

Central limit theorem and Tail bounds

1.1 Bernoulli variables

- let $x_i = 1$ with prob = p
 $= 0 \quad , \quad " \quad " = 1-p$
- let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be i.i.d. samples of x
- $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$. Let s be the r.v. whose value lie in the interval $[0, n]$ and
 $P[S = S_n = k] = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$
- $E(x_i) = p, \text{Var}(x_i) = p(1-p)$
- $E(S) = np \quad \text{Var}(S) = np(1-p)$
- Define $Z_n = \frac{S_n - np}{\sqrt{np(1-p)}}$
- with $\mu = np, \sigma^2 = np(1-p)$: $Z_n = \frac{S_n - \mu}{\sigma}$
- clearly: $P[S_n \leq u] = P[\sigma Z_n + \mu < u]$
 $= P[Z_n \leq \frac{u - \mu}{\sigma}]$

Distribution of Z_n : set $p = 1/2$

$$Z_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n (2x_i - 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i$$

$$\eta_i = 2x_i - 1 = 1 \text{ with prob } \frac{1}{2} \\ = -1 \quad , \quad " \quad " \quad \frac{1}{2}$$

- . For any integer $k \in [0, n]$

$$P\left[Z_n \leq \frac{2k-n}{S_n}\right] = \binom{n}{k} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} = P[S_n = k]$$

- . A plot of this prob as $n \rightarrow \infty$ converges to the bell shaped Gaussian distribution: $N(0, 1)$

1.2 Central limit theorem: Let $Z \sim N(0, 1)$. For any i.i.d. x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n (not necessarily binary valued) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $Z_n \rightarrow Z$ in the sense: $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$

i. $P[Z_n \leq u] \rightarrow P[Z \leq u]$.

More specifically, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, \exists integer N : for all $n \geq N$ and for every $u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|P[Z_n \leq u] - P[Z \leq u]| < \varepsilon$$

. Our goal is to bound this error ε as a function of n .

Recall: $Z \sim N(0, 1)$, $Y \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$: $Z = \frac{Y-\mu}{\sigma}$

. For $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\phi(z)$ is circularly symmetric
(ii) rotational symmetry.

2. Berry-Essen Theorem: B-E-T strengthens CLT by providing rate of convergence

B-E Theorem Let x_1, \dots, x_n be independent and w.l.o.g., let $E(x_i) = 0$ and $\text{Var}(x_i) = \sigma_i^2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 = 1$ [obtained by multiplicative scaling]. Then for $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$|P[S_n \leq u] - P[Z \leq u]| \leq O(1) \beta \rightarrow (1)$$

$$\text{where } \beta = \sum_{i=1}^n E|x_i|^3 \text{ and } Z \sim N(0, 1)$$

- [• The constant (1) is small: 0.5514]
- [• B-E does not require x_i 's to be from identical distribution]

How this bound works? Let $x_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ with $p = 1/2$
 $= -1/\sqrt{n}$ " $(1-p) = 1/2$

Example

Rate of convergence

be independent variables.

$$[• E(x_i) = 0, \text{Var}(x_i) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \cancel{\frac{1}{n}}$$

$$[• \text{Var}(S_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(x_i) = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n = 1$$

$$[• E|x_i|^3 = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$$

$$\therefore \beta = \sum E|x_i|^3 = n \cdot \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

According to B-E-T:

$$\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \quad |P[S_n \leq u] - P[Z \leq u]| \leq \frac{0.56}{\sqrt{n}} \quad \hookrightarrow (1)$$

That is, $\frac{0.56}{\sqrt{n}}$ is the rate of convergence

Question: Can this rate be improved?

(4)

Let n be even. Then $S = \frac{\# \text{Heads} - \# \text{Tails}}{\sqrt{n}}$

When $S=0 \Rightarrow \# \text{Heads} = \# \text{Tails} = \frac{n}{2}$

Estimate the probability using (1)

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$P[\#H = \#T] = P[S = 0] = P[S \leq 0] - P[S \leq -\varepsilon]$$

$$= (P[S \leq 0] - P[z \leq 0])$$

$$- (P[S \leq -\varepsilon] - P[z \leq -\varepsilon])$$

$$+ (P(z \leq 0) - P(z \leq -\varepsilon))$$

$$\leq |P(S \leq 0) - P(z \leq 0)|$$

$$+ |P(S \leq -\varepsilon) - P(z \leq -\varepsilon)|$$

$$+ P[-\varepsilon < z \leq 0] \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{Tends to zero} \\ \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+}}$$

Let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Then

$$P[\#H = \#T] \leq |P(S \leq 0) - P(z \leq 0)|$$

$$+ |P(S \leq \varepsilon) - P(z \leq -\varepsilon)|$$

$$\leq \frac{0.56}{\sqrt{n}} + \frac{0.56}{\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1.12}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow (2)$$

But

$$P[\#H = \#T] = \binom{n}{n/2} \frac{1}{2^n}$$

$$n! \approx \sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n$$

$$\binom{n}{n/2} = \frac{n!}{\frac{n}{2}! \cdot \frac{n}{2}!}$$

$$= \frac{n!}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!^2}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n}{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)! \left(\frac{n}{2e}\right)^n} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n}$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\pi n}} \approx \frac{0.798}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow (3)$$

This is exactly the bound given by B-E-T.
Hence, it is tight.

3 Tail bounds: W

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \quad x_i \xrightarrow{\text{H=+1}} \text{prob} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xrightarrow{\text{T=-1}} \text{independent tosses.}$$

- what is the probability that S deviates from its mean $E(S) = 0$?
- More specially: $P[S > t]$ - tail probability
- we expect this to be small for large t .
- want to quantify it by deriving an upper bound.

By B-E-T:

$$\left| P[S \geq \sqrt{n}t] - P[G \geq t] \right| \leq \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{n}}$$

That is

$$P[S \geq \sqrt{n}t] = P[G \geq t] \pm \frac{O(1)}{\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow (4)$$

Verify that

$$P[G \geq t] = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx \leq O(1) e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}} \rightarrow (5)$$

choose $t = 10\sqrt{\log n}$. Combine (4) - (5):

$$\begin{aligned} P[S \geq \sqrt{n}t] &\leq P[G \geq t] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &= O\left(\exp\left(-\frac{(10\sqrt{\log n})^2}{2}\right)\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &= O\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{n^{50}}}_{\substack{\downarrow \\ \text{Tail of the Gaussian}}}\right) + O\left(\underbrace{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{B-E-T}}}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

\therefore B-E-T bound cannot be improved!

$$\begin{aligned} E(S) &= 0 \\ \text{Var}(S) &= \sum \text{Var}(x_i) \\ &= n \\ S &\xrightarrow{\text{G} \sim N(0,1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (10\sqrt{\log n})^2/2 &= (100\log n)/2 \\ &= 50\log n \\ &= e^{-50\log n} \cdot e^{\log \frac{1}{n^{50}}} \\ &= e^{-50\log n} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{50}} \end{aligned}$$

4 Markov's inequality uses only the mean

Tail bound

Theorem 7: $P[X \geq t \cdot E(X)] \leq \frac{1}{t}$ for $t > 0$

. Verify: $P[X \geq \alpha] \leq \frac{E(X)}{\alpha}$. Set $\alpha = t \cdot E(X)$

~~Example:~~ Consider $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ with $x_i = 1$ with probability p , $= -1$ with probability $1-p$

Example: $x_i = \pm 1$ with $p = 1/2$ $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \in [-n, n]$

. Consider $T = S + n \geq 0$

$$E(T) = E(S) + n = n$$

$$E(S) = 0$$

$$\text{Var}(S) = n$$

. Set $t = 10 \sqrt{n \log n}$

$$P[S \geq t] = P[T \geq t+n]$$

$$= P[T \geq E(T) + \frac{(t+n)}{n}]$$

$$\leq \frac{n}{t+n} = \frac{n}{n + \cancel{10} + 10 \sqrt{n \log n}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + \frac{10}{n} \sqrt{n \log n}}, \text{ a very bad bound}$$

$\rightarrow 1$ (does not converge to zero)

5. Chebyshev bound: uses mean and Variance

Theorem 8 $E(x) = \mu$, $\text{Var}(x) = \sigma^2 \geq 0$. For $t > 0$

$$P[|x-\mu| \geq t \cdot \sigma] \leq \frac{1}{t^2}$$

. Set $y = (x-\mu)/\sigma \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{Var}(y) = \sigma^2 = E((x-\mu)^2)$

$$P[|x-\mu| \geq t \cdot \sigma] = P[(x-\mu)^2 \geq t^2 \sigma^2]$$

$$= P[Y \geq t^2 \cancel{E(Y)}] \leq \frac{1}{t^2}$$

Example $x_i = \pm 1$ with $P = \frac{1}{2}$

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$$

$$\mu = E(S) = 0, \quad \text{Var}(S) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(x_i) = n = \sigma^2$$

$$\therefore \sigma = \sqrt{n}$$

$$\therefore P[S \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n}] \leq P[|S| \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n}]$$

$$= P[|S| \geq \sigma \frac{10\sqrt{n \log n}}{\sigma}]$$

$$\leq \frac{\sigma^2}{(10\sqrt{n \log n})^2} = \frac{n}{100 n \log n} = \frac{1}{100 \log n} \rightarrow 0$$

This is not as good as expected but $\rightarrow 0$.

Note:- This derivation needs only fair wise independence of x_i 's. For

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(S) &= \text{Var}(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \\ &= E(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)^2 - (\underbrace{E(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n)}_{=0})^2 \\ &= \sum_i E(x_i^2) + \sum_{i \neq j} E(x_i x_j) \\ &= \sum_i E[x_i^2] = n \end{aligned}$$

Need only
 x_i mid. of x_i
 $i \in S$

$\Rightarrow 1 = 0$ due to
mid + $E(x_i) = 0$

b) 4th moment method

let $S^4 \geq 0$. By Markov

$$\begin{aligned} P[S \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n}] &\leq P[S^4 \geq (10\sqrt{n \log n})^4] \\ &\leq \frac{E[S^4]}{10^4 n^2 \log^2 n} \longrightarrow (b) \end{aligned}$$

Estimate $E(S^4)$:

(8)

$$E[S^4] = E[(\sum x_i)^4]$$

$$= \sum_i E[x_i^4] + \frac{1}{2} \binom{4}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} E(x_i^2 x_j^2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \binom{4}{2} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4!}{2! 2!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{4 \times 3 \times 2 \times 1}{(1+1) \times (1+1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \cdot 6 \\ &= 3 \end{aligned}$$

$$+ (4) \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} E(x_i x_j^3)$$

$$+ \binom{4}{2} \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k: k \neq i, k \neq j} E(x_i x_j x_k^2)$$

$$+ \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \sum_{l \neq i, l \neq j, l \neq k} E(x_i x_j x_k x_l) \rightarrow (7)$$

Due to independence and $E(x_i) = 0$: Verify

$$E[x_i x_j^3] = E[x_i x_j x_k^2] = E[x_i x_j x_k x_l] = 0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &\stackrel{\text{with } 1/2}{=} \pm 1 \\ E[x_i^4] &= 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[S^4] &= \sum_i E(x_i^4) + 3 \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} E(x_i^2 x_j^2) \\ &\quad \underbrace{\qquad}_{E(x_i^2) E(x_j^2)} = 2 \cdot 2 = 1 \\ &= n + 3 n(n-1) = 3n^2 - 2n \\ &\leq 3n^2 \end{aligned} \rightarrow (8)$$

From (6) + (8):

$$P[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n}] \leq \frac{3n^2}{10^4 n^2 \log^2 n} = \frac{3}{10^4 \log^2 n}$$

A better bound than Chebyshev.

Note: We can extend it to S^{2k} and picking k to optimize the upper bound w.r.t. k . But, this requires estimation of $E(S^{2k})$ which can be demanding.

7. Chernoff bound

(9)

- Instead of $S \geq k$ consider $e^{\lambda S}$ for λ positive

- Since e^x is ↑:

$$P[S \geq 10\sqrt{n \log n}] = P[\lambda S \geq 10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}] \\ \leq P[e^{\lambda S} \geq e^{10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}}]$$

- By Markov:

$$P[e^{\lambda S} \geq e^{10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}}] \leq \frac{E(e^{\lambda S})}{e^{10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}}} \rightarrow (9)$$

- Due to independence of x_i :

$$E[e^{\lambda S}] = E[e^{\lambda \sum x_i}] = E\left(\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\lambda x_i}\right) \\ = \prod_i E(e^{\lambda x_i}) \rightarrow (10)$$

- Since $x_i = \pm 1$ with $p = 1/2$

$$E[e^{\lambda x_i}] = \frac{1}{2} e^{(\lambda)} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\cancel{(-\lambda)}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \dots \right] + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \lambda + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} - \frac{\lambda^3}{3!} + \dots \right]$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\lambda^2}{2!} + \frac{\lambda^4}{4!} + \frac{\lambda^6}{6!} \leq e^{\lambda^2/2} \rightarrow (*)$$

- Combine with (10):

$$E[e^{\lambda S}] \leq \prod_i e^{\lambda^2/2} = e^{\frac{n\lambda^2}{2}}$$

- From (9):

$$P[e^{\lambda S} \geq e^{10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}}] \leq e^{\frac{n\lambda^2}{2} - 10\lambda\sqrt{n \log n}} \rightarrow (11)$$

(10)

. Set $\lambda = 10 \sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}$ that minimizes the R.H.S
of (11) and we get

Verify:

$$P\left[e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{10\sqrt{n \log n}}\right] \leq e^{-\frac{50 \log n - 100 \log n}{n}} = \frac{1}{n^{50}}$$

- We can use the same pathway for general r.v.

8 Chernoff bound:

Bernoulli

Theorem: Let x_i i.i.d r.v. $E(x_i) = p_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$

(i) $\mathbb{P}[x_i = 1 \text{ with } p_i = p] = \frac{p}{n}$ " $1-p$ } . Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$, $\mu = E(X)$

. Then for any $\delta > 0$:

$$1) P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{(1+\delta)}}\right)^\mu$$

$$2) P[X \geq (1-\delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^{-\delta}}{(1-\delta)^{(1-\delta)}}\right)^\mu$$

Note: (i) This claim holds even for $x_i \in [0, 1]$ instead of Bernoulli

(ii) For $\delta \in (0, 1]$, this implies

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{3}}$$

$$P[X \geq (1-\delta)\mu] \leq e^{-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{3}}$$

Proof: Need only to prove the upper bound.

. For any $\lambda > 0$, by Markov:

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mu] = P[e^{\lambda X} \geq e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}] \leq \frac{E[e^{\lambda X}]}{e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu}} \rightarrow (12)$$

• But

$$E[e^{\lambda x_i}] = p_i e^\lambda + (1-p_i) \quad [\because x_i = 1 \rightarrow p_i \\ = 0 \rightarrow 1-p_i]$$

$$= 1 + p_i(e^\lambda - 1)$$

$$\leq \exp[p_i(e^\lambda - 1)] \quad [\because 1+x \leq e^x] \\ \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R} \\ \text{small}$$

$$\therefore E[e^{\lambda X}] = \prod_{i=1}^n E[e^{\lambda x_i}] = \exp[\sum p_i(e^\lambda - 1)]$$

$$\therefore \boxed{E(X) = \sum p_i = \mu}$$

$$= \exp[(e^\lambda - 1) \sum p_i] \\ = \exp[(e^\lambda - 1) \mu]$$

• Combine with (112)

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mu] \leq \exp[-\mu(e^\lambda - 1)] / \exp[-\lambda(1+\delta)\mu] \rightarrow (*)$$

$$\text{Set } \lambda = \log(1+\delta) \geq 0 \Rightarrow e^\lambda = 1+\delta \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{index of} \\ \text{Nr. of } (*) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu(e^\lambda - 1) = \underline{\mu \delta}$$

$$\text{Also } \lambda(1+\delta)\mu = \mu(1+\delta) \log(1+\delta) = \cancel{\log(1+\delta)} \\ = \frac{\log(1+\delta)}{\mu(1+\delta)}$$

$$\therefore e^{\lambda(1+\delta)\mu} = \underbrace{(1+\delta)}_{\mu(1+\delta)}$$

• Substituting:

$$P[X \geq (1+\delta)\mu] \leq \left[\frac{e^\delta}{(1+\delta)^{1/\delta}} \right]^\mu \rightarrow \boxed{\text{upper bound}}$$

9) Hoeffding: x_i i.i.d
 $x_i \in [a_i, b_i]$, $X = \sum x_i$

$$P[X \geq t + E(X)] \leq \exp \left[\frac{-t}{\sum (b_i - a_i)^2} \right]$$

where $t > 0$

Bernstein: x_i are i.i.d
 $|x_i| \leq M$, $\bar{X} = \sum x_i$, $E(x_i) = 0$

$$P[X \geq t] \leq \exp \left[\frac{-t^2}{\sum E(x_i^2) + \frac{1}{3}Mt} \right]$$