

- [Overview](#)
 - [References:](#)
 - [Learn GraphQL](#)
 - [Apollo Guideline](#)
 - [GraphQL Visually Explained](#)
 - [Github GraphQL Explorer](#)
 - [Github At Netflix](#)
- [About](#)
 - [Why use GraphQL](#)
 - [When to use GraphQL](#)
- [Guidelines](#)
 - [Naming convention](#)
 - [Do's and Don'ts](#)
 - [Query Resolvers](#)
 - [Filtering a collection resolver](#)
 - [Paging a collection resolver](#)
 - [Ordering a collection resolver](#)
 - [Paged Collection Metadata](#)
 - [Edges Connections and Relationships](#)
- [Query Examples](#)
 - [Holding Balance Sub Positions](#)

1. Overview

The DLT API-Service is backed by a Microsoft SQL Service database referred to as ODS/HDS which is maintain as its own project separate to the API-service with its own release cycle.

1.1. References:

1.1.1. Learn GraphQL

- <https://graphql.org/learn/>

1.1.2. Apollo Guideline

- <https://www.apollographql.com/docs/apollo-server/schema/schema>

1.1.3. GraphQL Visually Explained

- <https://www.apollographql.com/blog/the-concepts-of-graphql-bc68bd819be3/>

1.1.4. Github GraphQL Explorer

- <https://docs.github.com/en/graphql/overview/about-the-graphql-api>
- <https://docs.github.com/en/graphql/overview/explorer>

1.1.5. Github At Netflix

- <https://netflixtechblog.com/how-netflix-scales-its-api-with-graphql-federation-part-1-ae3557c187e2>
- <https://netflixtechblog.com/how-netflix-scales-its-api-with-graphql-federation-part-2-bbe71aaec44a>
- <https://www.infoq.com/news/2021/02/netflix-graphql-spring-boot/>

2. About

2.1. Why use GraphQL

Advantaged	Disadvantages
<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Decouple the relationship between client, server and datastore structures.2. Allow clients to request data in a nested format that is suitable to their needs using dynamic queries.3. Allow the GraphQL schema to abstract the database structure4. Only request and process exactly what is needed no over/under fetching<ol style="list-style-type: none">a. Request only the native / calculated fields requiredb. Request only the relationships wantedc. Access the type graph from any type5. Natively supports reactive queries via subscriptions	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Datasource structure can be difficult to optimize due to dynamic query requirements2. In most cases requires moving the joining/relationship logic from the datasource to GraphQL resolvers3. N+1 database reads if not careful to use dataloaders and query context4. Will generally be less performant than a typical flattened RPC type API backed by explicit tables or views5. Error handling is response content rather than http response code based

2.1.1. When to use GraphQL

When the format and usage requirements of the domain data that will be exposed by the GraphQL API are:

- Are unknown
- Are well known but vary or contradict one structured API
 - client A want all X for Y where X.Z
 - client B want all Y for X where X.Z & Y.T
- You are building a reactive client
- Your data is a natural fit for a graph or tree structure
- You want to avoid the N+1 pitfalls of RESTful APIs and get everything in one transaction.

3. Guidelines

3.1. Naming convention

- **Field names** should use **camelCase**. Many GraphQL clients are written in JavaScript, Java, Kotlin, or Swift, all of which recommend **camelCase** for variable names.
- **Type names** should use **PascalCase**. This matches how classes are defined in the languages mentioned above.
- **Enum names** should use **PascalCase**.
- **Enum values** should use **ALL_CAPS**, because they are similar to constants.

3.2. Do's and Don'ts

Some of these concepts will be explored in more detail below.



A mixture of SQL view driven resolvers and nested resolvers may be used in the DLT api-service, this is due to the complexity of the underlying database and the ledger it is projecting.

	Do	Don't
1	Get everything you need in one query	Make multiple specific queries
2	Build a generalized graph of basic types and nested relationship between them	Build a graph that is aware of how a client will use it specific to that use case
3	Use basic type relationships and filter to access complex relationships	Make query resolvers for specific data if you can use a nested query
	<pre># Get actor roles where type is "registryRelationship" ## Get actors for role where actor id is "1234" ### Get securities where actor is issuer of security by code "ABC" ActorRoles(roleType: "registryRelationship") { Actors(id: "1234") { Securities(code: "ABC", isin: "B00001") { isIssuedByActor isLatestSecurityCode #... } } }</pre>	<pre>issuerRegistrySecurityBySecurityCode (securityCode: "ABC")</pre> <p>Note: This one may be difficult for us while using views created specifically for each report request.</p>

4 Use InputTypes to group common input arguments into a type

```
input SomeInput {
  a:String
  b:String
  c:String
  d:String
  e:String
}

type Query {
  ATypes(input:SomeInput): [SomeType]
  BTypes(input:SomeInput): [SomeType]
  CTypes(input:SomeInput): [SomeType]
  DTypes(input:SomeInput,f:Int): [SomeType]
}
```

Write huge and repeated argument lists

```
type Query {
  ATypes(a:String,b:String,c:String,d:String,e:String): [AType]
  BTypes(a:String,b:String,c:String,d:String,e:String): [BType]
  CTypes(a:String,b:String,c:String,d:String,e:String): [CType]
  DTypes(a:String,b:String,c:String,d:String,e:String,f:Int): [DType]
}
```

5 Use ID to expose a globally unique identifier for a type instance for caching and paging

Have no ID or unique identifier for results

6 Use fragments in queries to define a common set of fields for a type

Repeat fields in queries if it can be avoided

7 Use fragments to up-cast generalized results from a query that returns multiple types:

Make multiple query resolvers for returning different types for the same query function.

Query	Results
<pre>{ search(text: "an") { __typename ... on Human { name } ... on Droid { name } ... on Starship { name } } }</pre>	<pre>{ "data": { "search": [{ "__typename": "Human", "name": "Han Solo" }, { "__typename": "Human", "name": "Leia Organa" }, { "__typename": "Starship", "name": "TIE Advanced x1" }] } }</pre>

8 Use QueryFilter input (see below)

Use ambiguous arguments to filter a collection

```
Users(fiter: UserFilterInput)
```

```
Users(name: String, age: Int, createdAt: {
  before: LocalDate, after: LocalDate })
```

9 Wrap collection results in a Results Wrapper that contain results and paging metadata

Not expose paging metadata to client

10 Use default paging on all collection results, and a PageFilter argument to allow the client to control

Return all results by default this could lead to performance issues or timeouts

11 Use predictable default ordering on all collection results, and an OrderBy argument to allow the client to control

Return results in inconsistent or unpredictable order

3.3. Query Resolvers

In general there should be at most two query resolvers for a type, avoid making resolvers for specific filters of a collection type, we will use input types for this.

Types can contain their own query resolvers for related types also, this will be shown later.

Querying

```
schema {
  query: Query
}

# An object with a Globally Unique ID
interface Node {
  # The ID of the object.
  id: ID!
}

type User implements Node {
  id: ID!
  username: String!
  age: Int
  createdAt: LocalDate
}

"Query root"
type Query {
  UserById(id: ID!): User
  Users: [User]
}

"Built-in scalar representing a local date"
scalar LocalDate
```

3.4. Filtering a collection resolver

By using a UserFilterInput object the client can describe how they want to filter the results dynamically using the same type resolver.

Filtering Collections

```
schema {
  query: Query
}

enum FilterOperation {
  ISNULL
  NOTULL
  EQ
  NEQ
  LT
  LTE
  GT
  GTE
  IN
  CN # Contains
  SW # Starts With
  EW # Ends With
}

type User {
  id: ID!
  username: String!
  age: Int
  createdAt: LocalDate
}

# For simplicity using <T> there will be a type for each T
input FieldFilter_<T> {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: T
  and: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
  or: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
}

input UserFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter__String
  username: FieldFilter__String
  age: FieldFilter__Int
  createdAt: FieldFilter__LocalDate
}

"Query root"
type Query {
  UserById(id: ID!): User
  Users(fiter: UserFilterInput): [User]
}

"Built-in scalar representing a local date"
scalar LocalDate
```

3.5. Paging a collection resolver

For large collection, cursor based paging is the obvious choice and is what is recommended.

Due to the limitation of the database structure cursor based paging is not possible for us, so we will have to use offset based paging. This is not a big issue due to the small-ish nature of the collections.

The results should always be limited, if not provided use these rules should be used or a variation of this appropriate to the type:

1. offset
 - a. ≥ 0
 - b. default to 0
2. limit
 - a. ≥ 0
 - b. default to 10
 - c. upper limit 50?

Paging Collections

```
schema {
  query: Query
}

type User {
  id: ID!
  username: String!
  age: Int
  createdAt: LocalDate
}

enum FilterOperation {
  ISNULL
  NOTULL
  EQ
  NEQ
  LT
  LTE
  GT
  GTE
  IN
  CN # Contains
  SW # Starts With
  EW # Ends With
}

# For simplicity using <T> there will be a type for each T
input FieldFilter_<T> {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: T
  and: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
  or: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
}

input UserFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter__String
  username: FieldFilter__String
  age: FieldFilter__Int
  createdAt: FieldFilter__LocalDate
}

input PageFilter {
  offset: Int
  limit: Int
}

"Query root"
type Query {
  UserById(id: ID!): User
  Users(fiter: UserFilterInput, page: PageFilter): [User]
}

"Built-in scalar representing a local date"
scalar LocalDate
```

3.6. Ordering a collection resolver

Reliable ordering of paged and filtered result can improve client performance.

Each resolver should have a default order for when the client does not supply one, this is typically the primary key GUID or a date filed of the type

Ordering Collections

```
schema {
  query: Query
}

type User {
  id: ID!
  username: String!
  age: Int
  createdAt: LocalDate
}

enum FilterOperation {
  ISNULL
  NOTULL
  EQ
  NEQ
  LT
  LTE
  GT
  GTE
  IN
  CN # Contains
  SW # Starts With
  EW # Ends With
}

# For simplicity using <T> there will be a type for each T
input FieldFilter_<T> {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: T
  and: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
  or: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
}

input UserFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter__String
  username: FieldFilter__String
  age: FieldFilter__Int
  createdAt: FieldFilter__LocalDate
}

input PageFilter {
  offset: Int
  limit: Int
}

enum OrderDirection {
  ASC
  DESC
}

input OrderBy {
  dir: OrderDirection # default to ASC
  field: String!
}

"Query root"
type Query {
  UserById(id: ID!): User
  Users(fiter: UserFilterInput, page: PageFilter, order: [OrderBy]): [User]
}

"Built-in scalar representing a local date"
scalar LocalDate
```

3.7. Paged Collection Metadata

If possible use cursor based edge node pattern: <https://graphql.org/learn/pagination/>

Often a client will want to know more about the paged results than just the results.

Like:

- Is there more data?
- total items to page
- total pages
- paging cursor

To make this possible the collection result need to be wrapped in another type, this is typically called, an collection/edge/connection/relationship depending on where it is in the query, and functions much like a bridge table would between two relational tables.

Paged Collection Metadata

```
schema {
  query: Query
}

interface Node {
  id: ID!
}

type User implements Node {
  id: ID!
  username: String!
  age: Int
  createdAt: LocalDate
}

type PageInfo {
  totalItems: Int!
  hasNextPage: Boolean!
  hasPreviousPage: Boolean!
}

type Collection_User {
  pageInfo: PageInfo!
  nodes: [User]!
}

enum FilterOperation {
  ISNULL
  NOTULL
  EQ
  NEQ
  LT
  LTE
  GT
  GTE
  IN
  CN # Contains
  SW # Starts With
  EW # Ends With
}

# For simplicity using <T> there will be a type for each T
input FieldFilter_<T> {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: T
  and: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
  or: [FieldFilter_<T>!]
}

input UserFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter__String
  username: FieldFilter__String
  age: FieldFilter__Int
  createdAt: FieldFilter__LocalDate
}

input PageFilter {
  offset: Int
  limit: Int
}

enum OrderDirection {
  ASC
```

```

        DESC
    }

    input OrderBy {
        dir: OrderDirection # default to ASC
        field: String!
    }

    "Query root"
    type Query {
        UserById(id: ID!): User
        Users(fiter: UserFilterInput, page: PageFilter, order: [OrderBy]): Collection_User
    }

    "Built-in scalar representing a local date"
    scalar LocalDate

```

3.8. Edges Connections and Relationships

Here we have modified our schema to model employers and employees relationship. Employers have many Employees and Employees have many Employers.

Particularly in the case of many to many relationships, there is often data that is stored on the relationship that needs to be accessible for filtering and viewing.

Employees Employers

```

schema {
    query: Query
}

"The base of every data type"
interface Node {
    id: ID!
}

"Relationship data relevent to node type"
interface Edge {
    node: Node!
}

"Collection wrapper for a type relationship query"
interface Relationship {
    edges: [Edge]!
    pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

"Collection wrapper for a top level query"
interface Collection {
    nodes: [Node]!
    pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

"Pageing metadata type"
type PageInfo {
    totalItems: Int!
    hasNextPage: Boolean!
    hasPreviousPage: Boolean!
}

# Employee

type Employee implements Node {
    id: ID!
    username: String!
    age: Int
    createdAt: LocalDate
    Employers(
        fiter: EmployeesEmployersRelationshipFilterInput,
        employersFiter: EmployersFilterInput,
        page: PageFilter,
        order: [OrderBy]
    ): EmployeeEmployerRelationship!
}

```

```

type EmployeeCollection implements Collection {
  nodes: [Employee!]!
  pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

# Employer

type Employer implements Node {
  id: ID!
  name: String!
  Employees(
    fiter: EmployeesEmployersRelationshipFilterInput,
    employeesFiter: EmployeesFilterInput,
    page: PageFilter,
    order: [OrderBy]
  ): EmployerEmployeeRelationship!
}

type EmployerCollection implements Collection {
  nodes: [Employer!]!
  pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

# Employee -> Employer Relationship

type EmployeeEmployerEdge implements Edge {
  isCurrent: Boolean!
  startDate: LocalDate!
  endDate: LocalDate
  role: String
  wage: Int
  node: Employer!
}

type EmployeeEmployerRelationship implements Relationship {
  edges: [EmployeeEmployerEdge!]!
  pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

# Employee <- Employer Relationship

type EmployerEmployeeEdge implements Edge {
  isCurrent: Boolean!
  startDate: LocalDate!
  endDate: LocalDate
  role: String
  wage: Int
  node: Employee!
}

type EmployerEmployeeRelationship implements Relationship {
  edges: [EmployerEmployeeEdge!]!
  pageInfo: PageInfo!
}

# Quereies

"Query root"
type Query {
  EmployeeById(id: ID!): Employee
  Employees(fiter: EmployeesFilterInput, page: PageFilter, order: [OrderBy]): EmployeeCollection
  EmployerById(id: ID!): Employer
  Employers(fiter: EmployersFilterInput, page: PageFilter, order: [OrderBy]): EmployerCollection
}

# Filtering Input

enum FilterOperation {
  ISNULL
  NOTULL
  EQ
  NEQ
  LT
  LTE
  GT

```

```

    GTE
    IN
    CN # Contains
    SW # Starts With
    EW # Ends With
}

input FieldFilter_String {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: String
  and: [FieldFilter_String!]
  or: [FieldFilter_String!]
}

input FieldFilter_LocalDate {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: LocalDate
  and: [FieldFilter_LocalDate!]
  or: [FieldFilter_LocalDate!]
}

input FieldFilter_Int {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: Int
  and: [FieldFilter_Int!]
  or: [FieldFilter_Int!]
}

input FieldFilter_Boolean {
  op: FilterOperation
  value: Boolean
  and: [FieldFilter_Boolean!]
  or: [FieldFilter_Boolean!]
}

input EmployeesFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter_String
  username: FieldFilter_String
  age: FieldFilter_Int
  createdAt: FieldFilter_LocalDate
}

input EmployersFilterInput {
  id: FieldFilter_String
  name: FieldFilter_String
}

input EmployeesEmployersRelationshipFilterInput {
  isCurrent: FieldFilter_Boolean
  startDate: FieldFilter_LocalDate
  endDate: FieldFilter_LocalDate
  role: FieldFilter_String
  wage: FieldFilter_Int
}

input PageFilter {
  offset: Int
  limit: Int
}

enum OrderDirection {
  ASC
  DESC
}

input OrderBy {
  dir: OrderDirection # default to ASC
  field: String!
}

"Built-in scalar representing a local date"
scalar LocalDate

```

Example query

Query

```
{
  EmployerById(id: "") {
    id
    name
    Employees(
      fiter: {role: {op:EQ, value:"
developer"}},
      employeesFiter: {age: {op:GT, value:18}}
      page: {limit: 3},
      order: [{field:"startDate"}]
    ){
      pageInfo {
        totalItems
      }
      edges {
        startDate
        endDate
        role
        wage
        node {
          id
          username
          age
          Employers {
            pageInfo {
              totalItems
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Results

```
{
  "data": {
    "EmployerById": {
      "Employees": {
        "edges": [
          {
            "endDate": "2014-08-20T08:31:12.555Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 14797
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 72021,
            "id": "31704ab3-3d31-4f4c-b6a7-71ad7db8d743",
            "username": "Antonia.Roob59"
          },
          {
            "endDate": "2018-05-21T07:06:37.354Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 52103
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 29163,
            "id": "0087d068-adaf-4797-a8b0-9a6134d4bad1",
            "username": "Haven_Weimann"
          },
          {
            "endDate": "2014-08-20T08:31:12.555Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 39542
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 29974,
            "id": "7fba31a2-dda5-4bb8-9052-bdc2329d00be",
            "username": "Noelia41"
          },
          {
            "endDate": "2020-12-27T16:03:04.510Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 78805
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 29974,
            "id": "7fba31a2-dda5-4bb8-9052-bdc2329d00be",
            "username": "Noelia41"
          },
          {
            "endDate": "2014-08-20T08:31:12.555Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 67075
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 29974,
            "id": "7fba31a2-dda5-4bb8-9052-bdc2329d00be",
            "username": "Noelia41"
          },
          {
            "endDate": "2014-08-20T08:31:12.555Z",
            "node": {
              "Employers": {
                "pageInfo": {
                  "totalItems": 65703
                }
              },
            },
            "age": 29974,
            "id": "fb4b49ca-753f-41b1-8f46-88bb75660276",
            "name": "Mrs. Dena Dibbert"
          }
        ]
      }
    }
  }
}
```

4. Query Examples

Filter Order, and Page Input example

```
fragment cemvFields on CEMV {
  id
  issuerActorId
  accountId
  securityCode
  isin
  corporateActionEventId
  exDate
  apirCode
  bomType
  businessDate
  closingBalance
  netOff
  netOn
  regOff
  regOn
}

query {
  cemv(
    order: [
      { field:"businessDate", dir:DESC },
      { field:"accountId" }
    ],
    page: { offset:0, limit:5 },
    filter: {
      actorId: { op: EQ, value: "55003" }
      businessDate: {
        and: [
          { op: GTE, value: "2021-01-01" }
          { op: LTE, value: "2021-01-02" }
        ]
      },
      accountId: {
        or: [
          { op: ISNULL }
          { op: NEQ, value: "143" }
        ]
      }
      securityCode: { op: IN, value: "AAA,BBB" }
    }
  ) {
    ...cemvFields
  }
}
```

4.1. Holding Balance Sub Positions

POC: https://stash.asx.com.au/projects/DLT/repos/reporting_apis/pull-requests/123/overview

Here we can see how a nested query might be used to grab as much relevant data as possible given attributes from a 601 workitem request. Each nested type can be filtered, ordered and paged

- Get actor by Id
 - get actor's roles
 - get actor's accounts
 - get account holdings
 - get holding security
 - get holding's subpositions

Holding should have enough context to not include its related security if not needed. eg finding a holding of a particular security code.

In another query in the same request you might query securities by issuer, code and isin to find out if the actor is the current issuer. If the account holdings security match the query you know there is an error in the workitem request.

```

fragment actorFields on Actor {
  actorId
  actorStatus
  actorParty
  archivedAt
  bic
  entityId
  eventId
}

fragment roleFields on ActorRole {
  roleId
  roleType
  roleStatus
  archivedAt
}

fragment accountFields on Account {
  accountId
  accountName
  accountStatus
  archivedAt
}

fragment holdingBalanceFields on HoldingBalance {
  securityId
  totalBalance
  availableBalance
  archivedAt
}

fragment subpositionFields on HSBL {
  subpositionType
  unitQuantity
  corporateActionEventId
  optionType
  optionNumber
}

fragment securityFields on Security {
  apirCode
  securityCode
  isin
}

query {
  actorById(actorId: "20004"){
    ...actorFields
    roles(filter: { roleType: { op: EQ, value: "AccountCreator" }}) {...roleFields}
    accounts(filter: { accountId: { op: EQ, value: "0000000086" }}) {
      ...accountFields
      holdings(filter: { securityId: { op: EQ, value: "000008" }}) {
        ...holdingBalanceFields
        security {...securityFields}
        subpositions(filter: { lockType: { op: EQ, value: "SETL" }}) {
          ...subpositionFields
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```