

Kernel methods for machine learning

Homework 1

Simon Querier simon.querier@telecom-paris.fr

February 1, 2024

Exercise 1

Let f be a function in the unit ball of the corresponding RKHS and x a point in \mathbf{X} . Then, the reproducing property writes :

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)| &= |\langle f, K_x \rangle| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} K(x, x)^{1/2} && \text{by Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality.} \\ &\leq K(x, x)^{1/2} && \text{because } f \text{ belongs to the unit ball.} \\ &\leq b && \text{because the kernel is bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

It holds for any $x \in \mathcal{X}$ so that $\|f\|_{\infty} = \sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x)| \leq b$.

Exercise 2

\implies Let's suppose that K is positive definite.

By symmetry we have $K(x, x') = 1 \iff K(x', x) = 1$. To prove the second property, let's suppose by contradiction that $K(x, x') = K(x', x'') = 1$ but $K(x, x'') = 0$. Then, the Gram

matrix of $\{x, x', x''\}$ writes $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ whose determinant is equal to -1 . which is impossible

because K is positive definite. Hence $K(x, x') = K(x', x'') = 1 \Rightarrow K(x, x'') = 1$.

\Leftarrow Let K be a similarity measure which satisfies the properties given in the exercise 2.

Then, the binary relation defined by $x \sim x' \iff K(x, x') = 1$ is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{X} : it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Let $n \geq 1$, $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{X}^n$, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since \sim is an equivalence relation on \mathcal{X} , there exists a partition $(C_k)_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $i, j \in C_k$ we have $x_i \sim x_j$ and for $i \in C_k, j \in C_\ell$ we have $K(x_i, x_j) = 0$ if $k \neq \ell$.

Then : $\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i,j \in C_k} a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\sum_{i \in C_k} a_i \right)^2 \geq 0$ which proves K is positive definite kernel.

Exercise 3

1. Let's show that $K = \alpha K_1 + \beta K_2$ is a positive definite kernel. Let $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$. It's symmetric because $\alpha K_1(x, x') + \beta K_2(x, x') = \alpha K_1(x', x) + \beta K_2(x', x)$ by symme-

try of K_1 and K_2 . It's positive because for any $a_{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_{1:n} \in \mathcal{X}^n$ we have $\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j (\alpha K_1(x_i, x_j) + \beta K_2(x_i, x_j)) = \alpha \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K_1(x_i, x_j) + \beta \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K_2(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$.

Let \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 be the two RKHS corresponding to K_1 and K_2 respectively. Let's suppose that $\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2 = \emptyset$. Then, we can endow $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ with the following inner product : $\langle f + g, f' + g' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} / \alpha + \langle g, g' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} / \beta$ with $(f, g), (f', g') \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ so that it becomes an Hilbert space. Then if $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$ we have $\langle K_x, f + g \rangle = \langle \alpha K_{1,x}, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} / \alpha + \langle \beta K_{2,x}, g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} / \beta = f(x) + g(x)$. According to Aronszajn's theorem $\mathcal{H}_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}_2$ is the RKHS associated to K .

What happens when $E = \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2 \neq \emptyset$? We can set $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$ for $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\langle f + g, f' + g' \rangle = \langle f, f' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} / \alpha + \langle g, g' \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_2} / \beta$ $(f, g), (f', g') \in \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}_2$. It's a well defined inner product for $\mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_2$ and it satisfies the reproducing property so that it is the RKHS of K .

2. The symmetry of K is derived from the symmetry of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$. Let $a_{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_{1:n} \in \mathcal{X}^n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j \langle \psi(x_i), \psi(x_j) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_i a_i \psi(x_i), \sum_j a_j \psi(x_j) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \psi(x_i) \right\|_{\mathcal{F}}^2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence K is a positive definite kernel on \mathcal{X} .

Let $\mathcal{H} = \left\{ f_u : \mathcal{X} \ni x \mapsto \mathbb{R} \in \langle \psi(x), u \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} \mid u \in \overline{\text{Vect} \{ \psi(x), x \in \mathcal{X} \}} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{X}}$.

We can endow \mathcal{H} with the following inner product $\langle f_u, f_v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = \langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ which does not depend of the choice of u and v . Indeed, we can take u' such that $f_u = f_{u'}$ and then $u - u' \perp \overline{\text{Vect} \{ \psi(x), x \in \mathcal{X} \}}$ which implies that $\langle f_u, f_v \rangle = \langle f_{u'}, f_v \rangle$. With this inner product, \mathcal{H} is an Hilbert space.

We have for $f_u \in \mathcal{H}$, $\langle K_x, f_u \rangle = \langle \psi(x), u \rangle = f_u(x)$. Hence, according to Aronszajn's theorem, \mathcal{H} is the reproducing Hilbert Space corresponding to K .

3. \implies The case $f = 0$ is obvious. Let's assume that $f \neq 0$. We write $K'(x, x') = K(x, x') - \lambda f(x) f(x')$. By Cauchy-Schwarz's inequality, we have $f(x)^2 \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 K(x, x)$ so that we can think about taking $\lambda = 1/\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 > 0$. Now, we can show that it indeed works. Let

$a_{1:n} \in \mathbb{R}^n, x_{1:n} \in \mathcal{X}^n$. We have :

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j f(x_i) f(x_j) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i f(x_i) \right)^2 \\
&= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \langle K_{x_i}, f \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \right)^2 \\
&= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_{x_i}, f \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad \text{by bilinearity of the inner product} \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i K_{x_i} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \\
&= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j K(x_i, x_j) \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
\end{aligned}$$

We've shown that $K'(x, x') = K(x, x') - f(x)f(x')/\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ is indeed a positive definite kernel.

\Leftarrow Let's assume that $K_1(x, x') = K(x, x') - \lambda f(x)f(x')$ is p.d.

We can decompose K into $K(x, x') = (K(x, x') - \lambda f(x)f(x')) + \lambda f(x)f(x')$. According to question 2, using that (\mathbb{R}, \times) is an Hilbert space, $K_2(x, x') = f(x)f(x') = f(x) \times f(x')$ is positive definite kernel. Then, f belong to the RKHS of K_2 because $f(x) = 1 \times f(x)$ and $f(x) = 0 + f(x)$ belongs to the RKHS of K according to the question 1.