

# Making sense of Phase-Type and Matrix-Exponential Distributions

Simon Savine

September 2024

## 1 Matrix exponential

Given a square matrix  $M$  and a smooth function  $f$  (a real function of one real variable), what sense can we give to the expression  $f(M)$ ? In other terms, what does it mean to apply a function to a matrix? One natural sense would be to apply  $f$  coefficient-wise to all the entries of  $M$ . This is implemented, for example, in NumPy, Python's de-facto math library: the expression `np.exp(M)` returns a matrix of the same shape, whose coefficients are the exponentials of the coefficients of  $M$ .

This is not (at least not exactly) how mathematicians define  $f(M)$ , although, as we will see, this is not unrelated.

Denote  $M = (m_{ij})$  and consider the expression  $M^2$ : it could mean  $(m_{ij}^2)$ , as in NumPy's `M**2`, or it could mean the matrix product  $MM$  (or `M @ M` in NumPy lingo). Mathematicians chose the second definition. Why? Because if  $M$  represents some linear map  $g$  in a given basis, then  $M^2 = MM$  represents the *composition*  $g \cdot g$  (apply  $g$  and apply  $g$  again to the result) in the same basis. Hence, this definition allows to consistently reason about matrices or linear maps.

More generally, we define the expression  $M^n$  to mean  $M^n = MM \dots M$  (multiply  $n$  times by itself in the sense of a matrix product).

Now, we can easily extend to arbitrary smooth functions, because these are combinations of power functions, by Taylor expansion:

$$f(x) = \sum_i c_i(f) x^i$$

We *define*:

$$f(M) = \sum_i c_i(f) M^i = \sum_i c_i(f) MM \dots M \text{ (i times)}$$

In particular, with  $f = \exp$  (and recalling the Taylor expansion of  $\exp(x) = \sum_i x^i / i!$ ) we have:

$$\exp(M) = \sum_i c_i(\exp) M^i = \sum_i M^i / i!$$

How is this useful? Matrix functions  $f(M)$  defined in this manner share many properties with their "vanilla" counterparts  $f(x)$ , in particular in terms of differentiation, integration, etc. For example, we are going to derive the intuitive, and very useful result:

$$\frac{\partial \exp(Mx)}{\partial x} = M \exp(Mx) = \exp(Mx) M$$

To better understand why  $f(M)$  extends  $f(x)$  to multiple dimensions in such a natural way, consider the special case of a diagonalisable matrix  $M$ . Then, it exists an orthonormal matrix  $P$  (whose columns are eigenvectors of  $M$ ) and a diagonal matrix  $D$  (whose entries are corresponding eigenvalues) such that  $M = PDP^T$ .

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} M^n &= MM\dots M = PDP^T PDP^T \dots PDP^T \\ &= PD(P^T P)D(P^T P)\dots(P^T P)DP^T = PD I_n D I_n \dots I_n DP^T = PD^n P^T \end{aligned}$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix and  $P^T P = P P^T = I_n$  since  $P^T = P^{-1}$  by definition of an orthonormal matrix.

Now, pause for a minute to appreciate that for *diagonal* matrices, the matrix product and the coefficient-wise product coincide:  $D^n = (D^n)_{ij}$ .

It immediately follows that:

$$f(M) = \sum_i c_i(f) M^i = \sum_i c_i(f) M^i = P \sum_i c_i(f) D^i P^T = P f(D) P^T = P[f(d_{ij})] P^T$$

$f(M)$  is the matrix defined by the eigenvectors of  $M$ , with eigenvalues obtained by application of  $f$  to the eigenvalues of  $M$ .

In particular,

$$\exp(M) = P \exp(D) P^T$$

Now, let us derive the differential of  $\exp(Mx)$  (here ' means derivative wrt  $x$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(Mx)' &= P \exp(Dx)' P^T = P \exp(Dx) D P^T = P \exp(Dx) I_n D P^T \\ &= P \exp(Dx) P^T P D P^T = [P \exp(Dx) P^T] [P D P^T] = \exp(Mx) M \end{aligned}$$

where we also note that, since diagonal matrices commute,  $\exp(Mx)' \exp(Mx) M = M \exp(Mx)$ .  $M$  commutes with  $\exp(M)$ .

This result, and similar ones, carry over in the general case where  $M$  may not be diagonalisable, with proof left as an exercise.

## 2 Application to probability and stochastic processes

Consider now some process that finds itself in one of  $n$  different states  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  at every time  $t$ , with probabilities given by a vector  $P_t$ . So  $P_t[1]$  is the probability of being in state  $S_1$  at time  $t$ ,  $P_t[2]$  is probability of being in state  $S_2$ , etc, and  $P_t[n]$  is the probability of being in state  $S_n$ .  $P_0$ , the distribution of the initial state at time 0, is given.

The state transition matrix  $R$  contains the probabilities to jump from state  $S_j$  to state  $S_i$  between times  $t$  and  $t + dt$ . Its row  $i$  contains the probabilities of jumping into state  $S_i$  from states  $S_1$  to  $S_n$ . Its column  $S_j$  contains the probabilities of jumping from state  $S_j$  into states  $S_1$  to  $S_n$  and sums to 1. Its diagonal contains the probabilities of staying in place. The probabilities of jumping to a different state scale with  $dt$ , so  $R$  is the form (here  $n = 3$ ):

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 - (r_{21} + r_{31})dt & r_{12}dt & r_{13}dt \\ r_{21}dt & 1 - (r_{12} + r_{32})dt & r_{23}dt \\ r_{31}dt & r_{32}dt & 1 - (r_{13} + r_{23})dt \end{pmatrix} \\
&= I_n + \begin{pmatrix} -(r_{21} + r_{31})dt & r_{12}dt & r_{13}dt \\ r_{21}dt & -(r_{12} + r_{32})dt & r_{23}dt \\ r_{31}dt & r_{32}dt & -(r_{13} + r_{23})dt \end{pmatrix} = I_n + Qdt
\end{aligned}$$

where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix in dimension  $n$  (here, 3) and:

$$Q = (R - I_n)/dt = \begin{pmatrix} -r_{21} - r_{31} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & -r_{12} - r_{32} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & -r_{13} - r_{23} \end{pmatrix}$$

and has columns summing to 0.

Now, if  $P_t$  is the vector of state probabilities at  $t$ , what is  $P_{t+dt}$ ? Well, the probability of being in state  $S_j$  at  $t + dt$  is the sum over all states  $S_i$  of probabilities of being in state  $S_i$  at time  $t$  and (hence, times the probability of) jumping from  $S_i$  to  $S_j$  between  $t$  and  $t + dt$ . In other terms:

$$P_{t+dt} = RP_t = (I_n + Qdt)P_t = P_t + QP_tdt$$

In other terms:

$$dP_t = P_{t+dt} - P_t = QP_tdt$$

$$\frac{dP_t}{dt} = QP_t$$

If these were numbers ( $n = 1$ ), this would read as a textbook differential equation  $f' = qf$ , with well-known solution  $f(t) = \exp(qt)f(0)$ . The same applies to matrices:

$$P_t = \exp(Qt)P_0$$

where  $Qt$  is the matrix  $Q$  scaled by time  $t$ , and  $\exp(Qt)$  is its matrix exponential.

Voila. State probabilities are computed with matrix exponentials.

Last bit of logic is to consider state  $S_n$  as absorbing. This simply means that there is no escape from it. This means that the last column of the transition matrix  $R$  is  $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)^T$ :  $r_{in} = 0$  for  $i < n$  and  $r_{nn} = 1$ . If you are in state  $S_n$ , you stay in state  $n$  with probability 1.

Now what is the probability distribution of  $\tau$ , the first time you hit the absorbed state?

First, notice this:

$$Pr(\tau \leq t) = P_t[n]$$

You have been absorbed before  $t$  if and only if you are in the absorbed state at  $t$ .

Finally:  $P_t[n] = (0, 0, \dots, 0, 1)P_t = \alpha P_t$  where  $\alpha$  is the row vector of all zeroes except its last entry 1.

Putting it all together:

$$Pr(\tau \leq t) = \alpha P_t = \alpha \exp(Qt)P_0$$

And we can easily compute its density:

$$\text{dens}(\tau = t) = \frac{\partial \text{Pr}(\tau \leq t)}{\partial t} = \alpha \exp(Qt) Q P_0 = \alpha \exp(Qt) Q_0$$

where  $Q_0 = Q P_0$ . This distribution is called "phase-type" distribution, we can easily compute its mean, variance, etc. (left as exercise).

Exercise: prove that density integrates to 1.

Now what are "matrix-exponential" distributions? Note that in the definition above, there are constraints on the parameters:  $P_0$  must be a vector of probabilities, that is, non-negative entries summing to 1. And  $R$  must be a transition matrix, with non-negative entries and columns summing to 1.

Suppose that we decide to release those constraints, and simply reuse the definition of the distribution  $\text{dens}(\tau = t) = \alpha \exp(Qt) Q_0$ . Then it is no longer called a "phase-type" distribution but a "matrix-exponential" distribution. It loses the physical interpretation of the absorption time distribution of a state transition process, and it may well not be a probability distribution at all (we may end-up with negative densities and/or densities not integrating to 1), but those things have interesting mathematical and computational properties, and are being researched presently for this reason. But this is a story for another day.