

# Software Architectures

## Assignment 1 : Design Patterns

Arnaud Rosette, Simon Picard

March 5, 2015

### 1 Exercise 1 : Find Instances of Design Patterns

#### 1.1 Singleton

The `org.gjt.sp.jedit.buffer.KillRing` class is an instance of the singleton pattern.

##### 1.1.1 Purpose

Creational pattern.

##### 1.1.2 Participants

The `KillRing` class is the singleton class.

##### 1.1.3 Class diagram




Figure 1: `KillRing` class diagram

##### 1.1.4 Concrete situation description

In this situation, the singleton pattern is used to keep track of deleted text in a single place in the application.

The constructor is here public. However, the common usage of the singleton pattern uses a private constructor in order to only have one instance of this class living in the system. The constructor is here made public because the plugins may want to create their own `KillRing`.

#### 1.2 Abstract Factory

The `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.statusbar.StatusWidgetFactory` class is an example of the abstract factory pattern.

### 1.2.1 Purpose

Creational pattern.

### 1.2.2 Participants

The participants are the classes : `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.statusbar.StatusWidgetFactory`, `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.statusbar.BufferSetWidgetFactory`, `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.statusbar.BufferSetWidget`, `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.statusbar.Widget` and `org.gjt.sp.jedit.gui.StatusBar`.

- **StatusWidgetFactory** : Abstract Factory
- **BufferSetWidgetFactory** : Concrete Factory
- **BufferSetWidget** : Concrete Product
- **Widget** : Abstract Product
- **StatusBar** : Client

### 1.2.3 Class diagram




Figure 2: StatusWidgetFactory class diagram

### 1.2.4 Concrete situation description

In this situation, the abstract factory pattern is used to let a `StatusBar` object creating different kind of `Widget` without specifying their concrete class.

## 1.3 Observer

### 1.3.1 Purpose

### 1.3.2 Participants

### 1.3.3 Class diagram

### 1.3.4 Concrete situation description

## 1.4 Adapter

The `org.gjt.sp.jedit.buffer.BufferAdapter` class is an example of the adapter pattern.

#### 1.4.1 Purpose

Structural pattern.

#### 1.4.2 Participants

The participants are the classes : `org.gjt.sp.jedit.buffer.BufferAdapter`, `org.gjt.sp.jedit.buffer.BufferListener`, a client and a target.

- **BufferAdapter** : Adapter
- **BufferListener** : Adaptee

#### 1.4.3 Class diagram




Figure 3: BufferAdapter class diagram

#### 1.4.4 Concrete situation description

In this situation, the `BufferListener` interface contains several methods but not all of them are necessary for its potential subclasses. The `BufferAdapter` abstract class implements all the methods of the `BufferListener` interface but these methods contain an empty body in order to let the next `Target` classes only implement the methods they need.

In this case, the adapter pattern is not used to let two incompatible existing classes work together but this pattern is used to let new concrete classes only implement the methods they need from this interface without implementing the methods which are not useful for these concrete classes.

## 1.5 Visitor

The org.gjt.sp.jedit.visitors.JEditVisitor class is an example of the visitor pattern.

### 1.5.1 Purpose

Behavioral pattern.

### 1.5.2 Participants

The participants are the classes : org.gjt.sp.jedit.visitors.JEditVisitor, org.gjt.sp.jedit.visitors.JEditVisitorAdapter, org.gjt.sp.jedit.View.SetCursorVisitor, org.gjt.sp.jedit.visitors.SaveCaretInfoVisitor, org.gjt.sp.jedit.jEdit, org.gjt.sp.jedit.View, org.gjt.sp.jedit.EditPane and org.gjt.sp.jedit.textarea.JEditTextArea.

- **JEditVisitor** : Visitor
- **JEditVisitorAdapter** : an Adapter that does not belong to this pattern
- **SetCursorVisitor** : Concrete Visitor
- **SaveCaretInfoVisitor** : Concrete Visitor
- **jEdit** : Object Structure
- **View** : Concrete Element and Client
- **EditPane** : Concrete Element
- **JEditTextArea** : Concrete Element

### 1.5.3 Class diagram




Figure 4: JEditVisitor class diagram

### 1.5.4 Concrete situation description

In this situation, the visitor design pattern is used to perform different types of action (set cursor, save caret) on a datastructure containing different types of objects. The datastructure is hold in the jEdit class (main class of the application). jEdit contains a current View and a View has references about its previous and next View. So the Views form a list structure. Further, each View may contain an EditPane and each EditPane contains a JEditTextArea.

A View may want to apply a specific action on the entire datastructure. In order to perform this action on the entire datastructure without depending on the concrete implementation of the elements of this datastructure, the View will use the visit method of the jEdit class by giving to it the concrete action that

has to be performed, this method will visit each element of the datastructure and perform the concrete action on it.

The classes of the elements of the datastructure (View, EditPane, JEditTextArea) do not share a common interface. However, the theoretical version of this pattern uses a common interface between the different elements of the datastructure.

## **2 Exercise 2 : Recognize Design Patterns**

The design patterns found are the command pattern (behavioural pattern) and the facade pattern (structural pattern).

### **2.1 Command pattern**

#### **2.1.1 Purpose**

Behavioral pattern.

#### **2.1.2 Participants**

#### **2.1.3 Class diagram**

#### **2.1.4 Concrete situation description**

### **2.2 Facade pattern**

#### **2.2.1 Purpose**

Structural pattern.

#### **2.2.2 Participants**

#### **2.2.3 Class diagram**

#### **2.2.4 Concrete situation description**

Command participants :

- Command : Edit
- ConcreteCommand : Insert, Remove, Replace, CompressedReplace
- Client :
- Invoker : JEditBuffer
- Receiver : UndoManager.buffer (JEditBuffer)

## **3 Exercise 3 : Coupling and Cohesion**

### **3.1 Question a**

- A high cohesion is preferable because it means that all the function of a class are in that class for a good reason, the class has a well defined purpose.
- A loose coupling is better because it allow the developer to modify the content of some module without jeopardize the interaction between the module and the others.

## **3.2 Question b**

### **3.2.1 MiscUtilities**

The cohesion type is coincidental because this class regroup all the small functions needed at several places in the projects which therefore do not have anything in common.

To improve the cohesion the class could be divided in several sub classes which take care of a single feature, by example, there is several functions which focus the path, they could be regrouped in one class in order to have a logical cohesion.

### **3.2.2 GUIUtilities**

This class has a logical cohesion, the class handle all the function to create the GUI, they are grouped in this class because they all act in the same purpose even though they do not interact with each other.

The class could be sub divided to focus on single element of the GUI per classes but it will only improve the logical cohesion, in order to achieve a functional cohesion, the functions could be dispatched where they are actually useful if possible, i.e if they are not used at several places in the project.

### **3.2.3 io/VFSFile.java**

This class represent an ADT, therefore the cohesion is maximal.

## **3.3 Question c**

It seems that the coupling between these two classes is of the type Data because some data shared between the modules are done through parameters (e.g advanceSplashProgress).