## Gdańsk University of Technology

Faculty of Applied Physics and Mathematics

## Adam Śpiewak

Nr albumu: 132528

# Generalizations of Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem

Praca magisterska na kierunku MATEMATYKA

Praca wykonana pod kierunkiem **prof. dra hab. inż. Wojciecha Bartoszka** Katedra Rachunku Prawdopodobieństwa i Biomatematyki

## Oświadczenie kierujcego prac

Potwierdzam, że niniejsza praca została przygotowana pod moim kierunkiem i kwalifikuje si do przedstawienia jej w postpowaniu o nadanie tytułu zawodowego.

Data

Podpis kierujcego prac

## Oświadczenie autora (autorów) pracy

Świadom odpowiedzialności prawnej oświadczam, że niniejsza praca dyplomowa została napisana przeze mnie samodzielnie i nie zawiera treści uzyskanych w sposób niezgodny z obowizujcymi przepisami.

Oświadczam również, że przedstawiona praca nie była wcześniej przedmiotem procedur zwizanych z uzyskaniem tytułu zawodowego w wyższej uczelni.

Oświadczam ponadto, że niniejsza wersja pracy jest identyczna z załczon wersj elektroniczn.

Data

Podpis autora (autorów) pracy

### Abstract

W pracy przedstawiono klasyczne twierdzenie ergodyczne Wienera-Wintnera wraz z licznymi rozszerzeniami.

## Słowa kluczowe

teoria ergodyczna

## Dziedzina pracy (kody wg programu Socrates-Erasmus)

11.1 Matematyka

## Klasyfikacja tematyczna

37 Dynamical systems and ergodic theory 37A Ergodic theory 37A30 Ergodic theorems, spectral theory, Markov operators

## Thesis title in Polish

Rozszerzenia twierdzenia ergodycznego Wienera-Wintnera

# Contents

In	troduction	5
1.	Preliminaries	7
	1.1. Measure theory	7
	1.2. Topology	8
	1.3. Functional analysis	
2.	Introduction to ergodic theory	15
	2.1. Measurable dynamical systems	15
	2.2. Topological dynamical systems	15
	2.3. von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem	15
3.	Wiener-Wintner theorems for deterministic transformations	17
	3.1. Bourgain's uniform Wiener-Wintner theorem	18
	3.2. Proof of Wiener-Wintner Ergodic Theorem	22
4.	Ergodic theory for operators	25
<b>5.</b>	Wiener-Wintner theorem for operator semigroups	27
Bi	bliography	29

# Introduction

Twierdzenie ergodycznie Wienera-Wintnera jest bardzo ważne. Bardzo bardzo ważne.

## **Preliminaries**

In this chapter we introduce basic notations, concepts and theorems from measure theory, topology and functional analysis which will be used through the thesis. We omit most of the proofs.

By  $\mathbb{N}$  we will denote set of positive natural numbers, by  $\mathbb{N}_0$  - set of natural numbers together with zero, by  $\mathbb{Z}$  - set of integers, by  $\mathbb{R}$  - set of real numbers, by  $\mathbb{C}$  - set of complex numbers and by  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{S}^1 = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = 1\}$  - circle on a complex plane (1-dimensional torus).

## 1.1. Measure theory

complex measure and integral?! finite and  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces miara Lebesgue'a m miary produktowe twierdzenie fubiniego a.e. convergence lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem spaces  $\mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$  and  $L^1(\mu)$  absolute continuity and Radon-Nikodym theorem

**Definition 1.1** Let X be nonempty set. Family of sets  $A \subset 2^X$  is called  $\sigma$ -field (or  $\sigma$ -algebra), when the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ ,
- (ii)  $A \in \mathcal{A} \Longrightarrow A' \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

(iii) 
$$A_n \in \mathcal{A}$$
 for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \Longrightarrow \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Pair (X, A) is called a measurable space. Set  $A \in A$  is called a measurable set.

**Definition 1.2** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  be a measurable space. Function  $\mu : \mathcal{A} \to [0, +\infty]$  is called a **(non-negative) measure** if it satisfies the following properties:

(i) 
$$\mu(\emptyset) = 0$$
,

(ii)  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mu(A_n)$  for any countable collection of measurable sets  $A_n\in\mathcal{A},\ n\in\mathbb{N}_0$ .

Triple  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  is called a **measure space**.

**Definition 1.3** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(Y, \mathcal{C})$  be measurable spaces. A map  $T: X \to Y$  is called a **measurable map** if it satisfies  $T^{-1}(C) \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 1.4** Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. An element  $x \in X$  is called an **atom** (of the measure  $\mu$ ) if  $\mu(\{x\}) > 0$ . The measure  $\mu$  is called **continuous** if it has no atoms, i.e.  $\bigvee_{x \in X} \mu(\{x\}) = 0$ .

**Remark** Note that a finite measure  $\mu$  can have only countably many atoms. To see that observe that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  a set  $A_{\varepsilon} := \{x \in X : \mu(\{x\}) > \epsilon\}$  must have at most  $\frac{\mu(X)}{\varepsilon}$  elements (otherwise we would have  $\mu(X) > \frac{\mu(X)}{\varepsilon} \cdot \varepsilon = \mu(X)$ ), hence must be finite. This shows that the set of atoms  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{\frac{1}{n}}$  must be countable. Also, there is  $\sum_{x \in A} \mu(\{x\}) \le \mu(X) < \infty$ .

## 1.2. Topology

topological space metric space continuous map compact space, complete metric space Urysohn lemma Borel measures

## 1.3. Functional analysis

remove  $\hat{\sigma}(-n)$ ? convergence of geometric series on crircle dual space Riesz theorem (Hilbert spaces) Banach and Hilbert conjugate Riesz-Markov theorem

In the following we will always assume that vector spaces are taken over field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.5** Let E be a vector space. We say that a function  $\|\cdot\|: E \to [0, \infty)$  is a **norm**, if for all  $x, y \in E$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $||x|| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,
- (iii)  $||x + y|| \le ||x|| + ||y||$ .

Vector space E equipped with a norm is called a **normed space**.

**Remark** Note that a norm gives rise to a metric on E by d(x,y) = ||x-y||. This metric generates a topology on E which is considered as a standard topology on E. Convergence in this metric is called convergence in norm (or strong convergence) and is sometimes denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} x$  or  $x_n \xrightarrow{E} x$ .

**Definition 1.6** Let E be a normed space. If E is complete as a metric space, then E is called a **Banach space**.

Example 1.1 ( $\mathcal{L}^p$  and  $L^p$  spaces)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  consider the vector space

$$\mathscr{L}^p(X,\mathcal{A},\mu) := \left\{ f: X \to \mathbb{C}; \ f \ \text{is measurable and} \ \int\limits_X |f|^p d\mu < \infty 
ight\}.$$

Define an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  by  $f \sim g$  if  $f = g \mu$  a.e. Let

$$L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) := \mathcal{L}^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) / \sim$$
.

Spaces  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  are considered with norm  $||f||_{L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)} := \left(\int\limits_X |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  with which they become Banach spaces. Usually we will abbreviate  $L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  to  $L^p(\mu)$  or  $L^p$  and  $||\cdot||_{L^p(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)}$  to  $||\cdot||_{L^p(\mu)}$  or  $||\cdot||_p$ .

### Example 1.2 (Space C(X))

Let X be a compact metric space. Denote by C(X) set of all complex valued continuous functions on X. C(X) is a Banach space with norm  $||f||_{\sup} = ||f||_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ ,  $f \in C(X)$ . Suppose that there is a finite Borel nonegative measure  $\mu$  on X. Any function  $f \in C(X)$  is bounded, hence integrable with any power  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , which means that  $C(X) \subset \mathcal{L}^p(\mu)$  and C(X) can be embedded into  $L^p(\mu)$ . Therefore, space C(X) can be naturally seen as a linear subspace of space  $L^p(\mu)$  (with identification of functions equal  $\mu$  a.e.).

**Proposition 1.1** Let X be a compact metric space and  $\mu$  be a finite nonegative Borel measure on X. Then C(X) is dense in  $L^p(\mu)$  (in  $L^p(\mu)$  norm) for every  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

**Proof:** CZY DOWÓD?

**Definition 1.7** Let H be a vector space. A function  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : H \times H \to \mathbb{C}$  is called a **inner product** if for all  $x, y, z \in H$  the following conditions are satisified:

- (i)  $\langle x, x \rangle > 0$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$ ,
- (iii)  $\langle \lambda x, y \rangle = \lambda \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,

(iv) 
$$\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$$
.

Vector space H with inner product is called **inner product space**.

**Remark** Inner product space is a normed space with a norm  $||x|| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ .

**Definition 1.8** Inner product space H which is a Banach space is called a **Hilbert space**.

## Example 1.3 (Space $L^2(\mu)$ )

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a measure space. The space  $L^2(\mu)$  with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle := \int_X f \overline{g} d\mu$  is a Hilbert space. Note that the inner product norm coincides with norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mu)}$  from Example 1.2.

#### **Proposition 1.2** (Cauchy–Schwarz inequality)

Let H be an inner product space. The following inequality holds for all  $x, y \in H$ :

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \le ||x|| ||y||.$$

**Remark** Cauchy-Schwarz inequality implies that inner product is a continuous map in each variable.

**Definition 1.9** Let H be an inner product space. Two vectors  $x,y \in H$  are said to be **orthogonal** if  $\langle x,y \rangle = 0$ . We denote that fact by  $x \perp y$ . For a set  $H_0 \subset H$  its **orthogonal** complement is a set  $H_0^{\perp} := \left\{ x \in H : \bigvee_{h \in H_0} \langle h, x \rangle = 0 \right\}$ .

**Remark** If  $H_0$  is a linear subspace of H, then  $H_0^{\perp}$  is a closed linear subspace of H. Closedness of  $H_0^{\perp}$  is a consequence of continuity of the inner product.

**Definition 1.10** Let E, F be normed spaces. A linear transformation  $U: E \to F$  is called a **bounded linear operator** if there exists M>0 such that  $\bigvee_{x\in E}\|Ux\|\leq M\|x\|$ . Constant  $\|U\|:=\sup_{\|x\|\leq 1}\|Ux\|$  is called a **operator norm** of U. If  $\|U\|\leq 1$  then U is called a **contraction**. If  $\bigvee_{x\in E}\|Ux\|=\|x\|$  then U is called an **isometry**. Note that an isometry is always a contraction.

**Remark** Linear operator  $U: E \to F$  between normed spaces is continuous if and only if it's bounded. Space L(E, F) of all bounded linear operators with the operator norm is a normed space. L(E, F) is a Banach space if and only if F is a Banach space.

**Definition 1.11** Let E be a normed space and let  $U: E \to E$  be a bounded linear operator. Number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an **eigenvalue** if there is a vector  $x \in E$ ,  $x \neq 0$  such that  $Ux = \lambda x$ . Any such vector x is called an **eigenvector** (associated with  $\lambda$ ). The closed linear subspace  $H_{\lambda} = \{x \in H : Ux = \lambda x\}$  is called an **eigenspace** (of  $\lambda$ ).

**Theorem 1.1** (Orthogonal Projection Theorem [Rudin, lemma 12.4]) Let  $H_0$  be a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space H. Then

$$H = H_0 \oplus H_0^{\perp},$$

i.e. for every  $x \in H$  there are unique  $x_0 \in H_0$ ,  $x_1 \in H_0^{\perp}$  such that  $x = x_0 + x_1$ . Moreover, transformation  $P: H \to H$  given by  $P(x) = x_0$  is a bounded linear operator with  $||P|| \le 1$  and  $P \circ P = P$ . Operator P is called an **orthogonal projection** on subspace  $H_0$ .

We will now introduce basic facts from spectral theory for isometries on Hilbert spaces.

**Remark** Let H be a complex inner product space. Then bounded linear operator  $U: H \to H$  is an isometry if and only if  $\forall X, y \in H \ \langle Ux, Uy \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

**Definition 1.12** Sequence  $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  of complex numbers is called **positive definite** if for every sequence  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$  of complex numbers and every  $N\in\mathbb{N}_0$  we have  $\sum_{n,m=0}^N r_{n-m}a_n\overline{a_m}\geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.3** Let  $U: H \to H$  be an isometry on Hilbert space H. For a vector  $x \in H$  define  $r_n := \langle U^n x, x \rangle$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $r_n := \overline{r_{-n}} = \langle x, U^n x \rangle$  for n < 0. The sequence  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is positive definite.

**Proof:** Note first that for  $n \geq m$  we have  $r_{n-m} = \langle U^{n-m}x, \underline{x} \rangle = \langle U^n x, U^m \underline{x} \rangle$  (since U is an isometry) and for n < m we also have  $r_{n-m} = \overline{r_{m-n}} = \overline{\langle U^{m-n}x, x \rangle} = \overline{\langle U^m x, U^n x \rangle} = \overline{\langle U^m x, U^m x \rangle}$ . Compute now

$$\sum_{n,m=0}^{N} r_{n-m} a_n \overline{a_m} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{N} \langle U^n x, U^m x \rangle a_n \overline{a_m} = \sum_{n,m=0}^{N} \langle a_n U^n x, a_m U^m x \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{N} \langle a_n U^n x, \sum_{m=0}^{N} a_m U^m x \rangle = \langle \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n U^n x, \sum_{m=0}^{N} a_m U^m x \rangle = \| \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n U^n x \|^2 \ge 0.$$

$$(1.1)$$

**Theorem 1.2** (Herglotz's theorem [Lemańczyk, thm. 2.3])

Let  $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be positive definite sequence. There exists unique non-negative finite Borel measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$r_n = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma(z) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (1.2)

Conversly, for every non-negative finite Borel measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}$ , sequence  $r_n$  defined by (1.2) is positive definite.

**Definition 1.13** Let  $\sigma$  be a non-negiative finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Then the number

$$\hat{\sigma}(n) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma(z), \ n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

is called the **n-th Fourier coefficient** of the measure  $\sigma$ . Note that the sequence  $\hat{\sigma}(n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is positive definite and  $\hat{\sigma}(-n) = \overline{\hat{\sigma}(n)}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

#### Corollary 1.1 (Spectral measure)

Let  $U: H \to H$  be an isometry on Hilbert space H. For every vector  $x \in H$  there exists unique non-negative finite Borel measure  $\sigma_x$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\langle U^n x, x \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma_x(z) \quad and \quad \langle x, U^n x \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{-n} d\sigma_x(z) \quad for \ all \ n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The measure  $\sigma_x$  is called a **spectral measure** of an element x.

**Proposition 1.4** Let  $U: H \to H$  be an isometry on Hilbert space H. For every  $x \in H$  and finite sequence  $(a_n)_{n=0}^N$  of complex numbers the following equality holds:

$$\|\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n U^n x\|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n z^n|^2 d\sigma_x(z) = \|\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n z^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}), \sigma_x)}^2.$$

**Proof:** For sequence  $(r_n)_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  like in Proposition 1.3, we have by equalities (1.1) and (1.2)

$$\|\sum_{n=0}^N a_n U^n x\|^2 = \sum_{n,m=0}^N r_{n-m} a_n \overline{a_m} = \sum_{n,m=0}^N a_n \overline{a_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^{n-m} d\sigma_x(z) = \sum_{n,m=0}^N a_n \overline{a_m} \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n \overline{z^m} d\sigma_x(z) = \sum_{n,m=0}^N a_n \overline{z^m$$

$$=\sum_{n=0}^N a_n\int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} z^n (\sum_{m=0}^N \overline{a_m z^m}) d\sigma_x(z) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} \sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n (\sum_{m=0}^N \overline{a_m z^m}) d\sigma_x(z) = \int\limits_{\mathbb{T}} |\sum_{n=0}^N a_n z^n|^2 d\sigma_x(z).$$

In order to prove Wiener's Criterion of Continuity, we need the following lemma (also due to Wiener):

Lemma 1.1 (Wiener, [Lemańczyk, lemma 1.16])

Let  $\sigma$  be a finite non-negative Borel measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Denote by  $\{a_1, a_2, ...\}$  a set of all atoms of measure  $\sigma$ . Then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2 = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(-n)|^2 = \sum_{m \ge 1} \sigma(\{a_m\})^2.$$

**Proof:** Note first, that since  $\hat{\sigma}(n) = \overline{\hat{\sigma}(-n)}$ , limits  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2$  and  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(-n)|^2$  must be equal if they exists. Note further, that since measure  $\sigma$  is finite, series  $\sum_{m \ge 1} \sigma(\{a_m\})^2$  must be convergent (we know that  $\sum_{m \ge 1} \sigma(\{a_m\}) < \infty$  and only for finitely many  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  there can be  $\sigma(\{a_m\}) \ge 1$ ). Observe that by Fubini's Theorem we have

$$|\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2 = \hat{\sigma}(n)\overline{\hat{\sigma}(n)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n d\sigma(z) \overline{\int_{\mathbb{T}} w^n d\sigma(w)} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} z^n \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \overline{w}^n d\sigma(w) \right) d\sigma(z) =$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{T}} (z\overline{w})^n d\sigma \otimes \sigma(z, w),$$

and further

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (z\overline{w})^n d\sigma \otimes \sigma(z, w).$$
 (1.3)

For  $z,w\in\mathbb{T}$  we have also  $z\overline{w}\in\mathbb{T}$  and  $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(z\overline{w})^n=\mathbbm{1}_{\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{T}^2:\ z\overline{w}=1\}}(z,w)=\mathbbm{1}_{\Delta}(z,w),$  where  $\Delta=\{(z,w)\in\mathbb{T}^2:\ z=w\}.$  Since  $|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}(z\overline{w})^n|\leq \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|(z\overline{w})^n|=1,$  we have by Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (z\overline{w})^n d\sigma \otimes \sigma(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\Delta}(z, w) d\sigma \otimes \sigma(z, w). \tag{1.4}$$

By Fubini's Theorem we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{1}_{\Delta}(z, w) d\sigma \otimes \sigma(z, w) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{1}_{\Delta}(z, w) d\sigma(w) \right) d\sigma(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{1}_{\{z\}}(w) d\sigma(w) \right) d\sigma(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \sigma(\{z\}) d\sigma(z) = \int_$$

what combined with (1.3) and (1.4) completes the proof.  $\square$ 

#### Corollary 1.2 (Wiener's Criterion of Continuity)

Non-negative finite Borel measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is continuous if and only if  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2 = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(-n)|^2 = 0.$ 

**Remark** Recall the following inequality: for any  $y_1, ..., y_N \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$(\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k)^2 \le N \sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k^2. \tag{1.5}$$

It can be seen by the following computation:

$$N\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k^2 - (\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k)^2 = N\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k^2 - (\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k^2 + 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} y_i y_j) =$$

$$= (N-1)\sum_{k=1}^{N} y_k^2 - 2\sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} y_i y_j = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} (y_i - y_j)^2 \ge 0.$$

From (1.5) we can obtain another

#### Corollary 1.3

If non-negative finite Borel measure  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is continuous, then  $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|\hat{\sigma}(n)|=0$ .

**Proof:** By Corollary 1.2 we have  $\lim_{N\to\infty}\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2=0$  and by (1.5) we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|\hat{\sigma}(n)|\right)^2\leq \frac{1}{N^2}\left(N\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2\right)=\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|\hat{\sigma}(n)|^2\overset{N\to\infty}{\longrightarrow}0.$$

By the continuity of function  $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto x^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  we have also  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\hat{\sigma}(n)| = 0$ .  $\square$ 

After establishing von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem in next chapter, we will be able to prove another important lemma about spectral measures.

## Introduction to ergodic theory

## 2.1. Measurable dynamical systems

measure preserving system

ergodic system and equivalences (at least invariant functions are constant)

Birkhoff theorem (for measure preserving systems) and note about using  $L^1$  and  $\mathcal{L}^1$  system  $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}), m, R_{\lambda})$ 

product systems

product of m.p.s. systems is m.p.s

Koopman operator - isometry, properties of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions

## 2.2. Topological dynamical systems

## 2.3. von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem

In this section we state and prove von Neumann's (Mean) Ergodic Theorem, which can be seen as a first operator theoretic type ergodic theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** (von Neumann's Ergodic Theorem [Weber, thm. 1.3.1])

Let  $U: H \to H$  be a contraction on a complex Hilbert space H. Then for every  $f \in H$  there is a convergence

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} U^n f = Pf,$$

where  $P: H \to H$  is an orthogonal projection to a closed subspace of U-invariant vectors  $H_U = \{g \in H : Ug = g\}$ . Moreover, there is

$$H = H_U \oplus H_0$$
,

where  $H_0 = \overline{\{g - Ug : g \in H\}}$ .

Proof: DOWÓD!

Note that this proof doesn't require use of spectral theory, although there is a simpler proof for unitary U using spectral theorem ([Rudin, thm. 12.44]). In the following lemma we will inverse this relationship and make use of von Neumann's theorem in spectral theory.

#### Lemma 2.1

Let  $U: H \to H$  be an isometry on Hilbert space H and take  $f \in H$ . Then  $\sigma_f(\{\lambda\}) = \|P_{\lambda}f\|^2$ , where  $\sigma_f$  denotes spectral measure of f and  $P_{\lambda}$  is an orthogonal projection to the  $H_{\lambda}$  - the eigenspace of  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Proof:** Note that operator is  $V: H \to H$  given by  $V:=\overline{\lambda}U$  is also an isometry, since  $\langle Vf, Vg \rangle = \langle \overline{\lambda}Uf, \overline{\lambda}Ug \rangle = \overline{\lambda}\lambda \langle Uf, Ug \rangle = |\lambda|^2 \langle f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ . By von Neumann's Theorem we have that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \overline{\lambda}^n U^n f = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} V^n f \longrightarrow Qf,$$

where Q is an orthogonal projection on a subspace  $\{f \in H : Vf = f\} = \{f \in H : \overline{\lambda}Uf = f\} = \{f \in H : Uf = \lambda f\} = H_{\lambda}$ , so  $Q = P_{\lambda}$ . No we have

$$\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\overline{\lambda}^{n}U^{n}f\|^{2} \to \|P_{\lambda}f\|^{2}, \tag{2.1}$$

but from Proposition 1.4 we have also

$$\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\overline{\lambda}^{n}U^{n}f\|^{2} = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\overline{\lambda}^{n}z^{n}|^{2}d\sigma_{f}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^{n}|^{2}d\sigma_{f}(z). \tag{2.2}$$

Note that for every  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  we have  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^n \to \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda\}}(z)$ , hence  $|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^n|^2 \to |\mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda\}}(z)|^2 = \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda\}}(z)$ . Since  $|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda}\right)^n|^2 \le \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\frac{z}{\lambda}|^n\right)^2 = 1$ , we can make use of Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem and obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{z}{\lambda} \right)^n \right|^2 d\sigma_f(z) \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\lambda\}}(z) d\sigma_f(z) = \sigma_f(\{\lambda\}). \tag{2.3}$$

Putting together (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) finishes the proof.  $\square$ 

Note that this lemma connects notions of spectral measure and eigenfunctions.

# Wiener-Wintner theorems for deterministic transformations

why take f only from  $\mathcal{K}^{\perp}$  in Bourgain

In this chapter we introduce and prove pointwise Wiener-Wintner type theorems. We start with stating classical Wiener-Wintner theorem, which is a modification of Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem. It was originally stated by Wiener and Wintner in 1941 ([WW]).

**Theorem 3.1** (Wiener-Wintner ergodic theorem, [Assani, thm. 2.3])

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be an ergodic dynamical system and fix function  $f \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu)$ . There exists a measurable set  $X_f$  of full measure  $(\mu(X_f) = 1)$  such that for each  $x \in X_f$  the averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x) \tag{3.1}$$

converge for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Remark** Note that for a fixed  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  it is easy to achieve a.e. converengce in (3.1). Take a product system  $(X \times \mathbb{T}, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}), \mu \otimes m, T \times R_{\lambda})$  and observe that it is measure preserving since both  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  and  $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}), m, R_{\lambda})$  are measure preserving. Define a function  $g: X \times \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$  by  $g(x, \omega) = \omega f(x)$ . We have  $g \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mu \otimes m)$  since, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{X\times\mathbb{T}} |g(x,\omega)| d\mu \otimes m(x,\omega) = \int_{X\times\mathbb{T}} |\omega| |f(x)| d\mu \otimes m(x,\omega) = \int_{X\times\mathbb{T}} |f(x)| d\mu \otimes m(x,\omega) =$$

$$= \int_{X} |f(x)| d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

By Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem the averages

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(T^n x, R_{\lambda}^n \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} g(T^n x, \lambda^n \omega) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \omega \lambda^n f(T^n x)$$

converge for  $\mu \otimes m$  almost all pairs  $(x, \omega)$  and (since  $\omega \neq 0$ ) also

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x)$$

converge  $\mu \otimes m$  a.e. The last limit is independent from  $\omega$ , so this implies  $\mu$  a.e. convergence of sequence (3.1). Further, for a countable subset  $C \subset \mathbb{T}$ , we can find a set  $X_f$  such that (3.1) is convergent for all  $x \in X_f$  and  $\lambda \in C$  (it is enough to take for  $X_f$  an intersection of countably many sets of full measure on which we have convergence for fixed  $\lambda \in C$ ). This shows that the difficulty in Wiener-Wintner theorem is obtaining a set of full measure on which convergence will hold for all (uncountably many)  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Three proofs of this theorem can be found in [Assani]. We present one of them, which main ingredient is itself a generalization of Wiener-Wintner theorem - its uniform version due to J. Bourgain.

## 3.1. Bourgain's uniform Wiener-Wintner theorem

In order to state the theorem, we need to introduce the notion of Kronecker factor.

#### **Definition 3.1** (Kronecker factor)

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving system and let  $U_T : L^2(\mu) \to L^2(\mu)$  be its Koopman operator on  $L^2(\mu)$ . Kronecker factor  $\mathcal{K} \subset L^2(\mu)$  is a closure (in  $L^2(\mu)$ ) of a linear subspace spanned by eigenfunctions of  $U_T$ , i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} := \overline{\operatorname{span}} \left\{ f \in L^2(\mu) : f \circ T = \lambda f \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Bourgain's uniform Wiener-Wintner theorem [Assani, thm. 2.4]) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be an ergodic dynamical system and  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ . Then for  $\mu$  a.e.  $x \in X$  we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \ \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x) \right| = 0.$$

For the proof of this theorem we'll need two following lemma's:

#### **Lemma 3.1** ([Assani, prop. 2.2])

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be a measure preserving dynamical system. A function  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{K}^{\perp}$  if and only if its spectral measure  $\sigma_f$  is continous.

**Proof:** Fix  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ . Since for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  for its eigenspace  $H_{\lambda}$  we have  $H_{\lambda} \subset \mathcal{K}$  and f is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{K}$ , f must be also orthogonal to  $H_{\lambda}$ . If  $P_{\lambda}$  is an orthogonal projection to  $H_{\lambda}$ , then we have  $P_{\lambda}f = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have  $\sigma_f(\{\lambda\}) = \|P_{\lambda}f\|^2$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ , so  $\sigma_f(\{\lambda\}) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  and the measure  $\sigma_f$  is continuous. Conversly, fix  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  and assume that  $\sigma_f$  is continuous. Then again by Lemma 2.1 we have  $\|P_{\lambda}f\| = 0$ , hence  $f \in H_{\lambda}^{\perp}$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ , so f is orthogonal to every eigenfunction of the operator  $U_T$ . We have (by linearity of the inner product) that f is orthogonal also to span  $\{f \in L^2(\mu) : f \circ T = \lambda f \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and finally (by continuity of the inner product)  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ .  $\square$ 

#### **Lemma 3.2** (Van der Corput inequality, [Weber, thm. 1.7.1])

Let H be a complex Hilbert space. For every finite sequence  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{N-1} \in H$  and integer

 $R \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$  the following inequality holds:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n \right\|^2 \le$$

$$\le \frac{N+R}{N(R+1)} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|x_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{N(R+1)} \sum_{c=1}^{R} (R-c+1) \sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1} (\langle x_{j+c}, x_j \rangle + \langle x_j, x_{j+c} \rangle) \right).$$

If  $H = \mathbb{C}$ , this inequality becomes

$$\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}x_n\right|^2 \le \frac{N+R}{N(R+1)}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}|x_n|^2 + \frac{2}{N(R+1)}\sum_{c=1}^{R}(R-c+1)\Re\left(\sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1}x_j\overline{x_{j+c}}\right)\right).$$

**Proof:** Let's make a convention that  $x_n := 0$  for n < 0 and  $n \ge N$ . Observe that

$$\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r} = (x_0) + (x_0 + x_1) + (x_0 + x_1 + x_2) + \dots + (x_0 + x_1 + \dots + x_R) + (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_{R+1}) + \dots + (x_{N-R-1} + x_{N-R} + \dots + x_{N-1}) + \dots + (x_{N-R} + x_{N-R+1} + \dots + x_{N-1}) + \dots + (x_{N-2} + x_{N-1}) + (x_{N-1}) = (R+1) \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} x_r.$$
(3.2)

Using (3.2) together with inequality (1.5) for  $y_k = \|\frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}\|$ ,  $-R \le k \le N-1$  we obtain

$$\|\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x_n\| = \|\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}\| \le \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \|\frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}\| \le$$

$$\le (N+R)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \|\frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}\|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

and further

$$\left\|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq \frac{N+R}{N^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1}\left\|\frac{1}{R+1}\sum_{r=0}^{R}x_{k+r}\right\|^{2}\right) = \frac{N+R}{N^{2}(R+1)^{2}}\left(\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1}\left\|\sum_{r=0}^{R}x_{k+r}\right\|^{2}\right).$$
(3.3)

Let's write  $[x, y] := \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, x \rangle$ . Now we have (using argument from (3.2))

$$\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \|\sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}\|^2 = \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \langle \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r}, \sum_{r=0}^{R} x_{k+r} \rangle = \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \sum_{s=0}^{R} \sum_{r=0}^{R} \langle x_{k+s}, x_{k+r} \rangle =$$

$$= \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \left( \sum_{r=0}^{R} \|x_{k+r}\|^2 + \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} (\langle x_{k+s}, x_{k+r} \rangle + \langle x_{k+r}, x_{k+s} \rangle) \right) =$$

$$= (R+1) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \|x_n\|^2 + \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}].$$
(3.4)

Since we've made a convetion that  $x_n = 0$  for n < 0 and  $n \ge N$ , we have that  $[x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = 0$  for k+s < 0 or k+s > N-1 or k+r < 0 or k+r > N-1. It implies that it's enough to take the last summation in (3.4) over triples k, s, r with s < r such that  $0 \le k+s \le N-1 \land 0 \le k+r \le N-1$ , which is equivalent to  $-s \le k \le N-s-1 \land -r \le k \le N-r-1$  which is again (since s < r) equivalent to  $-s \le k \le N-r-1$ , so we have

$$\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+r}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+r}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+r}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-1} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+r}] = \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} \sum_{k=-s}^{N-r-$$

Note that the inner sum depends now only on the difference r-s, so by noting that r-s=c for exactly (R-c+1) pairs r,s such that  $0 \le s < r \le R$  (where  $1 \le c \le R$ ) we may continue to obtain

$$\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1} \sum_{0 \le s < r \le R} [x_{k+r}, x_{k+s}] \stackrel{c:=r-s}{=} \sum_{c=1}^{R} (R - c + 1) \sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1} [x_{j+c}, x_j].$$
 (3.5)

Combining together (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) we get to the conclusion

$$\begin{split} \|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}x_n\|^2 &\leq \frac{N+R}{N^2(R+1)^2}(\sum_{k=-R}^{N-1}\|\sum_{r=0}^Rx_{k+r}\|^2) \\ &= \frac{N+R}{N^2(R+1)^2}\left((R+1)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\|x_n\|^2 + \sum_{k=-R}^{N-1}\sum_{0\leq s < r\leq R}[x_{k+r},x_{k+s}]\right) = \\ &= \frac{N+R}{N^2(R+1)^2}\left((R+1)\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\|x_n\|^2 + \sum_{c=1}^R(R-c+1)\sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1}[x_{j+c},x_j]\right) = \\ &= \frac{N+R}{N(R+1)}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}\|x_n\|^2 + \frac{1}{N(R+1)}\sum_{c=1}^R(R-c+1)\sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1}(\langle x_{j+c},x_j\rangle + \langle x_j,x_{j+c}\rangle)\right). \end{split}$$

Inequality for  $H = \mathbb{C}$  is immediate by observing that

$$\langle x_{j+c}, x_j \rangle + \langle x_j, x_{j+c} \rangle = x_{j+c} \overline{x_j} + x_j \overline{x_{j+c}} = 2\Re(x_j \overline{x_{j+c}})$$

and using the linearity of the real part of complex number.  $\square$ 

We will now make use of Van der Corput's inequality for  $H = \mathbb{C}$  to obtain another inequality:

#### Corollary 3.1 ([Assani, cor. 2.1])

For every finite sequence  $u_0, u_1, ..., u_{N-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  and integer  $R \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}$  the following inequality holds:

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n u_n \right|^2 \le \frac{2}{N(R+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^2 + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-r-1} u_n \overline{u_{n+r}} \right|.$$

**Proof:** Fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  and use Lemma 3.2 with  $x_n := \lambda^n u_n$  to obtain

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n u_n \right|^2 \leq \frac{N+R}{N(R+1)} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |\lambda^n u_n|^2 + \frac{2}{N(R+1)} \sum_{c=1}^R (R-c+1) \Re \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1} \lambda^j u_j \overline{\lambda^{j+c} u_{j+c}} \right) \right) \leq \frac{2N}{N(R+1)} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^2 + \frac{2(R+1)}{N(R+1)} \sum_{c=1}^R \Re \left( \sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1} \lambda^j \lambda^{-j-c} u_j \overline{u_{j+c}} \right) \right) \leq \frac{2}{N(R+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^2 + \frac{4}{N(R+1)} \sum_{c=1}^R \left| \lambda^{-c} \sum_{j=0}^{N-c-1} u_j \overline{u_{j+c}} \right| = \frac{2}{N(R+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |u_n|^2 + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^R \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-r-1} u_n \overline{u_{n+r}} \right|.$$

Since the right-hand side of the above inequality is independent from  $\lambda$ , we can take supremum over  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  to finish the proof.  $\square$ 

Now we are ready to give the proof of the Bourgain's uniform Wiener-Wintner theorem.

**Proof:** (of the Theorem 3.2)

Let's fix  $f \in \mathcal{K}^{\perp}$ ,  $x \in X$  and consider the sequence  $u_n := f(T^n x)$ . From Corollary 3.1 we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x) \right|^2 \le \frac{2}{N(R+1)} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |f(T^n x)|^2 + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-r-1} f(T^n x) \overline{f(T^{n+r} x)} \right|$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}, R \leq N-1$ . By Birkhoff's Ergodic Theorem (ŹRÓDŁO!) (note that  $f \in L^2(\mu) \Rightarrow |f| \in L^1(\mu)$ ) we have

$$\lim \sup_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x) \right|^2 \le \frac{2}{R+1} \lim \sup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |f(T^n x)|^2 + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left| \lim \sup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-r-1} f(T^n x) \overline{f(T^{n+r} x)} \right| = \frac{2}{R+1} \int_{X} |f|^2 d\mu + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} \left| \int_{X} f \overline{f \circ T^r} d\mu \right| = \frac{2}{R+1} \int_{X} |f|^2 d\mu + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} |\langle f, U_T^r f \rangle| = \frac{2}{R+1} \int_{X} |f|^2 d\mu + \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)|,$$

$$(3.6)$$

which is valid for every  $R \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Lemma 3.1 we know that the measue  $\sigma_f$  is continuous, so by Wiener's Criterion of Continuity (Corollary 1.3) we have

$$\lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{R}{R+1} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| + \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R+1} (\hat{\sigma_f}(R) - \hat{\sigma_f}(0)) = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R+1} \sum_{r=0}^{R} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| = \lim_{R \to \infty}$$

$$= \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{R}{R+1} \cdot \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| = \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{1}{R} \sum_{r=0}^{R-1} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| = 0,$$

since by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality  $|\hat{\sigma_f}(R)| = |\langle U_T^R f, f \rangle| = \leq ||U_T^R f||_2 ||f||_2 = ||f||_2^2$ . By taking  $\lim_{R \to \infty}$  on both sides of (3.6) (left side is independent from R) we get

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \lambda^n f(T^n x) \right|^2 \le \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{2}{R+1} \int_{X} |f|^2 d\mu + \lim_{R \to \infty} \frac{4}{R+1} \sum_{r=1}^{R} |\hat{\sigma_f}(r)| = 0. \ \Box$$

## 3.2. Proof of Wiener-Wintner Ergodic Theorem

In this section we will prove Wiener-Wintner Ergodic Theorem using Theorem 3.2. In order to do that we need another lemma.

**Lemma 3.3** ([Eisner et al, lemma 21.7])

Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu, T)$  be an ergodic dynamical system and take  $f, f_1, f_2, ... \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $f_n \stackrel{L^1(\mu)}{\longrightarrow} f$ . Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  be a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ . Suppose that for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f_j(T^n x)$$

exists  $\mu$  a.e.. Then also the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x)$$

exists  $\mu$  a.e..

**Proof:** Take  $x \in X$  such that the limits  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |f_j(T^n x)|$ ,  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |(f - f_j)(T^n x)|$ ,  $\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |a_n f_j(T^n x)| =: b_j$  exists. By Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem (ŹRÓDŁO!)  $(f - f_j \in L^1(\mu))$  and the assumptions of the lemma, there is a set of full measure for which these limits exists (just take countable intersection of full measure sets on which there is convergence). Note that  $b_j$  is dependent on x. Since  $f_n \stackrel{L^1(\mu)}{\longrightarrow} f$ ,  $(\|f_j\|_1)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded. Take  $K = \sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_j\|_1$  and  $M = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} |a_n|$ . We have

$$|b_j| = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f_j(T^n x) \le \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} MK = MK,$$

so sequence  $(b_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$  is also bounded, hence it has convergent subsequence  $(b_{j_m})_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\lim_{m\to\infty}b_{j_m}=0$ . Fix  $\varepsilon>0$  and take  $m\in\mathbb{N}$  large enough to have  $|b_{j_m}-b|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and  $||f-f_{j_m}||_1<\frac{\varepsilon}{2M}$ . Now we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) - b \right| \leq \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) - a_n f_{j_m}(T^n x) \right| + \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f_{j_m}(T^n x) - b_{j_m} \right| + |b_{j_m} - b| < \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) - \frac{1}{N}$$

$$<\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}M|f-f_{j_m}|(T^nx)+\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=0}^{N-1}a_nf_{j_m}(T^nx)-b_{j_m}\right|+\frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

hence

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sup_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) - b \right| <$$

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} M |f - f_{j_m}| (T^n x) + \lim_{N \to \infty} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f_{j_m} (T^n x) - b_{j_m} \right| + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} <$$

$$< M \|f - f_{j_m}\|_1 + 0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = M \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2M} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Finally, since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we've got

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} a_n f(T^n x) = b,$$

what completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Proof:** (of the Theorem 3.1)

First let's take  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  being an eigenvalue of the Koopman operator  $U_T$ . We have

# Ergodic theory for operators

# Wiener-Wintner theorem for operator semigroups

## Bibliography

- [Rudin] Walter Rudin, Analiza funkcjonalna, Wydawnictwo Naukowe PWN, 20??
- [Assani] I. Assani, Wiener Wintner Ergodic Theorems, World Scientific, River Edge (2003)
- [Weber] M. Weber, Dynamical Systems and Processes, EMS Publishing House (2009)
- [Bellow] A. Bellow, V. Losert, The weighted pointwise ergodic theorem and the individual ergodic theorem along subsequences, TAMS, vol. 288, no 1 (1985), p. 307 345.
- [Schreiber14] M. Schreiber, Topological Wiener Wintner theorems for amenable operator semigroups, ETDS (2014).
- [Schreiber13] M. Schreiber, Uniform families of ergodic operator nets, Semigroup Forum (2013)
- [WW] N. Wiener, A. Wintner, *Harmonic Analysis and Ergodic Theory*, American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 63, No. 2 (Apr., 1941), pp. 415-426
- [Eisner et al] T. Eisner, B. Farkas, M. Haase, R. Nagel, Operator Theoretic Aspects of Ergodic Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, to appear, http://www.fan.uni-wuppertal.de/fileadmin/mathe/reine\_mathematik/funktionalanalysis/farkas/GTM-master-v82.pdf
- [Lemańczyk] M. Lemańczyk, *Teoria spektralna dla ergodyków*, 2010, script, http://www-users.mat.umk.pl/~mlem/files/Teoria\_spektralna\_dla\_ergodykow.pdf