

Homework 2

Simon Zheng
260744353

October 13th, 2017

1

A cycle between two vertices in a directed graph is when they each have an edge going to the other.

If adding an edge between two vertices already in the same strongly connected component, then nothing changes.

If adding an edge between a vertex in a strongly connected component and a vertex not in a strongly component: if this creates a cycle between these two vertices, then the strongly connected component the first vertex is in gains a vertex, but the number of strongly connected components still doesn't change. If the edge does not introduce a cycle between these two vertices, then nothing changes.

If adding an edge between two vertices, each in a separate strongly connected component, and it introduces a cycle between these two vertices, then the two separate strongly connected components become a single, bigger one, thus reducing the number of strongly connected components in the graph by one. If the new edge does not introduce a cycle between these two vertices, then nothing changes.

2

We can represent this situation as a directed graph:

Each person is a vertex;

If person u knows person v , then there exists an edge going from u to v .

As such, a *celebrity* is a vertex c with an outdegree of 0 and an indegree of $|V| - 1$, where $|V|$ is the total number of vertices (people).

Now, the question forces us to ask every single person at least once to know who they know. This means visiting every vertex at least once (no matter if they are connected or not as we have to ask to know it), so the algorithm will be at least $O(n)$. We know the celebrity must know no one. With this, we can immediately exclude a vertex (person) as a celebrity the moment they know someone. But we must still know who else they know, as to identify the celebrity who is known by everyone. Fortunately, every time we visit a vertex (ask a person who they know), we can exclude anyone who wasn't previously mentioned, as the celebrity must be known by all.

A good way would be to maintain a single collection of people who are known by every single person we have visited. It must *only* include people who do not know anyone and is

known by every previous person asked. As such, a good data structure would be a hash table, as we will be doing a lot of searches and deletions.

1. Starting from any person, for every other person, ask if this person knows them. If they do not know anyone, add to set of potential celebrities. Otherwise, add every person they know.

2. Now, for every following person, if they do not know anyone and are not included in the list of potential celebrities, then we are done, as we know there are no celebrities since we have a person who is not a celebrity and cannot know them.

3. If they do not know anyone but are a potential celebrity, then simply go to the next person.

4. If they know anyone and are a potential celebrity, remove them from the set of potential celebrities.

5. Now remove any person in the set that is not mentioned by the current person being asked. If the set becomes empty then we are done, and there are no celebrities.

6. Otherwise, continue until no one is left to ask. Either there will be no celebrity or a single person who is the celebrity in the set, as it is impossible to have more than 1 by virtue of having to be known by *every other person*.

In the worst case, everyone knows everybody else, such that you start by asking $n - 1$ questions, then $n - 2$, etc. since you can only eliminate one person every time and will have to ask down to the last person to be sure. So it would be $O(n(n - 1)(n - 2)...) = O(n!)$ so very slow.

SECOND WAY:

Start from any person p_i .

If p_i does not know anyone, mark this person as the potential celebrity, and go to another person p_k .

If p_k does not know p_i , then there are no celebrities.

If p_k does know p_i , then go to another person and also ask them if they know p_i . Do this for everyone left.

If everyone knows p_i , then p_i is a celebrity. Otherwise there are no celebrities.

If p_i does know at least one person, say, p_j , then go to p_j (don't ask for the rest). Mark p_i as being asked (and not a celebrity).

Go back to beginning and do the same thing for p_j , where the new p_i is the current p_j .

In the worst case, you follow a chain of person u_i knowing person u_{i+1} right up to the last person (who is the celebrity) which takes $n - 1$ steps. Once you arrive at the celebrity (which we do not know is one), we must ask that person if he knows any of the other people, which takes $n - 1$ questions (steps). Then, finally, you must do the reverse which is asking every other person if *they* know the celebrity, which is also $n - 1$ steps (questions) by re-visiting each of them and asking.

Thus, it is at most $O(3(n - 1)) = O(n)$, which is much better.

3

We can imagine the airports as vertices and flights as directed edges but with an extra departure and arrival time. Take all flights that go to b and only consider those that arrive on time (before time t). As such we will go in reverse. From those flights, put them into an array (which will be an array of paths represented by a list). If you must branch in the paths, just give a node of the list multiple neighbours. Also keep an array of every flight as an object that keeps track of every time it is visited by a path and which path. If two or more paths visit the same airport, from thereon now, find and only consider the one with the latest departure time, as it will cover the most possibilities, including the earlier ones.

4

First, run BFS or DFS simulating both stone movements at the same time.

Construct a tree where every vertex stores the position of both stones in the current step.

Edges of this tree connect pairs of positions which the stones can move to.

Do this for every possible path. When you can move the stones to a previously seen pair of positions, then it simply connects back to that position pair in the tree, so ignore it.

Take into account back and forth movements. If both move back to the previous positions, see previous point. Simply ignore it.

If you are forced to move back to a previous position, then it is not a possible path.

If you end with the reverse pair of the beginning, you're done. Otherwise all possible configurations will run out (since same ones are ignored) such that if you run through all the same positions twice, then you know you are done.

5

Choose any root and run Breadth-First Search to find the longest path (the furthest vertex).

You now have its eccentricity, but you do not know if it is the maximum one of the tree (diameter).

Simply run BFS again from the furthest vertex found and you will find the vertex furthest from this one, which will give you the diameter.

Since a BFS is $O(n + n - 1)$ on a tree (a tree has $n - 1$ edges) and we only run it twice, we get $O(2(n + n - 1)) = O(n)$.

6

Using BFS or DFS, start from vertex with label 1. Run the search backwards (reverse edge direction) such that logically you know every vertex u_i you visit (including itself) are going to have $\min_i(u_i) = 1$. Thus, every time you visit a vertex, mark its \min as the starting vertex (using an array or if each vertex is an object with an attribute \min or etc.).

Then keep doing this by starting on the next unvisited vertex with the smallest label until every vertex has a \min .

This will be $O(n)$, because you only run it once per directionally connected component, as every vertex in a directionally connected component should be visited and have their \min

found once. Let's say worst case every vertex is disconnected, you have to run it n times, but each search will be $O(1)$, so it is proportional and cancels out to $O(n)$.