

# Abstract Algebra Lecture Notes

Simon Xiang

Lecture notes for the Fall 2020 graduate section of Abstract Algebra (Math 380C) at UT Austin, taught by Dr. Ciperiani. I'm currently auditing this course due to the fact that I'm not officially enrolled in it. These notes were taken live in class (and so they may contain many errors). You can view the source code here: [https://git.simonxiang.xyz/math\\_notes/file/freshman\\_year/abstract\\_algebra/master\\_notes.tex.html](https://git.simonxiang.xyz/math_notes/file/freshman_year/abstract_algebra/master_notes.tex.html).

## Contents

1	September 23, 2020	2
1.1	Group Automorphisms	2
1.2	Inner automorphisms of $S_n$	2

## §1 September 23, 2020

Last time: we finished a corollary that a group is never a union of conjugates of a subgroup. It is essential that  $G$  is finite. For example,  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a union of conjugate subgroups<sup>1</sup>.

### §1.1 Group Automorphisms

Today we'll talk about automorphisms of a group. We'll notate this as

$$\text{Aut}(G) = \text{the group of automorphisms } G \rightarrow G,$$

the operation is clearly composition. We can think of this as a subgroup of  $S_G$ , but in general, we won't have equality here. For any normal subgroup  $H \trianglelefteq G$ , we have a map  $\varphi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut } H$ , where  $g \mapsto (h \mapsto ghg^{-1})$ . It's easy to see that  $\varphi$  is a group homomorphism.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Then the normalizer of  $H$  in  $G$  quotient the centralizer of  $H$  in  $G$ , denoted  $N_G(H)/C_G(H)$ , is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group of  $H$  denoted  $\text{Aut } H$ . In particular,  $G/Z(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut } G$ . There won't be a proof for this, but just find a map from the normalizer to  $\text{Aut } H$ , and look at the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then it will follow from the FHT.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $G$  be a group. The image of  $G/Z(G)$  in  $\text{Aut } G$  is the group of inner automorphisms of  $G$ , denoted  $\text{Inn}(G)$ . The inner automorphisms of  $G$  can be given by

$$\text{Inn } G = \{[G \rightarrow G \mid g \mapsto g_0 g_0^{-1}] \mid g_0 \in G\}.$$

Here's something that make sense when you think about it: a group  $G$  is abelian iff  $\text{Inn } G = \{\text{id}_G\}$ . So inner automorphisms tell you nothing about an abelian group.

**Example 1.1.** What is  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ ?  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is abelian which implies  $\text{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \{\text{id}\}$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  be an isomorphism. The thing about cyclic groups is that if we know where something sends a generator, then we are done. Let's say  $n = 6$  and  $1 \mapsto 2$ : is this possible? Since these are automorphisms, we have to send generators to generators, so no. So  $\varphi_a(\bar{k}) = \overline{ak}$ . So  $\varphi_a$  is uniquely determined by  $a = \varphi[1 + n\mathbb{Z}]$ .  $\varphi_a$  is surjective implies that  $a$  is a generator of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , which is equivalent to the fact that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ <sup>2</sup>. Recall that  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  is a ring, so  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ , the group of units. Hence

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*,$$

and  $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) < \text{Inn}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = \{\text{id}\}$ .

### §1.2 Inner automorphisms of $S_n$

**Example 1.2.** We have our other extreme: in the symmetric group on  $n$  letters (it's leaking!), we have

$$\text{Aut}(S_n) = \text{Inn}(S_n) \cong S_n$$

for all  $n \neq 6$ . Observe that  $\text{Inn}(S_n) < \text{Aut } S_n$ , and that  $\text{Inn}(S_n) \cong S_n/Z(S_n)$ . What's the center of  $S_n$ ? Since every element of  $S_n$  can be written as a product of disjoint cycles. If we understand what conjugation does to cycles, we understand what conjugation does to an element of  $S_n$ . Let  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ , where  $\sigma: i \rightarrow \sigma(i)$ <sup>3</sup>. Then  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}: \tau(i) \rightarrow \tau\sigma(i) \rightarrow \tau(\sigma(i))$ . So if  $\sigma = (a_1, \dots, a_{k_1})(b_1, \dots, b_{k_2}) \dots$  a product of disjoint cycles, then

$$\tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = (\tau(a_1)\tau(a_2) \dots (\tau(a_{k_1})))(\tau(b_1)\tau(b_2) \dots (\tau(b_{k_2})) \dots$$

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $n > 2$ , then  $Z(S_n) = \text{id}$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** For every  $\sigma, \tau \in S_n$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$  have the same<sup>4</sup> cycle composition into disjoint cycles.

**Proposition 1.2.** Two elements of  $S_n$  are conjugate in  $S_n$  if and only if they have the same cycle decomposition.

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) follows from our lemma.

( $\impliedby$ ) Let  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S_n$  with the same cycle decomposition. Write  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are a product of disjoint cycles by ordering the cycles in increasing length including all 1-cycles. Let  $\tau$  be the  $i$ th element of the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma_1$ , which must map to the  $i$ th element of the cycle decomposition of  $\sigma_2$ . Together, these give the fact that  $\tau \in S_n$ : all the elements appear (including 1-cycles) and no elements repeat because these are disjoint cycles. Notice that  $\tau \in S_n$  and  $\sigma_2 = \tau\sigma_1\tau^{-1}$ , then this finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.1.** The number of conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  is equal to the number of partitions of  $n$ .

<sup>1</sup>The subgroups are the upper triangular matrices.

<sup>2</sup>Finally, I understand when she tells me something is obvious that it is indeed, obvious.

<sup>3</sup>Very informal abuse of notation here, think of it intuitively.

<sup>4</sup>By "same", we mean they have the same length.

Eg, you can break up  $n = 3$  as  $n = 1 + 1 + 1, 1 + 2, 3$ . So  $S_3$  has three conjugacy classes. Observe that this proposition implies that  $\text{Aut } S_n = \text{Inn } S_n$  iff every automorphism  $\varphi: S_n \rightarrow S_n$  preserves the cycle decomposition, that is,  $(\sigma, \varphi(\sigma))$  have the same cycle decomposition. We start with an automorphism, and we have to show that it sends  $a$ -cycles to  $a$ -cycles for  $1 \leq a \leq n$ , and then everything follows. So we start with 2-cycles, and that's when it breaks: a 2-cycle can go to a disjoint product of 3-cycles.

Apparently today we only covered half of what Dr. Ciperiani thought we would cover. Should we go faster??  
 Hmm...