

# Introduction to the Anti-Ethics of Gnostic Fascism – On The Original Nazarene Distortion: A Sinister-Numinous Dialectical Nietzschean Antinomian Approach

*Definition Nazarene – a follower of Yeshua the deceiver – a Christian. A follower of the Nazarene. Nazareny is Christianity. Magian – a reference to not Semitic peoples (as Arabs are Semites as well) but Zionism, Judaism & the consumerist debt-usury system of Capitalism.*

Here we are in debt to the German philosopher Friedrich Nietzsche. And likewise this work and our work is not concerned with “anti-Semitism” – which is an incorrect term to use. I am not against Jews as individuals. I strongly oppose any form of racial segregation, slavery, genocide (especially based upon race) and do not promote a violent, hate-filled or terroristic way of living. I in fact welcome Jews as allies. As many are strong enough to risk being labelled “self-hating” to be on board. As a people I respect the Jews struggle historically against slavery, against racism and other tenets. While I reject the forms of Zionism and Judaism I do not reject Jews as individuals. Likewise like I reject Christianity (Nazareny). I have nothing against Christians as individuals. I was born and raised Christian (Anglican) and many of my loved ones are Christian. Anyone who reads this and labels me a “white supremacist” is misinformed likewise anyone who labels me “nazi trash” is having a knee-jerk reaction. I love and respect African-Americans and support Black Lives Matter. I also wish to say I support the Nation of Islam. If you wish to ban me then you should ban Nietzsche as well and burn all of his books. If I saw a Jewish individual I would treat them the same as anyone else politely, kindheartedly and with all due respect they deserve as a human being. Do not label me an “anti-Semite”, a “racist” or other buzzwords. I am rejected by both far-right and far-left. And likewise I reject them. Whilst “fascism” and “National Socialism” are both considered radical or far-right my form is neither. It is primarily anarchic. Now with all that out of the way let’s start where this all originates from.

I argue as Nietzsche argued in his various works on morality that there are primarily two fundamental types of morality. “Master morality” – which is espoused by such forms as Satanism (of the Order of Nine Angles [formerly – in the original Hebdomian Way known as the Sevenfold Way now defunct]), fascism, National Socialism, [somewhat] anarchism, and was noted in the ethos of all original true Western Civilizations such as the Vedic civilization, the Hellenic civilization, and here of course our primary focus the Roman Empire before it’s fall. Master morality values pride, power, and judges actions as virtuous or vice according to the praxis and how the nobility of the past lived. All that is a virtue to the noble man is “evil” – or going beyond limits – to those who follow Nazarene virtues and vices or the Kantian deontology. And as well Utilitarianism and Egalitarianism espouses an antithesis to master-morality. We call the morality of Nazareny, Communism, Democratic Socialism and Cultural Marxism and Marxist-Leninism in general “slave morality” – which judges by a scale of good or evil intentions and is primarily concerned with the “good of the community” vs the “good of the individual”. The classical virtues of the noble, the honourable, the beautiful and the great vs the vices of the degenerate dishonourable cowardly pitiful masses. The Sinister Dialectic of History as espoused by us here refers to a struggle where the heretical elite few opposed to the masses provide a balance that is primarily needed – a necessity. In other times indeed it would be opportune to champion

Egalitarianism. Whatever the dominant form is there must be a natural sort of opposition. This is a Dialectic of History – master morality vs slave morality. And we do intend humanity must as a necessity of evolution transcend both. Going beyond good and evil. As Nietzsche himself intended. **This is an eternal struggle of time.**

Master morality is the morality of the strong-willed individual. An individual who is concerned primarily with self-excellence and self-overcoming. It's values are empiricism – although indeed under certain conditions whatever is objective reality is irrelevant – everything that is “good” is that which is helpful to the individual. Whichever builds character or aids their own supra-personal goal – which naturally should be inclined towards the Sinister Dialectic of History. It's incredibly useful and incredibly *Satanic or Luciferian* if an action done by the individual arises from a cold, rational and impersonal standpoint and both builds character and aids the Sinister Dialectic of History. So here you may wonder what about paedophilia, rape, murder, violence and terror? Molesting children or abusing them, abusing or raping women and all other forms of abuse such as of alcohol and drugs does not build character or aid any Dialectic or Aeonic goal. If you do such an action and realize rationally why it is wrong and do not commit such an action again then you did build character. However, the point is there shouldn't be a consumption of any person by their unconscious or conscious desires whereby it leads them to a path of misogyny and sexual deviance. And I will not discuss this again because while abusing drugs, children and women are indeed conventionally evil and socially unacceptable it doesn't build character and nor does it aid any Dialectic. The only thing abusing drugs and alcohol builds is character if someone overcomes a drug addiction or alcohol addiction which nearly kills them. Much like the tramp who overcomes poverty and becomes wealthy. That is indeed noble and honorable. There is nothing noble, honourable or beautiful or *Numinous* about sexual abuse or physical abuse of women and children for sport or pleasure. This is the last anyone should say about those things. It should be obvious and clear to anyone that such pleasures or sports are sick, degenerate and weak. Cowardly actions by those individuals who cannot rationally think in higher terms. The value or non-value of an action should be judged by it's consequences. What is indeed the consequences of rape, abuse, etc.? Nothing positive neither for society, the individual perpetrator nor the innocent undeserving victim. And an action of justice should be enacted upon such individuals. Whereby I suggest they be executed for it or at least exiled.

Ultimately morality and ethics are human constructs and there is no objective reality behind morality. To transgress or go beyond laws, order and rule is sometimes indeed necessary. Even the most far-left among you would agree it was necessary by African-Americans when they were subjugated by the American government. And nowadays such actions are indeed necessary to oppose the tyrannical nation-state, capitalism (debt-usury consumerism and vapid materialism), the tyrannical ethical and moral codes of the stagnating masses – ie Cultural Marxists and Nazarenes, the tyrannical and crushing system of Zionism which is racially supremacist and lead to the state of Israel which stole the ancestral home of the Arabs from them, the tyrannical lie and myth of the Holocaust which either did not happen or happened on a scale way lower than suggested – and here an analogy is useful remember when those were burned at the stake for being heretics suggesting that the Earth was not flat, or for practising “witchcraft” or being atheists etc? Denial of the Holocaust nets you a prison sentence, or worse in most Western nations. For strong-willed individuals whatever is “good” is that which is honourable, noble, Numinous, beautiful, awe-inspiring, daring, confident, proud, and increases an individual's will to

power – the triumph of the will. Whatever is “bad” is pitiful, cowardly, timid and petty. Neither form is in essence the “objective reality” of things. They are simply constructs and at different points indeed to show mercy is honourable and noble. It is primarily an individual decision which should be left up to the individual not the state, not the church and not the masses. Individualism is being crushed and trampled upon day-by-day.

The essence of master morality is nobility. Other things which are valued in master morality are open-mindedness, courage, truthfulness, trustworthiness, and an accurate sense of one’s self-worth. Whatever is noble is experienced in and of itself and determines its own values. What is harmful to the individual is harmful in itself. That is to say for example abuse of drugs is harmful to the individual therefore is seen as harmful. The objective morality or laws behind it is irrelevant. The fact that is is harmful to the individual taking them is. The noble man creates his own values. Therefore whatever is “good” benefits them by either building character or aiding their own suprapersonal goals which should be primarily pro-evolutionary but does not necessarily need to be either. That is to say indeed there is an element here of *Social Darwinism* but not in the sense the Victorians used Eugenics. Whatever is good benefits your own pursuit of self-defined personal excellence. Insofar as something is helpful it benefits what he values in himself. Therefore the strong-willed individual values such things as honour, truth, courage, power, etc because they aid him in a life-long process of self-actualization through the will of power.

The inversion here of morality from how the prehistoric ancients whom I primarily espouse here as evidenced in the ethos of the Hellenic Civilization & Roman Empire prior to the fall was *master-morality*. The Nazarene distortion originated in ancient Rome and continued until this very day. It was an inversion of the dominant form of morality or ethos. *Masters* create master morality and *slaves* create slave morality. This is totally unrelated to the slave trade or colonialism of the West or any sort of *caste* system in my opinion. Which we will touch upon briefly later on. Slave morality is reflected in devaluing whatever the master values or whatever was the original predominant ancient Pagan form. It originates in the *weak* and master-morality originates in the *strong*. So therefore from now on we will state the *strong* as those who follow master-morality and the *weak* those who follow slave-morality. The weak primarily had a reaction to oppression and began to vilify its oppressors. This again is not a statement on whether or not slavery or tyranny is objectively good or bad. In true it is neither because morality and ethics are human constructs not divine. The weak inverted everything the strong espoused as noble and virtuous. Such individuals lead society as a whole to become pessimistic and cynical. It built its own sort of tyranny. And now the dominant form is egalitarianism.

Slave morality by its very nature is espoused by the weak. Those who cannot by violent action, terror, war or *krieg* or *kampf* enact itself upon others. Rather it was by a sly, and clever system they inverted the original values of the West. It does not seek to transcend nor go beyond its *masters* but to bring them down to their level of rabble. It is domination by the weak and enslaved the strong. Whatever is good for the whole community is seen as useful not whatever is good for the individual. Since the powerful are few compared to the masses they gain power by corrupting them via careful subversion. By leading the strong to believe that such things vis-a-vie the will to power is evil. By saying humility is voluntary slave morality avoids admitting that their humility was in the beginning forced upon them by the same individuals they label as evil. Therefore the Biblical principles of humility, charity and pity

are the result of enforcing the plight of the weak upon the strong and then upon the masses themselves. It is in itself a new form of slavery. Such forms of Democratic Socialism and Egalitarianism are the political manifestation of this slave morality because of its obsession with freedom and equality.

Those who would dare to oppose the doctrine of equality are therefore modern heretics. And seen as sick, twisted, evil and otherwise unsavoury characters who thus should be imprisoned, killed, violently opposed and outcast. Those who would go against the herd the few strong individuals with enough will to power are seen as in essence bastards with no manners. Offensive, shocking, evil, etc. They are social pariahs and outcasts. They stand alone defiant and proud to those who cowardly use the force of the state via things such as police forces, laws, and even military action to enforce upon others both individuals, humanity and even other nations – democracy, freedom, equality and other lies of the state. They do not desire your true freedom because in a truly free society you would be allowed to espouse whatever beliefs you had. And such things as violence, terror and murder are the sole proprietorship of the state. Anyone who thinks differently must be evil and deserving a prison sentence, loss of employment, slanderous tabloid articles on them, etc. The state kills in the droves.

The Magian achieved this inversion thanks in part to the use of Nazareny which evolved into the form of Marxism, Communism, democracy, freedom, Egalitarianism, etc. Very ingeniously as well they never had to fire a single bullet. And on some level I respect their intellect and abilities. It isn't good or bad that they've done this. However, it is in fact de-evolutionary because society needs such individuals as Adolf Hitler. And in essence Zionism is nothing more than National Socialism for Jews. A nationalist type of fascism that is indeed racial. And owes its ties to the doctrines of the Talmud whereupon every non-Jew is called a *goy* or cattle and you are allowed to do whatever sort of evil you wish to do to them. And Jesus himself is said to be boiling in a pot of excrement in hell. Absolutely ingenious. With Nazareny began the original distortion in Ancient Rome where the original Pagan way of life was thrown out and replaced in the name of Nazareny. And therefore when they went on to conquer the West (especially Albion) they did so in the name of the cross. And the resulting colonialism was not a triumph of the original ancient Pagan beliefs but a triumph of Nazareny. A triumph of the cross. All thanks to this life on earth has for a couple millennia acquired a new and dangerous fascination. With Nazareny there begins the slave revolt in morals.

Hellenic and Roman societies were firmly grounded in the master morality of Nietzsche. That is to say from a standpoint of history they were indeed *Satanic* or Pagan societies. I prefer to use the term that they were *Luciferian*. As I no longer wish to use the term Satanic due to it being supersaturated and overused mostly incorrectly to describe devil worship, justification of any sort of act, and so on and so forth. So I would primarily like to be seen as a Luciferian or Pagan. I am not a Satanist because to me Satanism has become either a weak charlatan form of materialistic atheism as espoused by the charlatan Anton LaVey. And I stand dialectically opposed to LaVey. While I admire some of his qualities and no doubt his influence and possible wealth was very good. Nothing wrong with wealth, followers, power, influence, etc. Therefore on some level I have respect for this man more respect than I would have for Yeshua. The Homeric hero is a classical example of who or what a Luciferian is. It can be found in such classical works as the Iliad and Odyssey. Primarily a Luciferian is a classical hero, a man or woman – as I do not view men or women as too separate as the original paganism of Albion was in my opinion Matriarchal and a Matriarchal society is opposed to the dominant Patriarchal one we

have today. Yet a balance between the two is necessary. As exemplified by the archetypal marriage between Lucifer(Satan) and his bride Baphomet. Or between who I believe would be the prototypal Roman Emperor Caligula and the prime Matriarch or Matron of the Druids/Picts. Historically this heroic figure (not Caligula he was quite the decadent and deviant character mind you but no doubt a Satanic one whilst Caesar and Alexander the Great were more *Luciferian*) has been defeated. And the values of the noble have been defeated. Trampled upon and destroyed by Nazareny. It all began when a certain Roman Emperor had the dream or vision – perhaps engineered by the Magian adepts themselves of the Cross eclipsing the sun. And took this as a sign to convert and then thereby eventually established the Roman Catholic church and it's resulting horrors. Pagan polytheism was replaced by Nazarene monotheism.

Weakness conquered strength and the slave became the master. This was done I believe out of a natural resentment of the Magian they had towards originally the Romans but exists currently against European peoples – Westerners or Whites ie “Aryans”. Based on the jealous weak seeking to enslave the strong and thus erode the basis for power by pulling the powerful downfall. Such movements as Communism were inspired by the most intelligent revenge of the weak. Which seeks to make everyone equal and thus everyone a slave. I do not believe that everyone should accept my form of morality as dominant but indeed the morality of individual judgment based on cold, rational and impersonal logic which builds character and aids suprapersonal goals or increases individual will to power is primarily superior to that of slave morality. I would suggest everyone re-evaluate both systems of morality and go beyond both.