

A DESI DR1 Radial-Velocity Search for Dark Compact Companions: A Strong but Unconfirmed Candidate Around a dM0 Star

2 AIDEN SMITH¹

3 ¹Independent Astronomer

4 ABSTRACT

5 We present a conservative, fully reproducible search for radial-velocity (RV) variability in the public
6 Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI) Data Release 1 (DR1) Milky Way Survey. Using only
7 per-epoch RV measurements, we identify stars whose velocity variability is both highly significant
8 and robust under leave-one-out tests. A “negative space” validation pipeline then rejects ordinary
9 luminous companions using Gaia DR3 astrometry, WISE infrared photometry, GALEX ultraviolet
10 imaging, TESS and ZTF time-domain photometry, deep Legacy Survey imaging, and archival X-ray
11 and radio catalogs.

12 One system, Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832 (DESI TargetID 39627745210139276), emerges as the
13 most extreme survivor. DESI provides four RV epochs spanning 38.9 days with a maximum RV span
14 $\Delta RV_{max} \approx 146 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. A constant-RV model is rejected at $\Delta\chi^2 \approx 2.7 \times 10^4$ (DESI-only), and the
15 variability remains highly significant under leave-one-out tests.

16 A key forensic update is that Gaia DR3 resolves a neighbor at $\rho \simeq 0.69''$ with $\Delta G \simeq 2.21$ mag,
17 implying a G -band flux ratio $b_G \simeq 0.13$. We perform blend-aware remeasurement of the DESI spectra
18 using two PHOENIX templates and physically motivated flux-ratio priors; the large RV swing persists
19 across these remeasurements. However, a persistent arm-split discrepancy between DESI R and Z arm
20 RV estimates indicates wavelength-dependent systematics that prevent a definitive dynamical mass
21 claim from public data alone.

22 Finally, we verify Gaia astrometric quality metrics via a direct query to `gaiadr3.gaia_source`
23 (script: `scripts/verify_gaia_metrics.py`), finding RUWE = 1.954 and astrometric excess noise
24 $\epsilon_{AEN} = 0.90$ mas (16.5σ significant) at $G = 17.273$. These values indicate a poor single-source astro-
25 metric fit consistent with unresolved astrometric complexity (orbital motion and/or duplicity), but are
26 not uniquely diagnostic given the resolved neighbor. We classify Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832 as a
27 *strong but unconfirmed* dark compact companion candidate.

28 **Keywords:** Radial velocity(1332) — Compact objects(288) — M dwarfs(982) — Spectroscopy(1558)

29 1. INTRODUCTION

30 Quiescent compact objects—white dwarfs (WDs),
31 neutron stars (NSs), and stellar-mass black holes
32 (BHs)—are expected to be abundant in the Milky Way
33 but are difficult to detect when not accreting or other-
34 wise luminous. Radial velocities provide a purely grav-
35 itational discovery channel: a compact companion can
36 induce large reflex motion in an ordinary star while con-
37 tributing negligible light. Modern multi-epoch spectro-

38 scopic surveys are therefore natural hunting grounds for
39 unseen companions.

40 DESI DR1 provides per-epoch RVs for millions of stars
41 in the Milky Way Survey. While DESI was not designed
42 as a time-domain survey, the combination of multi-epoch
43 coverage, high spectral resolution, and uniform data pro-
44 cessing enables a systematic search for RV outliers. In
45 this work we:

- 46 1. define conservative RV-variability metrics that are
47 robust to outliers;
- 48 2. scan the DESI DR1 Milky Way Survey for high-
49 significance RV variables;

- 50 3. apply a multi-wavelength “negative space” filter
 51 that searches for *gravity without light*;
 52 4. perform targeted orbital and forensic analyses of
 53 the strongest surviving candidate, including blend-
 54 aware remeasurement and wavelength-split stress
 55 tests designed to break false positives.

56 All analysis scripts, configuration files, and machine-
 57 readable products are publicly available.

58 2. DATA SETS

59 2.1. DESI DR1 Milky Way Survey

60 We use per-epoch DESI DR1 Milky Way Survey RVs
 61 from the `main-bright` and `main-dark` programs. For
 62 each epoch we extract:

- 63 • heliocentric radial velocity RV_i (in km s^{-1});
- 64 • formal RV uncertainty $\sigma_{RV,i}$ (in km s^{-1});
- 65 • modified Julian date t_i (in days);
- 66 • DESI `TARGETID`;
- 67 • Gaia DR3 `SOURCE_ID` when available.

68 2.2. LAMOST archival spectroscopy

69 We identify two public LAMOST spectra (ObsIDs
 70 437513049 and 870813030) of the target. These spec-
 71 tra robustly support an early-M dwarf classification for
 72 the dominant light source. However, independent RV
 73 remeasurement of these spectra is internally inconsis-
 74 tent across methods (FITS-header values vs CCF refits
 75 and wavelength-split CCF), so we treat LAMOST RVs
 76 as *non-decisive* for orbit fitting in this work. We retain
 77 LAMOST as the primary spectral-type constraint and
 78 as a qualitative sanity check only.

79 2.3. Gaia DR3 astrometry and duplicity

80 From Gaia DR3 we use: parallax and proper motions;
 81 renormalized unit weight error (RUWE); astrometric ex-
 82 cess noise (AEN); and image-parameter-determination
 83 (IPD) summary statistics.

84 We verify the key Gaia DR3 quality metrics via a di-
 85 rect `astroquery.gaia` query to `gaiadr3.gaia_source`
 86 (script: `scripts/verify_gaia_metrics.py`). The veri-
 87 fied values are:

88 An elevated RUWE (commonly $\text{RUWE} \gtrsim 1.4$) indi-
 89 cates a poor fit of the 5-parameter single-source astro-
 90 metric model and is often associated with unresolved
 91 binaries, blends, or other astrometric complexity. The
 92 parallax is poorly constrained and we therefore do not
 93 adopt a Gaia-only geometric distance.

Table 1. Verified Gaia DR3 astrometric metrics for Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832.

Quantity	Value
Gaia G magnitude	17.273
Parallax ϖ (mas)	0.12 ± 0.16
RUWE	1.954
Astrometric excess noise ϵ_{AEN} (mas)	0.90
AEN significance ($\epsilon_{\text{AEN}}/\sigma_\epsilon$)	16.5

94 A major forensic update is that Gaia DR3 resolves a
 95 close neighbor at a separation $\rho \simeq 0.688''$ with $\Delta G \simeq$
 96 2.21 mag. This neighbor lies within the DESI 1.5'' fiber
 97 aperture and must be treated as a potential blend con-
 98 taminant.

99 2.4. Additional surveys

100 The multi-wavelength validation makes use of:
 101 WISE/2MASS photometry; GALEX NUV imaging;
 102 TESS full-frame-image photometry; ZTF multi-year g,r
 103 photometry; Legacy Survey (DECaLS/MzLS/BASS)
 104 imaging cutouts; ROSAT, XMM-Newton, Chandra X-
 105 ray catalogs; and NVSS, FIRST, and VLASS radio sur-
 106 veys.

107 3. RV VARIABILITY METRICS

108 3.1. Single-target RV statistics

109 For each target with N RV epochs we define the max-
 110 imum observed RV span

$$111 \quad \Delta RV_{\max} = \max_i (RV_i) - \min_i (RV_i). \quad (1)$$

Table 2. Definitions for Equation (1).

Symbol	Meaning
RV_i	Radial velocity at epoch i (km s^{-1})
ΔRV_{\max}	Maximum RV span across all epochs (km s^{-1})
$\max_i(\cdot)$	Maximum over epochs indexed by i
$\min_i(\cdot)$	Minimum over epochs indexed by i

112 To quantify the significance of the variability we define

$$113 \quad S = \frac{\Delta RV_{\max}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_{RV,i}^2}}. \quad (2)$$

Table 3. Definitions for Equation (2).

Symbol	Meaning
S	Global RV-variability significance (dimensionless)
ΔRV_{\max}	Maximum RV span (km s^{-1})
$\sigma_{RV,i}$	Formal RV uncertainty at epoch i (km s^{-1})
N	Number of RV epochs
i	Epoch index

3.2. Leave-one-out robustness and leverage

We compute a leave-one-out significance $S^{(-k)}$ by excluding epoch k and recomputing Equation (2). We then define

$$S_{\min,\text{LOO}} = \min_{1 \leq k \leq N} S^{(-k)}, \quad S_{\text{robust}} = \min(S, S_{\min,\text{LOO}}). \quad (3)$$

Table 4. Definitions for Equation (3).

Symbol	Meaning
$S^{(-k)}$	Significance S recomputed with epoch k removed
k	Index of the excluded epoch
$S_{\min,\text{LOO}}$	Minimum of $S^{(-k)}$ over all excluded epochs
S_{robust}	Conservative significance statistic used for selection
S	As in Equation (2)
N	Number of RV epochs
$\min(\cdot)$	Minimum operator

We also define a leverage statistic

$$d_i = \frac{|RV_i - \bar{RV}|}{\sigma_{RV,i}}, \quad d_{\max} = \max_i d_i, \quad (4)$$

with \bar{RV} the inverse-variance-weighted mean velocity.

4. NEGATIVE-SPACE VALIDATION PIPELINE

For each RV-variable candidate we apply a multi-wavelength filter designed to select systems with strong gravitational evidence for a companion but little or no corresponding light from that companion. The key checks are:

1. **Gaia astrometry and duplicity:** RUWE, AEN, and IPD statistics, plus explicit neighbor searches for Gaia-resolved companions.

Table 5. Definitions for Equation (4).

Symbol	Meaning
d_i	Leverage of epoch i (dimensionless)
d_{\max}	Maximum leverage over epochs i
RV_i	RV at epoch i (km s^{-1})
\bar{RV}	Inverse-variance-weighted mean RV (km s^{-1})
$\sigma_{RV,i}$	RV uncertainty at epoch i (km s^{-1})
$ \cdot $	Absolute value
$\max_i(\cdot)$	Maximum over epochs indexed by i

2. **WISE infrared colours:** $W1 - W2 < 0.1$ to exclude strong IR-excess systems dominated by cool luminous companions.

3. **GALEX NUV:** non-detection to exclude hot WD signatures.

4. **TESS and ZTF photometry:** no deep eclipses, no large-amplitude ellipsoidal modulation, and no strong rotation signal at the candidate orbital period family.

5. **Legacy Survey imaging:** PSF morphology and residuals to identify blends and background contaminants.

6. **X-ray and radio catalogs:** search for accreting NS/BH signatures; non-detections are converted into luminosity upper limits.

Only one object, Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832, survives all cuts and is subjected to the detailed analysis below.

5. GAIA DR3 3802130935635096832

5.1. DESI radial-velocity data set

Table 6 lists the DESI DR1 per-epoch RV measurements.

Table 6. DESI DR1 per-epoch RV measurements for Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832.

Epoch	Source	MJD	Date (UT)	$RV \pm \sigma_{RV}$ (km s^{-1})
1	DESI	59568.488	2021-12-20	-86.39 ± 0.55
2	DESI	59605.380	2022-01-26	$+59.68 \pm 0.83$
3	DESI	59607.374	2022-01-28	$+26.43 \pm 1.06$
4	DESI	59607.389	2022-01-28	$+25.16 \pm 1.11$

Table 8. Definitions for Equation (6).

Symbol	Meaning
$ \Delta RV_{\text{blend}} $	Magnitude of blend-induced RV bias (km s^{-1})
b	Flux ratio (neighbor flux / primary flux; dimensionless)
RV_1	Primary component RV (km s^{-1})
RV_2	Neighbor/secondary component RV (km s^{-1})
$ \cdot $	Absolute value
\lesssim	“Less than or approximately equal to”

The maximum DESI-only RV span is $\Delta RV_{\text{max}} = 146.07 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ over 38.9 days. Applying Equations (2)–(4) yields: $S \approx 79.8$ (DESI-only); $S_{\text{min,LOO}} \approx 19.8$ (DESI-only); $S_{\text{robust}} \approx 19.8$ (DESI-only); $d_{\text{max}} \approx 113$ (high-leverage epoch at -86.39 km s^{-1}).

A constant-RV fit to the DESI epochs yields $\chi^2_{\text{const}} \approx 2.73 \times 10^4$ for three degrees of freedom, i.e. a catastrophic rejection of the constant-RV hypothesis.

5.2. Resolved neighbor and blend parameters

Gaia DR3 resolves a neighbor at $\rho \simeq 0.688''$ with $\Delta G \simeq 2.21$. We convert the magnitude difference into a G -band flux ratio via

$$b_G = 10^{-0.4 \Delta G}. \quad (5)$$

Table 7. Definitions for Equation (5).

Symbol	Meaning
b_G	Flux ratio in the Gaia G band (neighbor flux / primary flux)
ΔG	Gaia G -band magnitude difference (neighbor minus primary; mag)
$10^{(\cdot)}$	Base-10 exponential function
0.4	Conversion factor between magnitudes and \log_{10} flux

With $\Delta G \simeq 2.21$, we obtain $b_G \simeq 0.13$.

Because the DESI fiber diameter is $1.5''$, both sources are plausibly within the fiber. Moreover, the flux ratio is wavelength-dependent. PHOENIX-based SED estimates imply a smaller fraction in the DESI R arm and a larger fraction in the DESI Z arm, with representative values $b_R \sim 0.10\text{--}0.11$ and $b_Z \sim 0.19\text{--}0.23$ for late-M neighbors.

5.3. Why flux dilution alone cannot create a 146 km s^{-1} swing

If an RV extractor behaved like a linear flux-weighted centroid of two spectra, the measured RV would be bounded by a flux-weighted average. In the small- b limit, the maximum blend-induced bias scales approximately as

$$|\Delta RV_{\text{blend}}| \lesssim b |RV_2 - RV_1|. \quad (6)$$

Thus, even a large component velocity separation of $|RV_2 - RV_1| \sim 200 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ would imply $|\Delta RV_{\text{blend}}| \sim 26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ for $b \simeq 0.13$.

This bound does *not* rule out more pathological failure modes (e.g. a pipeline locking onto different spectral components at different epochs, or wavelength-dependent template mismatch), so we explicitly test those cases below using blend-aware remeasurement and arm/feature “truth filters.”

6. FORENSIC TRUTH FILTERING: BLEND-AWARE REMEASUREMENT AND ARM/FEATURE CHECKS

6.1. Blend-aware DESI remeasurement

We re-fit the DESI spectra using PHOENIX templates for an early-M primary and a late-M neighbor, performing χ^2 minimization with inverse-variance weights and a physically motivated prior on the flux ratio informed by ΔG and SED-based b_R, b_Z expectations. Across reasonable choices of primary/neighbor templates and fixed blend fractions ($b \in \{0.05, 0.13, 0.20\}$), the inferred combined-arm RV swing remains $\sim 142 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, consistent with the catalog swing. This indicates that the large RV excursion is not an obvious artifact of neglecting a $\sim 13\%$ contaminant.

However, model-comparison statistics (e.g. ΔBIC) can strongly favor two-component fits even when b is fixed to unrealistically small values. Because reduced chi-squared values remain $\chi^2_\nu \gg 1$ in many fits, we interpret such preferences as being driven primarily by template mismatch (i.e. model misspecification), not as decisive evidence that blending explains the RV variability.

6.2. Arm-split consistency

As an internal consistency check we estimate RVs separately in the DESI R and Z arms. We define an arm-split diagnostic

$$\delta_{RZ} = RV_R - RV_Z, \quad (7)$$

where RV_R and RV_Z are the arm-specific RV estimates.

Table 9. Definitions for Equation (7).

Symbol	Meaning
δ_{RZ}	$R-Z$ arm RV difference (km s^{-1})
RV_R	RV estimated from the DESI R arm (km s^{-1})
RV_Z	RV estimated from the DESI Z arm (km s^{-1})

Using the available DESI arm-level products, we find arm-split differences of order tens of km s^{-1} for multiple epochs, including an epoch with $|\delta_{RZ}| \sim 36 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. We do not have access to per-exposure (non-coadded) arm spectra in the present environment, so we cannot test whether this discrepancy is driven by a single exposure; we therefore treat the arm-split pathology as a serious systematic that prevents a definitive dynamical interpretation without higher-resolution follow-up.

6.3. Feature-level RV checks

We perform a feature-level RV sanity check by measuring shifts in prominent molecular/atomic regions (e.g. TiO and the Ca II triplet). These checks recover a large inter-epoch velocity shift of order $\sim 100 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ between the most extreme epochs, qualitatively consistent with the catalog-scale variability and inconsistent with the idea that the entire swing is a pure pipeline artifact. However, the feature-level results vary by band/feature and are therefore treated as corroborating diagnostics rather than definitive RVs.

6.4. Gaia control-sample context

To contextualize Gaia duplicity metrics at comparable magnitude and color, we compare the target to a Gaia DR3 control sample matched in G and $BP - RP$ (sample size $N \simeq 581$). In this control sample, the target lies at approximately the 97th percentile in RUWE and the 96th percentile in astrometric excess P noise, i.e. it is an astrometric outlier consistent with duplicity or other astrometric complexity. We emphasize that this is supporting evidence rather than a unique proof, especially given the resolved neighbor.

7. PRIMARY-STAR CHARACTERIZATION

Using LAMOST spectral typing and photometry we estimate:

- effective temperature $T_{\text{eff}} \simeq 3850\text{--}4050 \text{ K}$;
- surface gravity $\log g \simeq 4.5$;
- metallicity consistent with solar within ± 0.3 dex;

• stellar mass $M_1 \approx 0.56 \pm 0.06 M_{\odot}$;

• radius $R_1 \approx 0.56 \pm 0.05 R_{\odot}$.

Because the system is a known blend at the $\sim 10\text{--}20\%$ level (band-dependent), these stellar parameters should be regarded as referring to the dominant-light component and may carry additional systematic uncertainty.

8. DYNAMICAL INTERPRETATION (CONSERVATIVE BOUNDS)

The DESI-only RV span implies a minimum semi-amplitude

$$K_{\min} = \frac{\Delta \text{RV}_{\max}}{2}, \quad (8)$$

so that $K_{\min} \approx 73 \text{ km s}^{-1}$.

Table 10. Definitions for Equation (8).

Symbol	Meaning
K_{\min}	Minimum implied RV semi-amplitude (km s^{-1})
ΔRV_{\max}	Maximum RV span (km s^{-1})
	Numerical factor converting peak-to-peak span to semi-amplitude

Assuming a circular orbit and adopting $K = K_{\min}$, the mass function is bounded by

$$f_{\min}(M) = \frac{P K_{\min}^3}{2\pi G}, \quad (9)$$

where P is the orbital period and G is Newton's gravitational constant.

Table 11. Definitions for Equation (9).

Symbol	Meaning
$f_{\min}(M)$	Lower bound on the RV mass function (in solar-mass units if P and K_{\min} are in consistent units)
P	Orbital period (time units)
K_{\min}	Minimum RV semi-amplitude (km s^{-1})
G	Newton's gravitational constant

For illustrative periods of order tens of days, $f_{\min}(M)$ is of order unity, implying that a NS-mass companion is plausible for edge-on geometries. Importantly, if the sampling did not capture the true extrema, then $K > K_{\min}$ and the implied mass function increases rapidly as K^3 .

279 9. PHOTOMETRIC, X-RAY, AND RADIO
 280 CONSTRAINTS

281 TESS full-frame data show no deep eclipses or large
 282 coherent modulation at the candidate period family.
 283 ZTF g, r light curves show amplitudes below ~ 25 mmag
 284 at representative periods, disfavoring starspot-driven
 285 RV signals at the ~ 70 km s $^{-1}$ level.

286 No counterparts are found in major X-ray or radio
 287 catalogs at useful depths. These non-detections are
 288 consistent with a detached system containing a non-
 289 interacting compact object, but are not uniquely diag-
 290 nostic.

291 10. LIMITATIONS

292 Several limitations prevent a definitive claim:

- 293 1. **Sparse RV sampling.** DESI provides four
 294 epochs (two nearly simultaneous). This is suf-
 295 ficient to prove strong variability but not to
 296 uniquely determine the orbit.
- 297 2. **Resolved neighbor / blending.** A Gaia-
 298 resolved neighbor at $\rho \simeq 0.69''$ contaminates the
 299 DESI fiber at the $\sim 10\text{--}20\%$ level depending on
 300 wavelength.
- 301 3. **Arm-dependent systematics.** Arm-split RV
 302 differences of order tens of km s $^{-1}$ indicate a
 303 wavelength-dependent pathology that remains un-
 304 resolved with public products alone.
- 305 4. **LAMOST RV instability.** LAMOST spectra
 306 support the dM0 typing, but independent RV con-
 307 firmation from LAMOST is inconclusive due to in-
 308 ternal inconsistencies across RV extraction meth-
 309 ods.
- 310 5. **Gaia astrometric non-uniqueness.** RUWE
 311 and AEN are elevated and strongly suggest astro-
 312 metric complexity, but are not uniquely at-
 313 tributable to orbital motion given the resolved
 314 neighbor.

315 11. CONCLUSIONS

316 We have built and stress-tested a public, scripted
 317 pipeline for identifying RV variables with unseen com-
 318 panions in DESI DR1. Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832
 319 is an extreme DESI RV variable with $\Delta\text{RV}_{\text{max}} \approx$
 320 146 km s $^{-1}$ and a strong “darkness” profile. A Gaia-
 321 resolved neighbor at $\rho \simeq 0.69''$ is real and must be
 322 accounted for; blend-aware DESI remeasurement shows
 323 that the large RV swing persists and cannot be trivially
 324 attributed to a $\sim 13\%$ contaminant. Gaia DR3 qual-
 325 ity metrics are independently verified (RUWE = 1.954;

326 $\epsilon_{\text{AEN}} = 0.90$ mas at 16.5σ), indicating a poor single-
 327 source astrometric fit consistent with unresolved astro-
 328 metric complexity.

329 However, significant wavelength-dependent systemat-
 330 ics (arm-split discrepancies of order tens of km s $^{-1}$) re-
 331 main a serious obstacle to converting the public RVs
 332 into a definitive dynamical companion-mass claim. We
 333 therefore classify Gaia DR3 3802130935635096832 as a
 334 *strong but unconfirmed* dark compact companion can-
 335 didate. The most efficient next step is high-resolution
 336 spectroscopy with spatial discrimination of the two com-
 337 ponents.

338 12. DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY

339 All scripts, configuration files, diagnostic plots, and
 340 machine-readable results used in this work are pub-
 341 licly available at <https://github.com/simulationstation/>
 342 DESI-BH-CANDIDATE-SEARCH.

343 *Software:* TESS, ZTF, DESI DR1, Gaia DR3, LAM-
 344 OST

345 *Facilities:* DESI, Gaia, LAMOST, TESS, ZTF