

Marking Criteria

	Application of Practical Skills	Demonstration of Knowledge	Quality of Report
Outstanding (90-100)	Flawless use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. Results are presented clearly as required. All required tasks are fully accomplished, with clear stepping beyond expectation using sophisticated solutions.	Outstanding exploration and demonstration of topic showing true in-depth knowledge and understanding. In-depth analysis of the results that covers different perspectives. Insightful understanding of the practical at theoretical level.	Outstanding writing skills. Zero typo. Decent academic language used. Nice and neat format presented. Well structured. References used properly.
Excellent (80-89)	Flawless use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. Results are presented clearly as required. All required tasks are fully accomplished.	Excellent exploration and demonstration of topic showing true in-depth knowledge and understanding. In-depth analysis of the results that covers different perspectives.	Excellent writing skills. Zero or very little typo. Decent academic language used. Nice and neat format presented. Well structured. References used properly.
Very good (70-79)	Comprehensive use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. Results are presented clearly as required. All required tasks are fully accomplished. Small errors in technique and/or application with little impact on deliverables.	Clear demonstration of topic showing true in-depth knowledge and understanding. In-depth analysis of the results that covers different perspectives.	Very good writing skills. Few typos. Decent academic language used. Nice and neat format presented. Well structured. References used properly.
Good (60-69)	Successful use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. Full results are collected. Maybe missed one or two small points.	Convincing demonstration of topic showing true in-depth knowledge and understanding. But maybe involving some inappropriate statements. Some improvements needed.	Good writing skills. Some minor adjustments needed for the language and structure. Formatting ok. Some typos. Healthy amount of references, but maybe not cited properly.
Clear pass (50-59)	Successful use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. Some adjustments needed. Partial results are collected.	Good attempt in the theory. The theoretical analysis may be wrong, or there is somehow mismatching between results and theory. Good effort in discussions, but maybe too superficial.	Relatively good attempt on the writing, but not quite presentable. Academic language needs improving. Reasonable structure. Maybe weak style and formatting. Proofreading still would help. Partial references.
Marginal fail (40-49)	Good attempt on use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain, although major errors exist. Doubtable results.	Incomplete theoretical analysis process. Major mismatch between theory and result. Result analysis too brief.	Some effort in writing. Maybe too verbose. Poor academic language. Too many typos. Poorly structured. Lack of formatting. Little or no references.
Clear fail (20-40)	Unsuccessful use of appropriate technology as applied to the problem domain. No results or completely wrong results.	Lack of contents. Academic dishonesty.	Totally unpresentable. Academic dishonesty.
Little or nothing of merit (0-19)	Little or nothing of merit	Little or nothing of merit. Academic dishonesty.	Little or nothing of merit. Academic dishonesty.