given on an abstract subprogram declaration, a null procedure declaration, and an 2 ordinary (non-protected) subprogram declaration.	C-Test. Still need to try abstract operation in a C-Test, but it isn't very likely to get wrong. Possibly do this in an interface test.	
						B831001	Part	Check that an overriding indicator can be given on an subprogram body, subprogram body stub, and a subprogram 5 renaming declaration.	C-Test. Only tested error cases.	
						B831001	Part	Check that an overriding indicator can be given on a generic 4 instantiation of a subprogram.	C-Test. Only tested error cases.	
					Added by Ada 2012, Al05-0177-1.	C831001	All	Check that an overriding indicator can be given on an expression function.		
					Any overriding indicator C-Test will test			·		
	(4/2)		Legality	Subpart	this.					
				Negative		B831001	All	Check that an operation with an overriding indicator is illegal if it is not a primitive operation for some type.	the next test.	
						B831006	All	Check that a subprogram with an overriding indicator is illegal in a protected body.		
	(5/0)		1 124 -	0	Any overriding indicator C-Test will test					
	(5/2)		Legality	Subpart	this.			Check that an operation with an indicator of <b>overriding</b> is		
				Negative		B831002	All	illegal if it does not override a homograph at the place of the declaration or body.	f	
				·				Check that an operation with an indicator of <b>overriding</b> is illegal if it does not override a homograph at the place of the declaration or body even though the operation is	f	
				Negative		B831003	All	overridden later.		
	(6/2)		Legality	Subpart	Any overriding indicator C-Test will test this.					
	()		g,	p				Check that an operation with an indicator of <b>not overriding</b> is illegal if it overrides a homograph at the place of the		
				Negative		B831002	All	declaration or body.	B-Test.	
						B831003	All	Check that an operation with an indicator of <b>not overriding</b> is illegal if it overrides a homograph even if the operation is overridden later.	B-Test. Try types where operations are revealed at multiple places.	
	(7/2)		Legality			B831004	Part	Check that overriding indicators can be used on operations 6 primitive for a type derived from a generic formal type.	Still need a C-Test.	
				Negative	Instances are not relevant for this objective.	<b>B831004</b> (specifications), <b>B831005</b> (bodies)	All	Check that an operation with the indicator of <b>overriding</b> is illegal if it is primitive for a type derived from a generic formal type and the operation does not inherit a homograph.		
				Negative	Instances are relevant for this objective, checks on instantiation are needed.	<b>B831004</b> (specifications), <b>B831005</b> (bodies)	All	Check that an operation with the indicator of <b>not overriding</b> is illegal if it is primitive for a type derived from a generic formal type and the operation inherits a homograph in either the generic or the instance.	Note that this cannot be checked in private parts, as 12.3(18) says that such operations are not overriding in an instance even though they would normally be overriding.	

	(8/2)	NonNormative		A note				
	(9/2)	NonNormative		Start of examples				
	(10/2)	NonNormative		2				
	(11/2)	NonNormative						
	, ,							
	(12/2)	NonNormative						
	(13/2)	NonNormative						
	(14/2)	NonNormative						
	(15/2)	NonNormative						
	(16/2)	NonNormative		End of examples.				
8.4	(1)	Redundant						
	(2)	Syntax						
	(3)	Syntax						
	(4/3)	Syntax		<b>All</b> added by Ada 2012, Al05-0150-1.				
			Negative	Subtype_Mark needs an explicit check for subtypedness.	B840001		Check that the name in a use type clause cannot denote anything other than a subtype.	
	(5/2)	Legality	Widely Used	Any legal use clause.				
					B84001A (task decl, subp decl), <b>B840002</b> (record type, protected type, record		Check that the name in a use package clause cannot denote	
			Negative		object) BC1012A (nested in	All	anything other than a package.	
			Negative		subprogram), <i>B840002</i> (context clause)	All	Check that the name in a use package clause cannot denote a generic package.	
			Negative		B840002	All	Check that the name in a use package clause cannot denote the limited view of a package.	
				After AARM 5.a. This really ought to be				
				tested in 12.7, but as we don't have objectives for that yet, we'll put it here to ensure it doesn't get missed.			Check that the name in a use package clause can denote a 4 formal package.	C-Test.
	(6)	StaticSem		Context clause visibility is tested in 10.1.6, we don't test that use clauses	CA1108A, CA13001		Check that a use clause given in a context clause of a specification applies to the body and subunits as well as the 2 specification.	C-Test. Try a use type clause and a use all type clause.
	(0)	StaticSelli		don't apply in a context clause nere.	CAT100A, CA13001		Check that a use clause given in a context clause of a body 3 applies to the any subunits as well as the body.	C-Test. Try both use and use [all] type.
							Check that a use clause given in a context clause of a library	C-Test. Try both use and use [all] type.  C-Test. Try both use and use [all] type.
							4 package specification applies to child units.	Don't forget the child unit body.
			Negative				Check that a use clause given in a context clause of a library package specification P does not apply in any units that 5 mention P in a context clause	B-Test: Try both use package and use type, also use all type. Try withing P in specifications, bodies, and stubs, also check in bodies where P is given on the spec, and in stubs where P is given on the body.
	(7)	StaticSem			C84008A		Check that a use clause in the visible part of a package 2 specification applies to the body and any subunits as well.	C-Test. Try a use type clause (also use all type).
							Check that a use clause in the private part of a package 3 specification applies to the body and any subunits as well.	C-Test. Try both a use package and use [all] type clause.
							Check that a use clause in the visible part of a package 4 specification applies to any child units.	C-Test. Try both a use package and use [all] type clause. Don't forget the child unit body.
							Check that a use clause in a body applies to any subunits as 2 well.	C-Test.

					B840001 (use type only, no bodies)	
			Negative		B84007A	
			Negative		B840001 (use type)	
(7.1/2)		Definitions	Negative	named	B84008B	
(8/3)		Definitions		potentially use visible		
	1					
			Negative			
	2					
			Negative		B840001	
			Negative		B840001	
			Nogotivo		C940004	
	3		Negative Lead-in	Added by Ada 2012, Al05-0150-1.	C840001	
(8.1/3)				Added by Ada 2012, Al05-0150-1.	C840002	All
			Negative		B840003	All
(8.2/3)				Added by Ada 2012, Al05-0150-1.	C840002	All
			Negative	Others kinds of "use visible" tooted in	B840003	All
(8.3/3)		Definitions		Others kinds of "use-visible"; tested in 12.6, added by Al05-0131-1.		
(9)		StaticSem	Portion	Lead-in for following bullets.		
(10)		StaticSem	Subpart	Any legal use clause.	C84002A (proc declared	
			Negative		later)	
			Negative			
(11)		StaticSem	Subpart	Any legal use clause.		
					C84005A	
					C84009A (use package)	

Check that a use clause in the private part of a package specification applies to all of private child units, and the private [all] type clause. Don't forget the child 3 part and body of public child units.

2 Check that a use clause does not apply before its declaration. all type).

Check that a use clause given in the private part of a package 4 does not include the public part of a public child unit.

Check that a use package clause for package P does not make items visible that were visible in P due to a use clause in P's visible part.

B-Test. Try a use package clause.

C-Test. Try both a use package and use

B-Test. Try a use type clause (also use

Check that a use package clause for a library package makes 4 any withed child units directly visible.

Check that a use package clause does not make entities 3 declared in nested packages directly visible.

Check that a use type clause on a class-wide type T'Class 6 makes the primitive operators of type T directly visible.

Check that a use type clause does not make primitive subprograms of the appropriate type that are named with 2 identifiers directly visible.

Check that a use type clause does not make primitive operators for other types visible.

Check that a use type clause does not make non-primitive operators declared in the package where the named subtype is declared directly visible.

Check that a use all type clause makes primitive subprograms of the appropriate type directly visible.

Check that a use all type clause does not make non-primitive subprograms declared in the package where the named subtype is declared directly visible.

Check that a use all type clause of a specific tagged type makes appropriate class-wide operations directly visible.

Check that a use all type clause of a specific tagged type T does not make operations of T'Class directly visible unless they are declared in the same package as T or an ancestor of

C-Test.

unit body.

B-Test.

C-Test. Important for "=" (other operators are less likely).

B-Test. Check that enumeration literals are not made visible, as well as functions with arguments.

Check that a use package clause does not make an entity 2 visible within the immediate scope of a homograph.

Check that a use type clause does not make an operator 3 visible within the immediate scope of a homograph.

Check that a use package clause can make overloaded subprograms with the same identifier visible, and that they can be resolved.

Check that a use clause can make overloaded operators 2 visible.

C-Test: try operations declared before the use clause and operators.

C-Test: it's necessary to check which operator is executed.

C-Test: use type and use all type clauses. (But unlikely to be wrong)

	(12) (13) (14) (15) (16)		Dynamic  NonNormative NonNormative NonNormative NonNormative	Negative Not Testable	Can't tell "no effect" from forgetting to execute it; can't guess random wrong effects.  Start of examples	B84004A, B84006A		Check that multiple declarations with the same identifier that are not overloadable are not made directly visible by one or more use clauses.	This can happen only for use package; operators are always overloadable.	
8.5	(1)		Redundant							
	(2)	1	Syntax  Dynamic		Can't test this for exceptions, packages, or generics, because their names have no dynamic component.			Check that the name in an object renaming is evaluated each 3 time it is elaborated.  Check that the name in an object renaming is evaluated and 4 needed index and access checks are performed.  Check that the name in a subprogram renaming is evaluated	C-Test. C-Test: C-Test: try renaming access-to-subprogram objects stored in arrays or	
	(4) (5) (6) (7)	2	Redundant NonNormative NonNormative NonNormative		Start of examplesend of examples.			3 and needed index and access checks are performed.	heap-allocated objects.	
8.5.1	(1) (2/3)		Redundant Syntax		Aspect_clauses added by Ada 2012. This is likely to be a common mistake, so it is tested.	B85001I, B85001J, B85001K, B85001M		Check that the subtype_mark in a renaming declaration cannot be replaced by a subtype_indication.		
	(3/5)	1	NameRes					For an object renaming with a subtype_mark, check that the name is resolved if there is only one interpretation with the 7 correct type, even if other interpretations exist.	C-Test.	
				Negative		B85001H		For an object renaming with a subtype_mark, check that the 7 name is illegal if it does not resolve to the appropriate type.	B-Test. Make sure that X : T; Y : T'Class renames X is tested.	BY30001 (contains named access cases)
		2			Rule confirmed by Al05-0105-1.	C851002	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to-object type, check that the name is resolved if there is only one interpretation with a correct anonymous access type, even if other interpretations exist.		
				Negative		B851002	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to-object type, check that the name is illegal if it does not resolve to an anonymous access type with the appropriate designated type.		
		3			Rule confirmed by Al05-0105-1.	C851002	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- subprogram, check that the name is resolved if there is only one interpretation with a correct anonymous access type, ever if other interpretations exist.		
				Negative		B851003	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- subprogram type, check that the name is illegal if it does not resolve to an anonymous access type with the appropriate designated profile.		

(4)	Legality	Widely Used				
(+)	Logality	Negative	B85001A, B85001B, B85001C, B85001D, B85001E		Check that an object renaming cannot rename a literal or aggregate.	D. Toot. Cheek normed numbers other
						B-Test. Check named numbers, other attributes. (Enumeration literals tested by
			B85001G (attrib)		4 Check that an object renaming cannot rename a value.	B85001F.)
			B85001F		Check that an object renaming cannot rename something that is not an object.	
		This is the lead-in for the following			,	
(4.1/2)	Legality	Portion rules.				
(4.2/2)	Legality				Check that an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- object type can rename an object with the same kind of 5 anonymous access-to-object.	CY30001 (three C-Test. Two cases in the next objective's cases), CY30002 test (C851001). (two cases).
			C851001	All	Check that an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- object type with no null exclusion can rename an object with an anonymous access-to-object with a matching designated subtype and a null exclusion.	
		Negative	B851002	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to-object type, check that the renaming is illegal if the designated subtypes don't statically match.	
		gauro	200.002	7	castypes defit stationity materix	
			B851002	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to-object type, check that the renaming is illegal if one of the types is access-to-constant and the other is access-to-variable.	
(4.3/2)					Check that an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- subprogram type can rename an object with the same kind of 5 anonymous access-to-subprogram.	C-Test. A single case in C851001.
, ,			B851003	All	For an object renaming with an anonymous access-to- subprogram type, check that the renaming is illegal if the designated profiles are not subtype conformant.	
(4.4/2) 1	Legality	Subpart Any renaming with a null_exclusion	on.			
		Negative	B851004	All	For an object renaming with a null_exclusion, check that the renaming is illegal if the subtype of the renamed object does not exclude null.	
					For an object renaming with an access_definition with a	
			B851004	All	null_exclusion, check that the renaming is illegal if the subtype of the renamed object does not exclude null.	)
2		Portion Lead-in for following bullets.			,	
(4.5/2)		Any renaming of a formal object i Subpart generic body.	n a			
		Negative	<b>B851004</b> (simple cases)	Part	For an object renaming with a null_exclusion given in a generic body that names a formal object of the generic or a parent unit of the generic, check that the renaming is illegal if 4 the formal object does not have a null_exclusion.	B-Test. Be sure to check bodies of nested and child generics as well the body of the generic. Especially try cases that would otherwise be legal (the formal object having a null excluding subtype).
					For an object renaming with an access_definition with a null_exclusion given in a generic body that names a formal object of the generic or a parent unit of the generic, check that the renaming is illegal if the formal object does not have a	that would otherwise be legal (the formal
			<b>B851004</b> (simple cases)	Part	4 null_exclusion.	object having a null excluding subtype).

(4.6/2)		<b>B851004</b> All	For an object renaming with an access_definition with a null_exclusion that renames a formal object in a generic package specification, check that an instance is illegal if the
(5/3) 1	This rule was revised by Al05-0008.	B3A2015 (definite, deref of general access), B851001 (generic body rule for formal derived), B851005 (definite, usual), B851006 (definite, deref cases), B851007 (definite, generic cases)	Check that a renamed object is not a subcomponent that depends on discriminants of an object whose nominal subtype is unconstrained unless the object is known to be constrained.
	Negative	B851005 (immutably limited, indefinite types, stand-alone constants, function calls, aggregates), B851006 (dereference of pool-specific access), B851007 (instance of pool-specific and indefinite type) Part	C-Test. Need to try executable cases of immutably limited types, indefinite types, depends on discriminants of an object whose nominal subtype to the sunconstrained and which is known to be constrained.  C-Test. Need to try executable cases of immutably limited types, indefinite types, parts of constants other than deref of access-to-constants.
2			Check that a slice is not renamed if it is a slice of a subcomponent that depends on discriminants of an object whose nominal subtype is unconstrained and which is not 7 known to be constrained.  B-Test. Be sure to check all of the cases that aren't known-to-be-constrained (definite, deref of general access inc. access-to-constant, deref of pool-specific with constrained partial view). Check special formal body cases.
	Negative		C-Test. Be sure to check all of the cases for known-to-be-constrained. Check immutably limited types, indefinite types, parts of constants other than deref of access-to-constants.
3		B851001 (definite)	For a renamed object in a generic unit that is a subcomponent that depends on discriminants of an object, check that an instance is illegal if the object's nominal subtype is  7 unconstrained and the object is not known to be constrained.  B-Test. Check deref of access-to-formal; check formal private types.
(6/2)		C85005G (range constraints), C85006G (index constraints)	Check that the constraints of a renamed object are those of the renamed object, not those given in the renaming 6 declaration.  C-Test. Try discriminant constraints.  Check that when renaming an object that excludes null, the
		<b>C851001</b> All	renamed object still excludes null even if the
		B85004A	B-Test. Check function results, dereferences of access-to-constant types (named and anonymous), constant extended return statements, selected and indexed components of a constant.

					B85005A (obj dec), B85005B, B85005C (in out param), B85005D (generic in out), B85005E (allocator), B85006A (comp or slice of obj dec), B85006B, B85006C (comp or slice of in out param), B85006D (comp or slice of generic in out), B85006E (comp or slice of allocator) B85006F (slice of slice), B85007E (out param) B85004B	p D	Check that a renamed variable can be assigned to. Check that a renamed object has the correct value.	
	(7) (8)	NonNormative NonNormative		Start of examplesend of examples.	B85005F (access deref)		Check that the renamed object remains the same even if the name that it renames changes to designate a different object.	C-Test. Try renaming an array item, and changing the index value.
8.5.2	(1) (2/3) (3)	Redundant Syntax Legality	Subpart	Aspect_clauses added by Ada 2012. Any legal exception renaming.				B-Test. Check protected units, and
			Negative		B85008F, B85008G, B85008H		Check that the renamed entity of an exception renaming 3 declaration denotes an exception.	various types: task, protected, decimal, float, fixed, integer, modular, record, array, private, interface.
	(4) (5) (6)	StaticSem NonNormative NonNormative		Start of exampleend of example.	C85009A		Check that a renamed exception can be used anywhere that 4 an exception can be used.	C-Test. Try in raise with message and as the prefix of 'Identity.
8.5.3	(1) (2/3) (3)	Redundant Syntax Legality	Subpart	Aspect_clauses added by Ada 2012. Any legal package renaming				
			Negative		B85010A, B85010B (literals)		Check that the renamed entity of a package renaming 7 declaration denotes a package.	B-Test. Try renaming a generic package, a task unit, a protected unit, an object declaration (of a task unit?), a parameter, a block label, a loop label, and various kinds of types (enum, integer, modular, fixed, decimal, float, array, record, private, interface). Note that all important cases are not currently tested.
	(3.1/2)	Legality			<b>B853001</b> (file 2 tries some legal cases)	Part	Check that a limited view of a package can be renamed as a package, and that it can be used in it's immediate scope and 6 within the scope of a with clause for the package.	C-Test. Consider using an example similar to the one in Al12-0423-1, which defined this.
			Negative		B853001	All	Check that the name of a renamed limited view of a package cannot be used outside of the scope of a with clause for the package or the immediate scope of the renaming.	
	(4) (4.1/2)	Redundant		This is formally defined in 8.3.	B85011A		Check that a renamed package name can be used in the same ways as a normal package name.	

	(5) (6)	NonNormative NonNormative		Start of exampleend of example.				
8.5.4	(1/3) 1 2 (2/3)	Definitions Definitions Syntax		"renaming-as-body"  "renaming-as-declaration"  Aspect_clauses added by Ada 2012.				
	(3)	NameRes			C85014A (overloaded entries, num params), C85014B (overloaded subprograms, param types), C85014C (overloaded subprograms, results)		The name in a subprogram renaming must have the profile 4 given in the subprogram_specification.	C-Test. Try renames-as-body cases. Try overloaded names where exactly one matches. Most cases occur in any legal renames.
					C85019A		Check that enumeration literals can be renamed as a function.	
			Negative				Check that a subprogram renaming is illegal if there is no interpretation of the name that has the profile given in the subprogram_specification.	B-Test. Try both renames-as-body and renames-as-declaration.
					B85012A (modes)		Check that a subprogram renaming is illegal if there are multiple interpretations of the name that has the profile given 7 in the subprogram_specification.	B-Test. Try both renames-as-body and renames-as-declaration.
	(4/3)	Legality	Widely Used	Any legal renames-as-declaration will meet this.				
		Logain	Negative		B85012A		Check that a renaming-as-declaration is illegal if it is not mode 7 conformant with the renamed subprogram.	B-Test. This only tests cases where the name resolves but is not mode conformant.
	(4.1/2)	Legality	Lead-in	Part of the following rules.				
	(4.2/5)	Legality		Legal cases are usual cases. (Minor wording change from Al12-0287-1, no effect here.)				
			Negative		B854002	Part	For a subprogram renaming with a parameter or result type that has a null_exclusion or is an access_definition with a null_exclusion that appears in the body of an outer generic whose renamed subprogram is a generic formal subprogram of the outer generic, check that the renaming is illegal if the corresponding parameter or result of the formal subprogram 5 does not include a null_exclusion.	B-Test; still to try renames-as-body; but not very likely to matter. Also should test in generic child units and nested generic units.
	(4.3/2)	Legality	J				Check that the renamed profile may have parameters with null exclusions if the corresponding parameters of the renamed 6 entity are null excluding.	C-Test. Try both renames-as-body and renames-as-declaration. Avoid cases that would trigger the assume-the-worst rule.
			Negative		B854002	Part	For a subprogram renaming with a parameter or result type that has a null_exclusion or is an access_definition with a null_exclusion, check that the renaming is illegal if the subtype of the corresponding parameter or result of the renamed 3 subprogram does not exclude null.	B-Test; still to try renames-as-body; but not very likely to matter.
							For a subprogram renaming with a parameter or result type that has a null_exclusion or is an access_definition with a null_exclusion that appears in the specification of an outer generic whose renamed subprogram is a generic formal subprogram of the outer generic, check that an instantiation of the outer generic is illegal if the corresponding parameter or result of the actual subprogram does not include a	not very likely to matter. Also should test in generic child units and nested generic
				Recheck in generic cases.  Normal completion – any renames as	B854002	Part	3 null_exclusion.  Check that a renaming declaration can complete a previous	units.  Not worth extensive tests, just need an
	(5/3) 1	Legality		body will test.	C854001		subprogram declaration.	example.

			Negative		B854003	All	A renames-as-body is illegal if it does not fully conform with the declaration that it completes.	
	2			We only test the motivating case for this rule.	C854003		Check that a renames-as-body of a predefined operator is allowed if the original declaration is not frozen.	
			Negative				For a renames-as-body whose original declaration is not frozen, check that the renaming is illegal if the renamed 6 subprogram is not mode conformant.	B-Test. (This is the normal rule for renames, not really important to test.)
					B854003	All	For a renames-as-body whose original declaration is frozen, check that the renaming is illegal if renamed subprogram is not subtype conformant	
					B854003	All	For a renames-as-body whose original declaration is frozen, check that the renaming is illegal if the renamed subprogram has convention intrinsic.	This is not really separately testable from the previous objective, as any violation of the rule also will violate subtype conformance. We tried it anyway.
	3				B854001		Check that a renames-as-body is illegal if the declaration is not frozen and it renames itself (directly or indirectly)	
(5.1/2)		Legality			B393007 (single case of functions), <i>B854004</i>	All	Check that a renames is illegal if the renamed entity requires overriding.	
(5.2/2)		Legality			B854003	All	Check that a renaming-as-body is illegal if the renamed entity is abstract.	
(5.3/5)		Legality		Added by Al12-0204-1. This is a Binding Interpretation, so immediate testing is OK.	B854005	All	Check that if a renaming is of a prefixed view, the renaming is illegal if renaming the prefix of that view as an object is illegal.	
(6)		Legality					Check that a name that denotes a formal parameter of the subprogram_specification of a subprogram renames is not 8 allowed in the callable_entity_name.	B-Test. Try both renames-as-body and renames-as-declaration.
(7)	1	StaticSem	Widely Used	Any legal subprogram renames will test.			_ /_	
. ,	2	StaticSem			C85013A (subprograms), C85018A (entry family)		Check that the formal parameter names of the renamed view can be used in a call of the renamed view.	
					C85013A (subprograms), C85018B (entry family)		Check that the subtypes of the parameters of the original renamed entity are used and checked in a call of the renamed view.	l C-Test. Need to test null exclusions.
					C85013A (subprograms), C85018B (entry family)		Check that the subtypes of the parameters of a renaming are 4 ignored when making a call on the renamed view.	C-Test. Need to test null exclusions.
					C85013A (subprograms), C85018A (entry family), B85013C (aggregate context), B85013D (aggregate context)		Check that the default expressions used in a call of a rename subprogram come from the profile of the renaming.	d
					, ,		Check that the formal parameter names of the renamed entity	
			Negative	Any legal subprogram renames will			8 cannot be used in a call through the renamed view.	B-Test.
	3	StaticSem		test.	_			
			Negative	Barely testable; Ada 2005 rule changes make a renaming act like an entry in almost all contexts.	B85015A		Check that a renamed entry cannot be used as the prefix of 'Count.	
(7.1/1)		Dynamic			C854001		Check that a call to a subprogram completed by a renames- as-body calls the renamed subprogram.	
					C854002		Check that a renames-as-body has a separate elaboration check from the renamed entity.	
(8/3)	1	Dynamic		This is the squirrelly, er, squirreling renames rule.	C854001		Check that a renaming of a dispatching subprogram before it is overridden renames the original (not the overriding) subprogram.	

					C654001	correct body.		
	2	Dynamic		Added to Ada 2012 by Al12-0123-1.		Check that a renaming of predefined equality for an untagged type before it is overridden renames the original (not the 7 overriding) function.	C-Test.	
	(8.1/1)	BoundedError				Check that a subprogram that renames itself (directly or indirectly) either raises Constraint_Error, Program_Error, or 2 Storage Error (from infinite recursion).	C-Test. ARG voted to not test this objective, thus the low priority.	C85408A
	` ,			A note		2 Storage_Error (from finisher recursion).	objective, thus the low phonty.	C03400A
	(9)	NonNormative NonNormative		A note. A note.				
	(10)	ivonivormative						
	(11/5)	Deleted		This note was wrong, so it was deleted by Al12-0292-1.				
	(12)	NonNormative		A note.				
	(13)	NonNormative		Start of example				
	(14)	NonNormative						
	(15)	NonNormative						
	(16)	NonNormative						
	(17)	NonNormative		end of example.				
	(18)	NonNormative		Start of example				
	(19)	NonNormative		end of example.				
	(20)	NonNormative		Start of example				
	(21)	NonNormative		end of example.				
8.5.5	(1)	General						
	(2/3)	Syntax						
	(3)	Legality	Widely Used	Any legal generic renaming.				
			Negative		BA11011	Check that a generic renaming is illegal if the renamed unit is 4 a different kind than the renaming.	B-Test; need to check inside of units. No likely to be wrong, thus low priority.	t
							C-Test. B-Test only checks in the contex	t
	(4)	StaticSem			BC70008	Check the properties of a renamed generic unit is the same as 6 the original unit.		
	(5)	NonNormative		A note.		-		
	(6)	NonNormative		Start of example				
	(7)	NonNormative		end of example.				
	` '			•				

Check that a renaming of a dispatching subprogram calls the correct body.

Paragraphs:		Objectives with tests:	Objectives to test:
8 107		95	60
	Must be tested	Objectives with Priority 10	0
		Objectives with Priority 9	0
	Important to test	Objectives with Priority 8	3
		Objectives with Priority 7	9
	Valuable to test	Objectives with Priority 6	8
		Objectives with Priority 5	6
	Ought to be tested	Objectives with Priority 4	15
	•	Objectives with Priority 3	10
	Worth testing	Objectives with Priority 2	9
	Not worth testing	Objectives with Priority 1	0
	, and the second	Total:	60
		Objectives covered by new tests since ACATS 2.6	48
		Completely:	37

8

Total objectives:

121

Objectives with submitted tests: