# Greatest Common Divisors

3 Gallon Jug

5 Gallon Jug

Multiples and Divisors

b is a *divisor* of *a* iff there is an integer **k** such that a=kb. Denoted as *b|a* or *b divides a* or *a is divided by b*

a is a *multiple* of b iff there is an integer **k** such that a=kb

b is a *divisor* of *a* iff *a* is a *multiple* of b

Say a=5, b=10, then *a|b*.

Say a=0, b=30, then *b|a*

Say a=-3, b=11, then a does not divide b, denoted as *a∤b*.

Common Divisors

c is a *common divisor* of a and b means $c|a$ and $c|b$.

$\gcd(a,b) ::=$ the **greatest common divisor** of a and b.

Say $a=8$, $b=10$, then $1,2$ are common divisors, and $\gcd(8,10)=2$.

Say $a=10$, $b=30$, then $1,2,5,10$ are common divisors, and $\gcd(10,30)=10$.

Say $a=3$, $b=11$, then the only common divisor is 1, and $\gcd(3,11)=1$.

Claim. If p is prime, and p does not divide a, then $\gcd(p,a) = 1$.

The Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For b > 0 and any a, there are *unique* integers

q ::= quotient(a,b),   r ::= remainder(a,b), such that

$$a = qb + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le r < b.$$

We also say   **q = a div b**   and   **r = a mod b**.

When b=2, there is a unique q such that
a=2q or a=2q+1.

$$q = \lfloor \frac{a}{2} \rfloor$$

When b=3, there is a unique q such that
a=3q or a=3q+1 or a=3q+2.

$$q = \lfloor \frac{a}{3} \rfloor$$

Floor function $\lfloor x \rfloor$: the greatest integer that is ≤ x

The Quotient-Remainder Theorem

For $b > 0$ and any a, there are *unique* integers

$q ::= $ quotient(a,b), $r ::= $ remainder(a,b), such that

$$a = qb + r \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \le r < b.$$

Given any b, we can partition the integers into blocks of b numbers.

For any a, there is a unique "position" for this number.

$q = $ the block which a lies in $r = $ the offset in this block

-b   0   b   2b   kb   (k+1)b
                                                        a

Clearly, given a and b, the numbers q and r are uniquely determined.

Greatest Common Divisors

Given a and b, how to compute gcd(a,b)?

Maybe try every number? Not easy for large numbers...
Do we have a better way to do it?

Let's say a ≥ b > 0.

1. If a=kb, then gcd(a,b)=b, and we are done.

2. Otherwise, by the Division Theorem, a = qb + r where r>0.

Greatest Common Divisors

Let's say a ≥ b.

1. If a=kb, then gcd(a,b)=b, and we are done.

2. Otherwise, by the Division Theorem, a = qb + r where r>0.

a=12, b=8 => 12 = 8 + 4   gcd(12,8) = 4   gcd(8,4) = 4

a=21, b=9 => 21 = 2x9 + 3   gcd(21,9) = 3   gcd(9,3) = 3

a=99, b=27 => 99 = 3x27 + 18   gcd(99,27) = 9   gcd(27,18) = 9

Euclid: gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r)!

Euclid's GCD Algorithm

a = qb + r

Euclid: gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r)!

Assumption: a > b ≥ 0.

gcd(a,b)

if b = 0, then answer = a.

else

  write a = qb + r ← $q = \lfloor \frac{a}{b} \rfloor$ $r = a - qb$

  answer = gcd(b,r)

Example 1

```
gcd(a,b)
if b = 0, then answer = a.
else
  write a = qb + r
  answer = gcd(b,r)
```

GCD(102, 70)   102 = 70 + 32

= GCD(70, 32)   70 = 2x32 + 6

= GCD(32, 6)   32 = 5x6 + 2

= GCD(6, 2)   6 = 3x2 + 0

= GCD(2, 0)

Return value: 2.

Example 2

```
gcd(a,b)
if b = 0, then answer = a.
else
  write a = qb + r
  answer = gcd(b,r)
```

GCD(252, 189)   252 = 1x189 + 63

= GCD(189, 63)   189 = 3x63 + 0

= GCD(63, 0)

Return value: 63.

Example 3

```
gcd(a,b)
if b = 0, then answer = a.
else
  write a = qb + r
  answer = gcd(b,r)
```

GCD(662, 414)   662 = 1x414 + 248

= GCD(414, 248)   414 = 1x248 + 166

= GCD(248, 166)   248 = 1x166 + 82

= GCD(166, 82)   166 = 2x82 + 2

= GCD(82, 2)   82 = 41x2 + 0

= GCD(2, 0)

Return value: 2.

Correctness of Euclid's GCD Algorithm

$$a = qb + r$$

Euclid: $gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r)$

When r = 0:

Then $a = qb$, so $gcd(a, b) = b$;

   $r = 0$, so $gcd(b, r) = gcd(b, 0) = b$.

Therefore, $gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r)$.

Correctness of Euclid's GCD Algorithm

| a = qb + r | Euclid: gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r) |

When r > 0:

Let d be a common divisor of b, r

$\Rightarrow$ b = k_1d and r = k_2d for some k_1, k_2.

$\Rightarrow$ a = qb + r = qk_1d + k_2d = (qk_1 + k_2)d   => d is a common divisor of a, b

Let d be a common divisor of a, b

$\Rightarrow$ a = k_3d and b = k_1d for some k_1, k_3.

$\Rightarrow$ r = a – qb = k_3d – qk_1d = (k_3 – qk_1)d   => d is a common divisor of b, r

So, {common factors of a, b} = {common factors of b, r}

$\Rightarrow$ gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Is Euclid's GCD Algorithm fast?

Naive algorithm: try every number.

Assumption: a > b ≥ 0.

gcd(a,b)

Let d=1

1. If d|a and d|b, then store d.

2. Let d=d+1

3. If d ≤ b, return to 1.

   else the answer = maximum of all stored "d"s

So the running time is about b iterations.

Is Euclid's GCD Algorithm fast?

Euclid's algorithm:

In two iterations, a, b are decreased by half. (why?)

a = bq + r ≥ b + r > 2r

=> gcd(a,b) = gcd(b,r) where r < a/2

Similarly, if b = rq' + r', then

gcd(b,r) = gcd(r,r') where r' < b/2

Suppose the algorithm stops in 2k iterations.

Then $2^d \geq 2^{k-1}$. (Suppose $2^{d+1} \geq b > 2^d$)

So the running time is about $2\log_2 b$ iterations.

Exponentially faster!!

Linear Combination vs Common Divisor

Greatest common divisor

d is a common divisor of a and b if d|a and d|b

gcd(a,b) = greatest common divisor of a and b

Smallest positive integer linear combination

d is an **integer linear combination** of a and b if d=sa+tb for integers s,t.

spc(a,b) = **smallest** **positive** integer linear **combination** of a and b

Theorem.   gcd(a,b) = spc(a,b)

Linear Combination vs Common Divisor

Theorem.   gcd(a,b) = spc(a,b)

For example, the greatest common divisor of 52 and 44 is 4.
And 4 is an integer linear combination of 52 and 44:

$$6 \cdot 52 + (-7) \cdot 44 = 4$$

Furthermore, no integer linear combination of 52 and 44 is
equal to a smaller positive integer.

To prove the theorem, we will prove:

gcd(a,b) ≤ spc(a,b)   gcd(a,b) | spc(a,b)

gcd(a,b) ≥ spc(a,b)   spc(a,b) divides a and b

GCD ≤ SPC

Claim. If $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$, then $d \mid sa + tb$ for any s, t.

Proof.

$d \mid a \Rightarrow a = dk_1$

$d \mid b \Rightarrow b = dk_2$

$sa + tb = sdk_1 + tdk_2 = d(sk_1 + tk_2)$

$\Rightarrow d \mid (sa + tb)$

GCD | SPC

Let $d = \gcd(a,b)$. By definition, $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.

Let $f = \text{spc}(a,b) = sa + tb$

According to the claim, $d \mid f$. So $\gcd(a,b) \leq \text{spc}(a,b)$.

GCD ≥ SPC

We will prove that spc(a,b) is actually a common divisor of a and b.

First, show that spc(a,b) | a.

1. By the Division Theorem (since a ≥ spc(a,b)),

    $$a = q \times spc(a,b) + r \qquad \text{and} \qquad spc(a,b) > r \geq 0$$

2. Let spc(a,b) = sa + tb.

3. Then r = a − q × spc(a,b) = a − q × (sa + tb) = (1-qs)a + qtb.

4. So r is an integer linear combination of a and b with spc(a,b) > r.

5. This is only possible when r = 0.

Similarly, spc(a,b) | b.

Thus, spc(a,b) divides both a and b, which follows spc(a,b) ≤ gcd(a,b).

Application of the Theorem

Theorem. gcd(a,b) = spc(a,b)

Lemma. If gcd(a,b)=1 and gcd(a,c)=1, then gcd(a,bc)=1.

By the **Theorem,** there exist s,t,u,v such that

$$sa + tb = 1$$
$$ua + vc = 1$$

So (sa + tb)(ua + vc) = 1

Expanding LHS gives

$$saua + savc + tbua + tbvc = 1$$

$\Rightarrow$ (sau + svc + tbu)a + (tv)bc = 1

This implies spc(a,bc)=1. By **Theorem**, we have gcd(a,bc)=1.

Prime Divisibility

Theorem. gcd(a,b) = spc(a,b)

Lemma. p prime and p|ab implies p|a or p|b.

proof. W.l.o.g, assume p does not divide a. Then gcd(p,a)=1.

So by **Theorem**, there exist s and t such that

$$sa + tp = 1$$

$$\underbrace{(sa)b}_{p|ab} + \underbrace{(tp)b}_{p|p} = b$$

Hence p|b

Corollary. If p is prime, and $p|\, a_1 \cdot a_2 \cdots a_m$ then $p|a_i$ for some i.

Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic

Every integer $n>1$ has a *unique* factorization into primes:

$$p_0 \leq p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_k$$

$$n = p_0 \, p_1 \cdots p_k$$

Example:

$61394323221 = 3{\cdot}3{\cdot}3{\cdot}7{\cdot}11{\cdot}11{\cdot}37{\cdot}37{\cdot}37{\cdot}53$

Unique Factorization

Theorem. There is a unique factorization.

Proof. Suppose there is a number with two different factorizations.

By Well Ordering Principle, we choose the smallest such $n > 1$:

$$n = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdots p_k = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_m$$

Since n is smallest, we must have that $p_i \neq q_j$ all i,j

(Otherwise, we can obtain a smaller counterexample.)

Since $p_1 | n = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdots q_m$, so by Corollary $p_1 | q_i$ for some i.

Since both p_1, q_i are prime numbers, we must have $p_1 = q_i$.

contradiction!

Extended GCD Algorithm

How can we write gcd(a,b) as an integer linear combination?

This can be done by extending the Euclidean algorithm.

Example: a = 259, b=70

$259 = 3 \cdot 70 + 49$ $49 = a - 3b$

$70 = 1 \cdot 49 + 21$ $21 = 70 - 49$

                                  $21 = b - (a-3b) = -a+4b$

$49 = 2 \cdot 21 + 7$ $7 = 49 - 2 \cdot 21$

                                  $7 = (a-3b) - 2(-a+4b) = \underline{3a - 11b}$

$21 = 7 \cdot 3 + 0$ done, gcd = 7

Extended GCD Algorithm

Example: a = 899, b = 493

$899 = 1 \cdot 493 + 406$ so $406 = a - b$

$493 = 1 \cdot 406 + 87$ so $87 = 493 - 406$

$$= b - (a-b) = -a + 2b$$

$406 = 4 \cdot 87 + 58$ so $58 = 406 - 4 \cdot 87$

$$= (a-b) - 4(-a+2b) = 5a - 9b$$

$87 = 1 \cdot 58 + 29$ so $29 = 87 - 1 \cdot 58$

$$= (-a+2b) - (5a-9b) = \underline{-6a + 11b}$$

$58 = 2 \cdot 29 + 0$ done, gcd = 29

Die Hard

Simon says: On the fountain, there are 2 jugs, one is 5-gallon and the other is 3-gallon. Fill one with exactly 4 gallons of water and place it on the scale then the timer will stop. You must be precise; one ounce more or less will result in detonation. If you're still alive in 5 minutes, we'll speak.

Die Hard

Bruce: Wait, wait a second. I don't get it. Do you get it?

Samuel: No.

Bruce: Get the jugs. Obviously, we can't fill the 3-gallon jug with 4 gallons of water.

Samuel: Obviously.

Bruce: All right. I know, here we go. We fill the 3-gallon jug exactly to the top, right?

Samuel: Uh-huh.

Bruce: Okay, now we pour this 3 gallons into the 5-gallon jug, giving us exactly 3 gallons in the 5-gallon jug, right?

Samuel: Right, then what?

Bruce: All right. We take the 3-gallon jug and fill it a third of the way...

Samuel: No! He said, "Be precise." Exactly 4 gallons.

Bruce: Sh - -. Every cop within 50 miles is running his a** off and I'm out here playing kids games in the park.

Samuel: Hey, you want to focus on the problem at hand?

Die Hard

Start with empty jugs: (0,0)
Fill the big jug: (0,5)

3-Gallon Jug   5-Gallon Jug

Die Hard

Pour from big to little: (3,2)

3-Gallon Jug   5-Gallon Jug

Empty the little: (0,2)

3-Gallon Jug   5-Gallon Jug

Die Hard

Pour from big to little: (2,0)

3-Gallon Jug   5-Gallon Jug

# Die Hard

Fill the big jug: (2,5)

3-Gallon Jug

5-Gallon Jug

Die Hard

Pour from big to little: (3,4)


3-Gallon Jug   5-Gallon Jug

Done!!

Die Hard

What if you have a 9 gallon jug instead?


3 Gallon Jug   5 Gallon Jug   9 Gallon Jug

Can you do it?   Can you prove it?

Die Hard

Supplies:

Water

3-Gallon Jug

9-Gallon Jug

Invariant Method

Invariant: the number of gallons in each jug is a multiple of 3. i.e., 3|L and 3|B (3 divides both L and B)

Corollary. It is impossible to have exactly 4 gallons in one jug.

Bruce Dies!

Generalized Die Hard

Can Bruce form 3 gallons using 21 and 26-gallon jugs?

This question is not so easy to answer without number theory.

General Solution for Die Hard

Invariant in Die Hard Transition:

Suppose that we have water jugs with capacities B and L.

Then the amount of water in each jug is always an integer

linear combination of B and L.

Lemma. gcd(a, b) divides any integer linear combination of a and b.

Let d = gcd(a,b). Then

$$d|a \quad \text{and} \quad d|b$$

So d|ax+by.

Corollary. The amount of water in each jug is a multiple of gcd(a,b).

General Solution for Die Hard

Corollary. The amount of water in each jug is a multiple of gcd(a,b).

Given jug of 3 and jug of 9, is it possible to have exactly 4 gallons in one jug?

NO, because gcd(3,9)=3, and 4 is not a multiple of 3.

Given jug of 21 and jug of 26, is it possible to have exactly 3 gallons one jug?

gcd(21,26)=1, and 3 is a multiple of 1,
so this means possible??

Theorem. Given water jugs of capacity a and b with a ≤ b,
it is possible to have exactly k (≤ b) gallons in one jug
if and only if k is a multiple of gcd(a,b).

General Solution for Die Hard

Theorem. Given water jugs of capacity a and b with a ≤ b, it is possible to have exactly k (≤ b) gallons in one jug if and only if k is a multiple of gcd(a,b).

Given jug of 21 and jug of 26, is it possible to have exactly 3 gallons in one jug?

$$\gcd(21,26) = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow 5 \times 21 - 4 \times 26 = 1$$
$$\Rightarrow 15 \times 21 - 12 \times 26 = 3$$

Repeat 15 times:

1. Fill the 21-gallon jug.

2. Pour all the water in the 21-gallon jug into the 26-gallon jug.

   Whenever the 26-gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

General Solution for Die Hard

$$15 \times 21 - 12 \times 26 = 3$$

Repeat 15 times:

1. Fill the 21-gallon jug.

2. Pour all the water in the 21-gallon jug into the 26-gallon jug.

   Whenever the 26-gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

Claim. There must be exactly 3 gallons left after this process.

1. Totally we have filled 15x21 gallons.

2. We pour out t multiple of 26 gallons.

3. The 26 gallon jug can only hold the volume between 0 and 26.

4. So t must be 12.

5. And there is exactly 3 gallons left.

General Solution for Die Hard

Given two jugs with capacity A and B with A ≤ B, the target is C.

> If gcd(A,B) does not divide C, then it is impossible.

Otherwise, compute C = sA + tB. (*We can always make s > 0.*)

Repeat s times:

1. Fill the A-gallon jug.

2. Pour all the water in the A-gallon jug into the B-gallon jug.

   Whenever the B-gallon jug becomes full, empty it out.

The B-gallon jug will be emptied exactly t times.

After that, there will be exactly C gallons in the B-gallon jug.