

# PROJECT 3

## Operation Analytics and Investigating Metric Spike

**Project Description:** Project involves analysing user interactions and engagement with the Instagram app to provide valuable insights that can help the business grow. will be using MY SQL Workbench to provide insights which will help in making decisions in future requirements.

**Tech Stack Used:** MySQL Workbench 8.0 CE, MS EXCEL.

### Pre-requisite's:

- Creating Database and tables. Importing data from CSV Files to SQL.
- Alter the data type of necessary date fields which are in Text to Timestamp.
- Understand the Table Columns before proceeding with the analysis.

### Analysis:

#### Case Study 1: Job Data Analysis

##### 1. Jobs Reviewed Over Time:

- Use Job\_Data\_Analysis Database.
- From table job\_data, filter the records for Nov 2020.
- Calculated no.of jobs ran per sum of time spent for each job as jobs reviewed per hr in a day .
- group by day and sort by jobs\_reviewed\_perhr\_perdy in descending order.

**Insights:** Through this analysis, observed the hourly time review the jobs in a day. With 27/11/2020 being the lowest jobs reviewed with count of 34.61 and 28/11/2020 with highest jobs\_reviewed with a count of 218.18.

```

1 • CREATE DATABASE Job_Data_Analysis;
2 • USE Job_Data_Analysis;
3 • select * from job_data;
4 • #Jobs reviewed per hour
5 • SELECT
6     ds AS day_of_week, (COUNT(job_id) /sum(time_spent)*3600) AS jobs_reviewed_perhr_perdy
7 FROM
8     job_data
9 WHERE ds <= '11/30/2020' AND ds >= '11/1/2020'
10 GROUP BY ds
11 ORDER BY jobs_reviewed_perhr_perdy DESC;

```

day_of_week	jobs_reviewed_perhr_perdy
11/28/2020	218.1818
11/30/2020	180.0000
11/29/2020	180.0000
11/25/2020	80.0000
11/26/2020	64.2857
11/27/2020	34.6154

## 2. Throughput Analysis:

- Using Common Table Expressions Query the data no of jobs, sum of time spent as total\_time from job\_data table.
- Group the results by ds.
- In the main query. calculated the 7-day rolling average for throughput (no of jobs per second).
- Using window functions fetch the sum of jobs/sum of total time for 6 preceding and current row of ds column and rounded to 2 digits from CTE table.

**Insights:** Rolling Average gives better idea, as it considers the previous data and is capable of projecting future insights. I prefer rolling average to daily metrics as rolling average in time series data to analyze trends, especially when short-term fluctuations can hide a longer-term trend or cycle.

```

14 • #throughput rolling average.
15 • WITH CTE AS (
16     SELECT ds, COUNT(job_id) AS num_jobs, SUM(time_spent) AS total_time_spent
17 FROM job_data
18 GROUP BY ds)
19 SELECT ds,
20     SUM(num_jobs) OVER (ORDER BY ds ROWS BETWEEN 6 PRECEDING AND CURRENT ROW)
21     / SUM(total_time_spent) OVER (ORDER BY ds ROWS BETWEEN 6 PRECEDING AND CURRENT ROW) AS rolling_avg_7day
22 FROM CTE;

```

ds	rolling_avg_7day
11/25/2020	0.0222
11/26/2020	0.0198
11/27/2020	0.0146
11/28/2020	0.0210
11/29/2020	0.0233
11/30/2020	0.0268

### 3. Language Share Analysis:

- Calculated the percentage share of each language for a span of 30 days.

```
25 • SELECT
26     language,
27     COUNT(*) AS lang_count,
28     ROUND((COUNT(*) * 100 / (SELECT
29         COUNT(*)
30         FROM
31             job_data)),
32     2) AS Percentage_language
33 FROM
34     job_data
35 WHERE
36     ds <= '11/30/2020' AND ds >= '11/1/2020'
37 GROUP BY language;
38
39
```

Result Grid	Filter Rows:	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:
language	lang_count	Percentage_language	
English	1	12.50	
Arabic	1	12.50	
Persian	3	37.50	
Hindi	1	12.50	
French	1	12.50	
Italian	1	12.50	

### 4. Duplicate Rows Detection:

- With this query detected the duplicate rows, as there are no duplicate rows in the data, results are empty. But the same approach can be used for the duplicate row's detection.

```
37 # Duplicate detection in a table.
38 • SELECT
39     ds, job_id, actor_id, COUNT(*) AS dup_count
40 FROM
41     job_data
42 GROUP BY ds , job_id , actor_id
43 HAVING COUNT(*) > 1;
44
45
46
47
48
49
```

Result Grid	Filter Rows:	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:
ds	job_id	actor_id	dup_count

## Case Study 2: Investigating Metric Spike

### 1. Weekly User Engagement:

- Use database user\_engagement.
- From the events table, consider the data where event type is not sign-up flow.
- Query the engagement count and calculate week of occurred\_at as week\_num using week function.
- Group and order the results by week\_num.

**Insights:** Analyzing the weekly user engagement. With highest engagement in week 19 and lowest in week 35. This insight will further help in the analysis of weeks with lesser engagement.

```
1 • use user_engagement;
2 • select * from events;
3 #weekly user engagement
4 • SELECT
5     COUNT(event_name) AS engagement_cnt,
6     WEEK(occurred_at) AS week_num
7 FROM
8     events
9 WHERE
10    event_type != 'signup_flow'
11    group by week_num order by engagement_cnt desc;
```

Result Grid | Filter Rows: | Export: | Wrap Cell Content: |

engagement_cnt	week_num
17224	19
16344	21
16075	30
15999	22
15415	28
15075	29
14884	27
14839	26
14807	24
14570	23
14429	25
13584	31
11921	32
11342	33
10902	34
8019	17
365	35

## 2. User Growth Analysis:

- Created CTE for users table and filtered the data only for active users using where condition.
- to query the count of user\_id as user\_growth, monthname and quarter from the created\_at column using the respective functions.
- From CTE, query the User growth, user\_growth\_metrics i.e., difference in growth compared to previous month.

### Insights:

- From the previous insights there is a fluctuation in the consecutive weeks and a major drop in user engagement from week 34-35. Which raised the question, is it because of the drop in newly created users?
- With this insight we will calculate the user growth and decrease monthly as weekly data is more, analyzing each week will be difficult.

```
--
27 #user growth for product monthly
28 with cte as(
29     SELECT
30         COUNT(user_id) AS users_created,
31         quarter(created_at) as quarter_of_the_yr,
32         monthname(created_at) AS month_of_the_yr
33     FROM
34         users
35     where state="active"
36     group by quarter_of_the_yr,month_of_the_yr
37 )
38 select quarter_of_the_yr,month_of_the_yr,users_created,users_created-LAG(users_created) over() as usr_growth_metrics from cte;
```

Result Grid | Filter Rows: | Export: | Wrap Cell Content: |

	quarter_of_the_yr	month_of_the_yr	users_created	usr_growth_metrics
1	1	January	712	NULL
1	1	February	685	-27
1	1	March	765	80
2	2	April	907	142
2	2	May	993	86
2	2	June	1086	93
3	3	July	1281	195
3	3	August	1347	66
3	3	September	330	-1017
4	4	October	390	60
4	4	November	399	9
4	4	December	486	87

## 3. Weekly Retention Analysis:

- In the sub query m and n, calculated the login week and first login from events table

- Which might result in user engagement drop.

[illegible]

Week 33	Week 34	Week 35
82	77	5
67	4	0
2	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0
0	0	0

#### 4.Weekly Engagement Per Device

- From events table, filter the results where event\_type is not sign-up flow and event, and name is login.
- Using CTE, query count(device) as device user per week, distinct count of users, week num.
- Group the results weekly and by device.
- Order the results by engagement per user in desc.
- From CTE calculate the avg engagement per user, avg weekly device usage.

**Insights:** From this analysis, I've found that mac book pro users have more engagement , while Samsung tablet has the least.

```

113
114 #weekly engagement per device
115 with cte as(
116     select device, count(device) as device_used_per_week, count(distinct user_id) as engagement_per_user ,
117     week(occurred_at) as Week_of_year from events
118     where event_type != "signup_flow" and event_name= "login"
119     group by Week_of_year, device
120     order by engagement_per_user desc
121 )
122 select device, avg(device_used_per_week) as avg_device_usage_weekly ,
123 avg(engagement_per_user) as avg_engagement_user_weekly from cte
124 group by device;
125

```

device	avg_device_usage_weekly	avg_engagement_user_weekly
macbook pro	293.2632	219.2632
lenovo thinkpad	188.9474	144.0000
macbook air	131.4737	101.7895
iphone 5	136.8333	108.7778
nexus 5	82.4737	65.0526
dell inspiron notebook	100.3684	76.4737
samsung galaxy s4	91.0000	73.6842
iphone 5s	80.9444	63.6111
ipad air	48.9444	42.7778
dell inspiron desktop	50.9474	39.1579
iphone 4s	47.7368	37.8421
acer aspire notebook	45.6316	36.3684
hp pavilion desktop	47.2778	36.6111
asus chromebook	46.4737	35.8421
nexus 7	35.7222	31.3333
nexus 10	27.6667	24.3333

nokia lumia 635	30.0526	23.8421
ipad mini	27.2632	24.1579
kindle fire	20.8947	17.6316
acer aspire desktop	27.3158	21.8421
htc one	22.0000	17.7368
windows surface	16.0000	14.2632
mac mini	23.1579	17.8947
samsung galaxy note	14.1667	11.3889
amazon fire phone	11.0000	8.8889
samsung galaxy tablet	8.2778	7.5556

## 5.Email Engagement Analysis:

- From sub query calculated the distinct count of users.
- Using if function calculated sum of users based on the action from events table.
- In the main query, calculated the weekly growth for each action using the window function.

**Insights:** Overall picture of email engagement metrics is observed., And metrics seems to be in the positive side as the no of weekly digest emails sent increased weekly so as the email open and email clickthrough.



```

143 #email engagement metrics:
144 • Select
145 week,
146 num_users,
147 time_weekly_digest_sent,
148 time_weekly_digest_sent-lag(time_weekly_digest_sent) over(order by week) as time_weekly_digest_sent_growth,
149 time_email_open,time_email_open-lag(time_email_open) over(order by week) as time_email_open_growth,
150 time_email_clickthrough,time_email_clickthrough-lag(time_email_clickthrough) over(order by week) as time_email_clickthrough_growth
151 From
152 (select week(occurred_at)as week,
153 count(distinct user_id) as num_users,
154 sum(if(action='sent_weekly_digest',1,0)) as time_weekly_digest_sent,
155 sum(if(action='email_open',1,0)) as time_email_open,
156 sum(if(action='email_clickthrough',1,0)) as time_email_clickthrough
157 from email_events
158 group by 1

```

	week	num_users	time_weekly_digest_sent	time_weekly_digest_sent_growth	time_email_open	time_email_open_growth	time_email_clickthrough	time_email_clickthrough_growth
17	981	908	NULL		310	NULL	166	NULL
18	2714	2602	1694		912	602	430	264
19	2787	2665	63		972	60	477	47
20	2874	2733	68		1004	32	507	30
21	2926	2822	89		1014	10	443	-64
22	3029	2911	89		987	-27	488	45
23	3134	3003	92		1075	88	538	50
24	3254	3105	102		1155	80	554	16
25	3343	3207	102		1096	-59	530	-24
26	3439	3302	95		1165	69	556	26

	week	num_users	time_weekly_digest_sent	time_weekly_digest_sent_growth	time_email_open	time_email_open_growth	time_email_clickthrough	time_email_clickthrough_growth
26	3439	3302	95		1165	69	556	26
27	3543	3399	97		1228	63	621	65
28	3641	3499	100		1250	22	599	-22
29	3734	3592	93		1219	-31	590	-9
30	3866	3706	114		1383	164	630	40
31	3950	3793	87		1351	-32	445	-185
32	4023	3897	104		1337	-14	418	-27
33	4200	4012	115		1432	95	490	72
34	4294	4111	99		1528	96	490	0
35	48	0	-4111		41	-1487	38	-452

## Result:

- Gained the confidence in writing window functions, CTE.
- Wherever possible tried to reduce the query execution time for each question by including where clause to filter the data as this data set is huge, grouping the results to reduce the row size.
- Avoided writing Subqueries as much as possible.