

INFO-F-409 – Learning dynamics
Article group 7 Review

Jérôme Bastogne, Maxime Desclefs, Simon Picard
ULB

13/01/2016

- 1 Does the introduction explain clearly the content of the paper
- 2 whether there is sufficient background information to understand the relevance of the work
- 3 whether the methods are clearly explained (can the results be reproduced?)
- 4 whether the results answer the questions asked in the paper.
- 5 whether all questions are answered
- 6 whether the conclusion is sufficient
- 7 and whether the overall style is ok and
- 8 whether you believe things are missing in the discussion.
- 9 etc.
- 10 3 positive points concerning the work, clearly specifying why you think they are well-done or interesting
- 11 3 negative points, which may include missing/unclear explanations or suggestions for improvement
- 12 at least 3 clear and relevant questions on the content or the methods used which can be asked (next to other questions)