

Particle Swarm Optimization for Spatial Design

Matthew Simpson¹

Abstract

KEY WORDS:

¹(to whom correspondence should be addressed) Department of Statistics, University of Missouri, 146 Middlebush Hall, Columbia, MO 65211-6100, themattsimpson@gmail.com

1 Introduction

2 Model

Suppose we are interested in the latent spatial field of some response variable $Y(\mathbf{s})$, $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Specifically, we are interested in predicting $Y(\mathbf{s})$ at a set of locations $\mathbf{s}_1, \mathbf{s}_2, \dots, \mathbf{s}_M \in \mathcal{D}$. We have the ability to sample N locations anywhere in \mathcal{D} , and we wish to place them in order to optimize some design criterion. Let $\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \dots, \mathbf{d}_N \in \mathcal{D}$ denote the locations of the N monitors. We will assume the universal kriging setup with only location as a predictor. In other words, we assume the $Y(\mathbf{s})$ is a geostatistical process with mean function $\mu(\mathbf{s})$ and covariance function $C_Y(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$ for $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t} \in \mathcal{D}$. Further, we assume that $\mu(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{s})\boldsymbol{\beta}$ where if $\mathbf{s} = (u, v)$, then $\mathbf{x}'(\mathbf{s}) = (1, u, v)$. Finally, we observe $Z(\mathbf{d}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ where $Z(\mathbf{d}) = Y(\mathbf{d}) + \varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$ and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{d})$ is mean zero white noise with variance σ_ε^2 .

Let $\mathbf{Z} = (Z(\mathbf{d}_1), Z(\mathbf{d}_2), \dots, Z(\mathbf{d}_N))'$, $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{d}_1), \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{d}_2), \dots, \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{d}_N))'$, $\mathbf{C}_Z = \text{cov}(\mathbf{Z})$ where $\text{cov}(Z(\mathbf{d}_i), Z(\mathbf{d}_j)) = C_Y(\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{d}_j) + \sigma_\varepsilon^2 1(\mathbf{d}_i = \mathbf{d}_j)$, and $\mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0) = \text{cov}(Y(\mathbf{s}_0), \mathbf{Z})$ where $\text{cov}(Y(\mathbf{s}_0), Z(\mathbf{d}_i)) = C_Y(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{d}_i)$. Then the generalized least squares estimator of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{gls} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}_Z^{-1}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{C}_Z^{-1}\mathbf{Z}$, the universal kriging predictor of $Y(\mathbf{s}_0)$ is $\hat{Y}(\mathbf{s}_0) = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}_0)'\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{gls} + \mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0)'\mathbf{C}_Z^{-1}(\mathbf{Z} - \mathbf{X}\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{gls})$, and its mean square prediction error is

$$\sigma_{\hat{Y}}^2(\mathbf{d}; \mathbf{s}_0) = \mathbf{C}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0, \mathbf{s}_0) - \mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0)'\mathbf{C}_Z(\mathbf{d})^{-1}\mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0) +$$

$$[\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}_0) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{d})'\mathbf{C}_Z(\mathbf{d})^{-1}\mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0)]' [\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{d})'\mathbf{C}_Z(\mathbf{d})^{-1}\mathbf{X}(\mathbf{d})]^{-1} [\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{s}_0) - \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{d})'\mathbf{C}_Z(\mathbf{d})^{-1}\mathbf{c}_Y(\mathbf{s}_0)]$$

where $\mathbf{d} = (\mathbf{d}_1, \mathbf{d}_2, \dots, \mathbf{d}_N)'$ and $\mathbf{d}_i = (u_i, v_i)'$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Then the design criterion is

$$U(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^M \sigma_{\hat{Y}}^2(\mathbf{d}; \mathbf{s}_j)$$

where $\{\mathbf{s}_j\}$ are the M locations we wish to predict and our goal is to minimize U in \mathbf{d} .

Alternatively if we wish to learn about the entire spatial domain, we can minimize

$$U_C(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}|} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \sigma_Y^2(\mathbf{d}; \mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s},$$

though this integral is unlikely to be available in closed form and so in practice we would approximate with a criterion with the form of $U(\mathbf{d})$. We could also modify $U(\mathbf{d})$ by attaching weights to the spatial locations if some locations are more important than others.

We can consider two versions of this optimization problem: when $M > N$, i.e. we want to predict at more locations than we can observe, or the opposite case when $M < N$. When $M < N$, it is sensible to restrict ourself to designs where the first M observed locations are exactly the M locations at which we want to predict [CAN WE PROVE THIS?]. When $M > N$, it is no longer necessarily the case that putting a design location at a prediction location is a good idea.

[SHOULD WE CONSIDER OTHER OBJECTIVE FUNCTIONS? SOMETHING DEPENDENT ON ENTROPY?]

Also note that $U(\mathbf{d})$ depends on the covariance function, $C_Y(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t})$, which may depend on unknown parameters. In that case, we can put a prior on those unknown parameters and instead minimize $E_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}[U(\mathbf{d}; \boldsymbol{\theta})] = \int_{\Theta} U(\mathbf{d}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) [\boldsymbol{\theta}] d\boldsymbol{\theta}$ where $[\boldsymbol{\theta}]$ is the prior on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. [CONNECTION TO FACT THAT KRIGING CAN BE DERIVED FROM A BAYESIAN HIERARCHICAL LINEAR MODEL]