

Differential Geometry Notes

Simon Xiang

January 27, 2021

Notes for the Spring 2021 section of Differential Geometry (Math 365G) at UT Austin, taught by Dr. Bowen.
Source files: https://git.simonxiang.xyz/math_notes/files.html

Contents

1	January 22, 2021	2
	1.1 Reparametrization	2
2	January 25, 2021	2
	2.1 Closed curves	2
3	January 27, 2021	3
	3.1 Curvature	3

January 22, 2021

Brief review on dot products. Let $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Then define a new function $f(t) = \gamma(t) \cdot \lambda(t)$. Precisely, if $\gamma(t) = (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t), \dots, \gamma_n(t))$, and $\lambda(t)$ is similarly defined, then

$$f(t) = \gamma_1(t)\lambda_1(t) + \gamma_2(t)\lambda_2(t) + \dots + \gamma_n(t)\lambda_n(t), \quad f'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\gamma'_i(t) + \lambda_i(t) + \gamma_i(t)\lambda'_i(t)) = \lambda'(t) \cdot \lambda(t) + \gamma(t) \cdot \lambda'(t).$$

So $\frac{d(\gamma \cdot \lambda)}{dt} = \frac{d\gamma}{dt} \cdot \lambda + \gamma \cdot \frac{d\lambda}{dt}$.

Proposition 1.1. Suppose $\|\gamma(t)\|$ is a constant, then $\gamma(t) \perp \gamma'(t)$.

Proof. We want to show that $\gamma(t) \cdot \gamma'(t) = 0$. We have **FINISH THIS** ☒

Example 1.1. Let $\gamma(t) = (t, \sqrt{1-t^2})$. We have $\|\gamma\| = 1$ at all times, since $\gamma'(t) = \left(1, -\frac{t}{\sqrt{1-t^2}}\right)$, which is orthogonal. Neat visualization!

1.1 Reparametrization

This is in the book notes. In the proof that curves are regular iff they have a unit speed parametrization, one direction is easy.

January 25, 2021

2.1 Closed curves

Definition 2.1. We say $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is **T-periodic** (where $T > 0$) if $\gamma(T+t) = \gamma(t)$. We say γ is **closed** if it is T -period for some T .

A natural question to ask is whether or not we can parametrize level curves? You know what a gradient is.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $\nabla f(x, y) \neq \vec{0}$ for all (x, y) with $f(x, y) = 0$. Then for all (x_0, y_0) with $f(x_0, y_0) = 0$, there exists a regular $\gamma: (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\alpha < 0 < \beta$, $\gamma(0) = (x_0, y_0)$ and $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$ for all t .

Note. The proof uses the inverse function theorem. Note that we can parametrize the entire curve under fairly broad conditions, that is, if $f^{-1}(0)$ is *connected* then we can choose γ to parametrize all of $f^{-1}(0)$.

Assume $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is smooth. A **global inverse** is a map $G: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $F \circ G(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}$. A **local inverse** at \vec{x} is a map $G: U_{\vec{x}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with $F \circ G(\vec{y}) = \vec{y}$ for all \vec{y} , where $U_{\vec{x}}$ is a neighborhood of \vec{x} . An **infinitesimal inverse** at \vec{x} is a linear map A such that $(D_{\vec{x}}F) \circ A$ is the identity, where $D_{\vec{x}}F$ is the Jacobian matrix.

The Inverse Function Theorem. If F is smooth and has an infinitesimal inverse at \vec{x} , then it has a smooth local inverse at \vec{x} .

Theorem 2.2. If $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth and $\nabla f(x, y)$ is not horizontal for all (x, y) with $f(x, y) = 0$, then there exists a regular $\gamma: (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\gamma(t) = (t, g(t))$ and $f(\gamma(t)) = 0$ (and $\gamma(0) = (x_0, y_0)$ like in the previous theorem).

Proof. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ be $F(x, y) = (x, f(x, y))$. Then

$$DF = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \det(DF) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \neq 0.$$

By the inverse function theorem, since DF is invertible, there exists a local smooth inverse G , where $F \circ G(x, y) = (x, y) = (G_1(x, y), f(G_1(x, y), G_2(x, y)))$. This implies that $G_1(x, y) = x$, $f(x, G_2(x, y)) = y$. Define $\gamma(t) = (t, G_2(t, 0))$. Since F and G are smooth, γ is regular, so

$$f(\gamma(t)) = f(t, G_2(t, 0)) = 0.$$

Something happened here. ⊠

Lecture 3

January 27, 2021

3.1 Curvature

Definition 3.1. Assume γ is a unit-speed curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Define the curvature by $\kappa(s) = \|\ddot{\gamma}(s)\| = \left\| \left(\frac{d^2}{ds^2} \gamma \right)(s) \right\|$.

Example 3.1. The circle curve $\gamma(t) = (R \cos t, R \sin t)$ is not unit speed. So $\gamma'(t) = (-R \sin t, R \cos t)$, and $\|\gamma'(t)\| = R$. The arclength $s(t) = \int_0^t R du = tR$, so $s^{-1}(t) = \frac{t}{R}$. A reparametrization is $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = (R \cos(\frac{t}{R}), R \sin(\frac{t}{R}))$.

Say $\gamma(s) = (R \cos(\frac{s}{R}), R \sin(\frac{s}{R}))$ for simplicity. Then $\dot{\gamma} = (-\sin(\frac{s}{R}), \cos(\frac{s}{R}))$, and $\ddot{\gamma} = (-\frac{1}{R} \cos(\frac{s}{R}), -\frac{1}{R} \sin(\frac{s}{R}))$. So $\|\ddot{\gamma}\| = \kappa(s) = \frac{1}{R}$.

Parametrizing by arc length is painful. So we can define (if γ is regular) $\kappa = \frac{\|\dot{\gamma} \times \ddot{\gamma}\|}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^3}$. This makes life easier, since in this definition, $\kappa(t) = \kappa(s(t))$. What is a cross product?? Let $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then $\vec{v} \times \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as well. One way to find the cross product is by computing

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ v_1 & v_2 & v_3 \\ w_1 & w_2 & w_3 \end{pmatrix} = (v_2 w_3 - v_3 w_2) \mathbf{i} + (-v_1 w_3 + v_3 w_1) \mathbf{j} + (v_1 w_2 - v_2 w_1) \mathbf{k}.$$

The cross product is **bilinear**, that is, $(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{w}$, and satisfies homogeneity, and antisymmetric like the determinant. Also, $\|\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{w}\| = \|\mathbf{v}\| \|\mathbf{w}\| \sin \theta$, and finally we have the right hand rule.

We can simplify our old formula to $\frac{\|\dot{\gamma}\| \sin \theta}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2}$.

Proof of the formula for curvature. Let $s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \|\gamma'(u)\| du$ be the arc length of a curve, and $\tilde{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(s^{-1}(t))$. So $\tilde{\gamma}(s(t)) = \gamma(t)$. Then

$$\tilde{\gamma}'(s(t)) s'(t) = \gamma'(t) \implies \tilde{\gamma}'(s(t)) = \frac{\gamma'(t)}{s'(t)}.$$

Then $\tilde{\gamma}''(s(t)) s'(t)^2 + \tilde{\gamma}'(s(t)) s''(t) = \gamma''(t)$ by the chain rule. So

$$\kappa(t) = \tilde{\gamma}''(s(t)) = \frac{\gamma''(t) - \tilde{\gamma}'(s(t)) s''(t)}{s'(t)^2} = \frac{\gamma''(t) - \frac{\gamma'(t)}{s'(t)} \cdot s''(t)}{s'(t)^2}.$$

Recall that $s(t) = \int_{t_0}^t \|\dot{\gamma}(u)\| du$, so $s'(t) = \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|$. We use inner products, now $s'(t)^2 = \|\dot{\gamma}(t)\|^2 = \langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle$. So differentiating gives $2s'(t)s''(t) = 2\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle$. Then $s''(t) = \frac{\langle \dot{\gamma}(t), \ddot{\gamma}(t) \rangle}{s'(t)}$. Plugging everything gives

$$\kappa(t) = \left\| \frac{\ddot{\gamma} - \frac{\dot{\gamma} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2} \dot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|} \right\| = \left\| \left(\frac{\ddot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|} - \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|} \frac{\dot{\gamma} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2} \right) \right\| \cdot \frac{\|\dot{\gamma}\|}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2} = \left\| \frac{\ddot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|} - \frac{\dot{\gamma}}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|} \cos \theta \right\| \cdot \frac{\|\dot{\gamma}\|}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2} = \sin \theta \cdot \frac{\|\ddot{\gamma}\|}{\|\dot{\gamma}\|^2}.$$

⊠