|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Date:** | 10/29/2019 |
| **Time:** | 11.32 am |
| **Venue:** | IS Lounge |
|  |  |
| **Attendees:** | Cha Da Eun  Vittorio Carecci  Tan Qiu Long Matthew Ian  Brendon Lim  Sheng Qin |
|  |  |
| **Agenda:** | 1. Determining how much more work is required for the UAT  2. Follow up on manual and JSON Testcases |
|  | 3. Simulate a UAT test based on the manual testcases  4. Determine the urgency to prepare extra test cases |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  | **Due Date** |
| 1 | Fix bugs found during manual testcases | Both pairs | 6 Nov |
| 2 | Simulate a UAT | Matthew & Brendon | 6 Nov |
| 3 | Create new manual testcases | Matthew & Brendon | 6Nov |
| 4 | Create JSON Testcases | Vittorio & Sheng Qin | 6 Nov |

We spent some time discussing with the pairs about how much work was done and how they feel about it. We then consolidated the information to see if there are any more processing backend pages that need to be coded.

We checked with the teams preparing the JSON and manual Testcases to see progress and how much they wrote. We wanted to see how many more we should have to be effective.

To get everyone familiar on what was going to happen, we simulated a UAT Test case based on the manual testcases we had so far. Expectation a lot more anxiety during the actual test as we are not sure how complex the testcases will be.

We decided based on the UAT that manual testcases are not enough. We have prioritized this, but asked the other pair to continue creating JSON

The meeting was adjourned at 2.00pm. These minutes will be circulated and adopted if there are no amendments reported in the next 24 hours.

Prepared by,

**Matthew Tan**

Vetted and edited by,

**Cha Da Eun**