

Chapter V

Special cases

March 11, 2023

1 Functions of Eigenvalues

5.2.11 Semidefinite complementarity Suppose matrices X and Y lie in \mathbb{S}_+^n .

- If $\text{Tr}(XY) = 0$, prove $-Y \in \partial\delta_{\mathbb{S}_+^n}(X)$.
- Hence prove the following properties are equivalent:
 1. $\text{Tr}(XY) = 0$.
 2. $XY = 0$.
 3. $XY + YX = 0$.
- Prove for any matrices U and V in \mathbb{S}^n

$$(U^2 + V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = U + V \iff U, V \succeq 0, \text{Tr}(UV) = 0.$$

Proof:

- Note that $\langle -Y, Z - X \rangle = \langle -Y, Z \rangle = -\langle Y, Z \rangle \leq 0$ for all $Z \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$. Thus, $-Y \in \partial\delta_{\mathbb{S}_+^n}(X)$.
 - Suppose $\text{Tr}(XY) = 0$ and hence $-Y \in \partial\delta_{\mathbb{S}_+^n}(X)$. Thus, we have $\lambda(-Y) \in \delta_{\mathbb{R}_+^n}(\lambda(X))$ and so $\langle \lambda(-Y), \lambda(X) \rangle = 0$. Thus, $\text{Tr}(X(-Y)) = \langle \lambda(-Y), \lambda(X) \rangle = 0$. Thus, X and $-Y$ have common spectral decomposition. But, $\lambda(X) \geq 0$ and $\lambda(-Y) \leq 0$ and hence $\langle \lambda(-Y), \lambda(X) \rangle$ along with the fact that X and $-Y$ have common spectral decomposition implies $-XY = 0$. The rest is clear.
 - Suppose $(U^2 + V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = U + V$ then since $U^2 + V^2 \succeq (U^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we should have $U + V = (U^2 + V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \succeq (U^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \succeq U$. Note that if $U = Q \text{Diag}(\lambda) Q^T$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and some $Q \in O(n)$, then $(U^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = Q \text{Diag}(|\lambda|) Q^T$. Hence, $V \succeq 0$ and similarly $U \succeq 0$. Now since $(U^2 + V^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = U + V$ we have $U^2 + V^2 = U^2 + V^2 + UV + VU$ and hence $\text{Tr}(UV) = 0$. The other way is clear.
-