

# 多体問題の計算科学

## Computational Science for Many-Body Problems

### #9 Applications of QMC methods -Path integral & applications

14:55-16:40 June 20, 2023

- Quantum Monte Carlo Methods
1. Path integral for quantum spins
  2. Path integral for fermions
    - Grassmann number
    - Path integral for free fermions
    - Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation
    - Path integral QMC for Hubbard model
  3. Applications of QMC

# Quantum Monte Carlo Methods

## Typical examples of QMC

### ■ Variational MC+diffusion MC/Green's function MC

No sign problems, but depend on variational wave functions

McMillan ( $^4\text{He}$ , 1965)

Ceperley-Chester-Kalos ( $^3\text{He}$ , 1977)

cf.) CASINO <https://vallico.net/casinoqmc/>

### ■ Imaginary-time path integral by Suzuki-Trotter decomposition

-  $D$ -dimensional Transverse field Ising model:

Mapped on  $(D+1)$ -dimensional classical Mote Carlo

- Variation: Continuous-time MC, World line MC…  
(implemented in ALPS)

- Power Lanczos by QMC (projective Monte Carlo)

Serious limitation: Sign *problems*

Bosons and fermions:

Blankenbecler-Scalapino-Sugar (1981)

Hirsch (1985)

# Path Integral QMC for Spin Models: Formulation

Feynman's Path Integral:

Transform calculations of non-commutative operators  
to ones with commutative numbers or  
*anticommutative Grassmann numbers*

Recently, it has been shown that the path integral is  
equivalent to a deep neural network

# Typical Quantum Many-Body System

M. Suzuki, S. Miyashita, & A. Kuroda, PTP 58, 1377 (1977).

As a review, H. G. Evertz, Adv. Phys. 52, 1 (2003).

An example:  $S=1/2$  1D XXZ model

$$\hat{H} = J_x \sum_i (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z$$

Non-commutative nature comes from  
Lie algebra  $SU(2)$  that spins follow

For example,

$$[\hat{S}_i^\alpha \hat{S}_{i+1}^\alpha, \hat{S}_{i+1}^\beta \hat{S}_{i+2}^\beta] \neq 0 \text{ if } \alpha \neq \beta \quad (\alpha, \beta = x, y, z)$$

# Quantum Spin S=1/2 or Qubit

Operators acting on  
a single qubit

$$|1\rangle = |\uparrow\rangle, \quad |0\rangle = |\downarrow\rangle$$

A two dimensional  
representation of Lie  
algebra SU(2)

$$[\hat{S}_j^x, \hat{S}_j^y] = i\hat{S}_j^z$$

$$[\hat{S}_j^y, \hat{S}_j^z] = i\hat{S}_j^x$$

$$[\hat{S}_j^z, \hat{S}_j^x] = i\hat{S}_j^y$$

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \equiv \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$$

$$\hat{S}_j^x|1\rangle = +\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^x|0\rangle = +\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^y|1\rangle = +i\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^y|0\rangle = -i\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^z|1\rangle = +\frac{1}{2}|1\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^z|0\rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|0\rangle$$

# Quantum Spin S=1/2 or Qubit

$$|\phi\rangle = c_{\uparrow}|1\rangle + c_{\downarrow}|0\rangle$$

$$\hat{S}_j^{\alpha}|\phi\rangle = c'_{\uparrow}|1\rangle + c'_{\downarrow}|0\rangle$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} c'_{\uparrow} \\ c'_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}^{\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\uparrow} \\ c_{\downarrow} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ +i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^z = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hat{S}_j^{\alpha} \doteq \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}^{\alpha}$$

# Path Integral by Suzuki

M. Suzuki, S. Miyashita, & A. Kuroda, PTP 58, 1377 (1977)

Suzuki-Trotter decomposition

-Decomposition of partition function:

$$Z = \text{tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}]$$

$$= \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | e^{-\beta \hat{H}} | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle \quad \sigma_j = 0, 1 \text{ or } \uparrow, \downarrow$$

$$= \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}} \right)^M | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle$$

-Further decomposition of operators

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$$

$$e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}} = e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_1} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_2} - \frac{\delta_\tau^2}{2} [\hat{H}_1, \hat{H}_2] + \mathcal{O}(\delta_\tau^3) \quad \delta_\tau = \beta/M$$

# Path Integral: Checkerboard Decomposition


M. Suzuki, S. Miyashita, & A. Kuroda, PTP 58, 1377 (1977).  
As a review, H. G. Evertz, Adv. Phys. 52, 1 (2003).

An example: 1D XXZ model

$$\hat{H} = J_x \sum_i (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z$$




$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_1 + \hat{H}_2$$



$$\sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}} \right)^M | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle$$

$$\simeq \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | \left( e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_1} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_2} \right)^M | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle$$



$$e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_2} = e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{01}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{23}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{45}} \dots$$


$$e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_1} = e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{12}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{34}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{56}} \dots$$

$$\hat{H}_{\ell m}=J_x(\hat{S}_{\ell}^x\hat{S}_m^x+\hat{S}_{\ell}^y\hat{S}_m^y)+J_z\hat{S}_{\ell}^z\hat{S}_m^z$$

# Path Integral: Checkerboard Decomposition


$$e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_2} = e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{01}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{23}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{45}} \dots$$

$$e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_1} = e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{12}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{34}} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{56}} \dots$$



Operators independently act on pairs of spins

$Z =$



$$Z \simeq \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | \left( e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_1} e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_2} \right)^M | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\{\sigma_j\}} \langle \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} | \prod_{(\ell,m)=(2m+1,2m+2)} \left[ \left( \sum_{\sigma_\ell, \sigma_m} |\sigma_\ell \sigma_m\rangle \langle \sigma_\ell, \sigma_m| \right) e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} \left( \sum_{\sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m} |\sigma'_\ell \sigma'_m\rangle \langle \sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m| \right) \right]$$


$$\times \prod_{(\ell,m)=(2m,2m+1)} \left[ \left( \sum_{\sigma_\ell, \sigma_m} |\sigma_\ell \sigma_m\rangle \langle \sigma_\ell, \sigma_m| \right) e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} \left( \sum_{\sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m} |\sigma'_\ell \sigma'_m\rangle \langle \sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m| \right) \right]$$

...

$$\times \prod_{(\ell,m)=(2m+1,2m+2)} \left[ \left( \sum_{\sigma_\ell, \sigma_m} |\sigma_\ell \sigma_m\rangle \langle \sigma_\ell, \sigma_m| \right) e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} \left( \sum_{\sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m} |\sigma'_\ell \sigma'_m\rangle \langle \sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m| \right) \right]$$

$$\times \prod_{(\ell,m)=(2m,2m+1)} \left[ \left( \sum_{\sigma_\ell, \sigma_m} |\sigma_\ell \sigma_m\rangle \langle \sigma_\ell, \sigma_m| \right) e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} \left( \sum_{\sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m} |\sigma'_\ell \sigma'_m\rangle \langle \sigma'_\ell, \sigma'_m| \right) \right] | \sigma_0 \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{N-1} \rangle$$

# Path Integral: Checkerboard Decomposition




$$(\hat{W}_{\ell m})_{\sigma_\ell \sigma_m; \sigma_\ell \sigma_m} = \langle \sigma_\ell \sigma_m | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \sigma_\ell \sigma_m \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{\ell m} &= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \downarrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle \uparrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & -e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Path Integral: World Line Representation

As a review, H. G. Evertz, Adv. Phys. 52, 1 (2003).

World line represents (1+1)D spin configurations



0. Bold line connects spin-up sites/virtual sites
1. Bold lines go through only shaded boxes  
In XXZ model:
2. Bold lines never overlap each other
3. Bold lines only terminate at the top or bottom of the checkerboard

Usually, sampled and updated by the loop algorithm  
(see the Evertz (2003))

# Preparation for Fermion Path Integral

# Path Integral for Fermions

## Path integral

Transform calculations of non-commutative operators to ones with commutative numbers or *anticommutative Grassmann numbers*

By employing coherent state:

An eigenstate of an annihilation operator

Grassman number:  $\mathbb{G}$

1. All Grassman numbers are mutually anticommutative, and anticommutative with any fermion operators
2. Squares of all Grassman numbers are zero

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } \psi, \phi \in \mathbb{G}, \quad \psi\phi = -\phi\psi \\ &\text{therefore } \psi^2 = -\psi^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

# Coherent State of Fermions

Coherent state:

An eigenstate of an annihilation operator

Grassman number:  $\mathbb{G}$

1. All Grassman numbers are mutually anticommutative, and anticommutative with any fermion operators
2. Squares of all Grassman numbers are zero

$$|\psi\rangle = |0\rangle - \psi \hat{c}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}| = \langle 0| - \langle 0| \hat{c}^\dagger \bar{\psi}$$

$$\hat{c}^\dagger |\psi\rangle = \psi \hat{c}^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$\langle \bar{\psi}| \hat{c}^\dagger = \langle \bar{\psi}| \bar{\psi}$$

$$= \psi |0\rangle$$

$$= \psi(|0\rangle - \psi \hat{c}^\dagger |0\rangle)$$

$$= \psi |\psi\rangle$$

$$\psi, \bar{\psi} \in \mathbb{G}$$

# Analysis of Grassman Numbers

Function of Grassman number:  $f(\psi) = f_0 + f_1\psi$

Relation between Grassman and complex number

Integral of Grassman number:

$$\int \psi d\psi = 1 \quad \int d\psi \psi = -1$$
$$\int 1 d\psi = 0$$

Closure by Grassman numbers:  $1 = \int |\psi\rangle\langle\bar{\psi}| e^{-\bar{\psi}\psi} d\bar{\psi} d\psi$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\hat{O} &= \langle 0 | \hat{O} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \hat{c} \hat{O} \hat{c}^\dagger | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \langle 0 | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} | 0 \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}\psi} d\bar{\psi} d\psi + \int \langle 0 | \hat{c} \hat{O} | \psi \rangle \langle \bar{\psi} | \hat{c}^\dagger | 0 \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}\psi} d\bar{\psi} d\psi \\ &= \int \langle -\bar{\psi} | \hat{O} | \psi \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}\psi} d\bar{\psi} d\psi \end{aligned}$$

Inner product of coherent states:  $\langle \bar{\psi} | \psi \rangle = e^{\bar{\psi}\psi}$

# Path Integral for Fermions

Path integral for a single fermion

Suzuki-Trotter decomposition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} &= \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} \right)^M \\ &\simeq \int \langle -\bar{\psi}(1) | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} | \psi(M) \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}(M)\psi(M)} \\ &\quad \times \langle \bar{\psi}(M) | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} | \psi(M-1) \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}(M-1)\psi(M-1)} \\ &\quad \times \dots \\ &\quad \times \langle \bar{\psi}(2) | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} | \psi(1) \rangle e^{-\bar{\psi}(1)\psi(1)} \prod_{\ell=1}^M d\bar{\psi}(\ell) d\psi(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

# Path Integral for Fermions

Path integral for a single fermion       $\hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}] = \varepsilon \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}$

$$\text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} = \langle 0 | e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} | 0 \rangle + \langle 0 | \hat{c} e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} \hat{c}^\dagger | 0 \rangle = 1 + e^{-\beta \varepsilon}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} &= \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\hat{c}^\dagger, \hat{c}]} \right)^M \\ &\simeq \int \exp \left[ +\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \bar{\psi}(1) \psi(M) - \bar{\psi}(1) \psi(M) - \bar{\psi}(M) \psi(M) \right] \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \bar{\psi}(M) \psi(M-1) + \bar{\psi}(M) \psi(M-1) - \bar{\psi}(M-1) \psi(M-1) \right] \\ &\quad \times \dots \\ &\quad \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \bar{\psi}(2) \psi(1) + \bar{\psi}(2) \psi(1) - \bar{\psi}(1) \psi(1) \right] \prod_{\ell=1}^M d\bar{\psi}(\ell) d\psi(\ell) \\ &= 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \right)^M \xrightarrow[M \rightarrow +\infty]{} 1 + e^{-\beta \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bar{\psi}(L) | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}} | \psi(L-1) \rangle &= \exp \left[ \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon} - 1 \right) \bar{\psi}(L) \psi(L-1) + \bar{\psi}(L) \psi(L-1) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \right) \bar{\psi}(L) \psi(L-1) \right] + \mathcal{O} \left( \left\{ \frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \right\}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}} = 1 + \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \varepsilon} - 1 \right) \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c} \quad (\hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c})^2 = \hat{c}^\dagger \hat{c}$$

# Further Steps towards QMC

## Path integral for QMC

- Many fermions

Complicated but straightforward

- Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

Hubbard model mapped onto an ensemble of free fermions feeling Ising one-body potentials

- Blankenbecler-Scalapino-Sugar formulation for QMC

MC for Ising variables with weights calculated by fermionic partition functions

R. Blankenbecler, D. J. Scalapino, & R. L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D 24, 2278 (1981).  
J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 31, 4403 (1985).

# Path Integral for Many Fermions

Fermions have site and spin indices

$$\hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}] = - \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{i,j} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \sum_{i,\sigma} h_{i\sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma}$$

\*Valid for any one-body Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} e^{-\beta \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} &= \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M \\ &\simeq \int \langle \{-\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1)\} | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{-\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1), \psi_{i\sigma}(M)\}]} | \{\psi_{i\sigma}(M)\} \rangle \\ &\quad \times e^{-\sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M) \psi_\sigma(M)} \\ &\quad \times \langle \{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M)\} | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M), \psi_{i\sigma}(M-1)\}]} | \{\psi_{i\sigma}(M-1)\} \rangle \\ &\quad \times e^{-\sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M-1) \psi_{i\sigma}(M-1)} \\ &\quad \times \cdots \\ &\quad \times \langle \{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(2)\} | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(2), \psi_{i\sigma}(1)\}]} | \{\psi_{i\sigma}(1)\} \rangle \\ &\quad \times e^{-\sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1) \psi_{i\sigma}(1)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\ell=1}^M d\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(\ell) d\psi_{i\sigma}(\ell) \end{aligned}$$

# Path Integral for Many Fermions

Decomposed operators for imaginary-time evolution:  $B_L$

$B_L$  :  $2N \times 2N$  matrix

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L)\} | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L), \psi_{i\sigma}(L-1)\}]} | \{\psi_{i\sigma}(L-1)\} \rangle \\ &= e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L), \psi_{i\sigma}(L-1)\}] + \sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L) \psi_{i\sigma}(L-1)} \\ &= \exp \left[ + \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L) (B_L)_{i\sigma,j\tau} \psi_{j\tau}(L-1) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \{-\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1)\} | e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{-\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1), \psi_{i\sigma}(M)\}]} | \{\psi_{i\sigma}(M)\} \rangle \\ &= \exp \left[ - \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1) (B_1)_{i\sigma,j\tau} \psi_{j\tau}(M) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Here, imaginary-time dependence of the matrices  $B$  for later usage for taking interactions into account

# Path Integral for Many Fermions

Path integral representation of partition function

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M &\simeq \int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \end{aligned}$$

$$[d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \equiv \prod_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{\ell=1}^M d\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(\ell) d\psi_{i\sigma}(\ell)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1) = \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L) (B_L)_{i\sigma, j\tau} \psi_{j\tau}(L-1)$$

$$\bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L) = \sum_{i,\sigma} \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L) \psi_{i\sigma}(L)$$

# Integration over Many Grassmann Variables

*One-step* integration

$$\int \exp \left[ - \sum_{\mu, \nu} \bar{\psi}_\mu A_{\mu\nu} \psi_\nu \right] [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] = \det A$$

$A$  :  $2NM \times 2NM$  matrix

$\mu, \nu$  : site index  $i$ , spin index  $\sigma$ , imaginary time slice

Localized nature of the action  $S$  along imaginary time  
is not exploited in the *one-step* integration

$$S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}\}] = \sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L) + \bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) - \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)$$

Hoppings along imaginary time exist always  
between nearest neighbors

# Partition Function of Many Fermions

Partial integration over  $\bar{\psi}(1), \psi(1), \bar{\psi}(2), \psi(2), \dots, \bar{\psi}(M-1), \psi(M-1)$

$$\text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M = \int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]$$

$$\int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L) - \bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ = \int e^{-\bar{\psi}(M) I \psi(M)} \\ \times \prod_{i,\sigma} [1 - \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M-1) \psi_{i\sigma}(M-1)] \\ \times \prod_{i,\sigma} [1 - \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M-2) \psi_{i\sigma}(M-2)] \\ \times \dots \\ \times \prod_{i,\sigma} [1 - \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(1) \psi_{i\sigma}(1)] \\ \times \prod_{i_a, \sigma_a, i, \sigma, j, \tau} [1 - \bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(M) (B_M)_{i\sigma, i_1 \sigma_1} \psi_{i_1 \sigma_1}(M-1) \bar{\psi}_{i_1 \sigma_1}(M-1) \\ \times (B_{M-1})_{i_1 \sigma_1, i_2 \sigma_2} \psi_{i_2 \sigma_2}(M-2) \bar{\psi}_{i_2 \sigma_2}(M-2) \\ \times \dots \\ \times (B_2)_{i_{M-2} \sigma_{M-2}, i_{M-1} \sigma_{M-1}} \psi_{i_{M-1} \sigma_{M-1}}(1) \bar{\psi}_{i_{M-1} \sigma_{M-1}}(1) \\ \times (B_1)_{i_{M-1} \sigma_{M-1}, j \tau} \psi_{j \tau}(M)] [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]$$

# Partition Function of Many Fermions

## Partial integration

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M &= \int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ &= \int e^{-\bar{\psi}(M) I \psi(M) - \bar{\psi}(M) B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1 \psi(M)} d\bar{\psi}(M) d\psi(M) \end{aligned}$$

4NM dimensional integral  $\rightarrow$  4N dimensional integral

# Partition Function of Many Fermions

## Partial integration

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M &= \int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ &= \int e^{-\bar{\psi}(M) I \psi(M) - \bar{\psi}(M) B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1 \psi(M)} d\bar{\psi}(M) d\psi(M) \\ &= \det [I + B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1]\end{aligned}$$

# Partition Function of Many Fermion

## Partial integration

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Tr} \left( e^{-\frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} \right)^M &= \int e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ &\quad \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ &= \int e^{-\bar{\psi}(M) I \psi(M) - \bar{\psi}(M) B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1 \psi(M)} d\bar{\psi}(M) d\psi(M) \\ &= \det [I + B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1]\end{aligned}$$

The following identity is proven:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & +B_1 \\ -B_2 & I & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_3 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -B_4 & I & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -B_M & I \end{bmatrix} = \det [I + B_M B_{M-1} \cdots B_1]$$

# Green's Function by Path Integral

A basic observable: Green's function

$$\langle \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(L_1) \hat{c}_{j\tau}^\dagger(L_2) \rangle = \frac{\int \psi_{i\sigma}(L_1) \bar{\psi}_{j\tau}(L_2) e^{-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}{\int e^{-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}$$

$$M > L_1 > L_2 > 1$$

$$S[\bar{\psi}, \psi] = \sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L) + \bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) - \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)$$

$$\hat{c}_{i\sigma}(L) = e^{+L \frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}} \hat{c}_{i\sigma} e^{-L \frac{\beta}{M} \hat{H}}$$

$$\int e^{-S[\bar{\psi}, \psi]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] = \det [I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}]$$

# Green's Function by Path Integral

Details in evaluation of the numerator

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \psi_{i\sigma}(L_1) \bar{\psi}_{j\tau}(L_2) e^{-\sum_{L=1}^M \bar{\psi}(L) I \psi(L)} \\ & \times e^{-\bar{\psi}(1) B_1 \psi(M) + \sum_{L=2}^M \bar{\psi}(L) B_L \psi(L-1)} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ = & \int \psi_{i\sigma}(L_1) \bar{\psi}_{j\tau}(L_2) e^{-\bar{\psi}(L_1) I \psi(L_1) - \bar{\psi}(L_2) I \psi(L_2)} \\ & \times e^{\bar{\psi}(L_1) B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} \psi(L_2) - \bar{\psi}(L_2) B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_1+1} \psi(L_1)} \\ & \times [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] \\ = & \partial_\lambda \int e^{-\lambda \bar{\psi}(L_2) e(j\tau, i\sigma) \psi(L_1) - \bar{\psi}(L_1) I \psi(L_1) - \bar{\psi}(L_2) I \psi(L_2)} \\ & \times e^{\bar{\psi}(L_1) B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} \psi(L_2) - \bar{\psi}(L_2) B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_1+1} \psi(L_1)} \\ & \times [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] |_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \\ = & \partial_\lambda \int e^{-\bar{\psi}(L_2) I \psi(L_2)} \\ & \times e^{-\lambda \bar{\psi}(L_2) e(j\tau, i\sigma) B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} \psi(L_2) - \bar{\psi}(L_2) B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1} \psi(L_2)} \\ & \times [d\bar{\psi} d\psi] |_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \\ = & \partial_\lambda \det [I + \lambda e(j\tau, i\sigma) B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}] \end{aligned}$$

# Mathematical Tools

A useful matrix

$$e(I, J) = \begin{array}{c|ccccccc} & & & & & & J \\ \hline & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ I & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array}$$

Cofactor expansion of determinant

$$\det A = \sum_K \Delta_{KJ}(A) a_{KJ} \quad (A)_{IJ} = a_{IJ}$$

$$\rightarrow \partial_{a_{IJ}} \det A = \Delta_{IJ}(A)$$

# Green's Function by Path Integral

Evaluation by cofactor

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_\lambda \det [I + \lambda e(j\tau, i\sigma) B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}] \\ &= (B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1})_{i\sigma, k} \Delta_{j\tau, k} (I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left( [I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}]^{-1} \right)_{kj} = \frac{\Delta_{jk} (I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1})}{\det [I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}]}$$

Green's function by BSS

$$\langle \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(L_1) \hat{c}_{j\tau}^\dagger(L_2) \rangle = \left( B_{L_1} \cdots B_{L_2+1} [I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}]^{-1} \right)_{i\sigma, j\tau}$$

Blankenbecler, Scalapino, & Sugar, Phys. Rev. D 24, 2278 (1981).  
Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 31, 4403 (1985).

**Home work:** Equal-time Green's function

$$\langle \hat{c}_{i\sigma} \hat{c}_{j\tau}^\dagger \rangle = \langle \hat{c}_{i\sigma}(L) \hat{c}_{j\tau}^\dagger(L) \rangle = \left( [I + B_{L_2} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L_2+1}]^{-1} \right)_{i\sigma, j\tau}$$

# Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation

R. Stratonovich is best known for the Stratonovich integral (stochastic integral)  
J. Hubbard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 77 (1959).

$$e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} = \exp \left[ \frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} \{ (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow)^2 - \hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow \} \right]$$
$$\hat{n}_\sigma^2 = \hat{n}_\sigma \quad (\hat{n}_\sigma = \hat{c}_\sigma^\dagger \hat{c}_\sigma)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\phi_s \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} \{ \phi_s - (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow) \}^2 \right] = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{\Delta\tau U}}$$

## Continuous Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

$$e^{\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2\pi}} \int d\phi_s \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} \phi_s^2 + \Delta\tau U \phi_s (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow) \right]$$

# Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation

J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 28, 4059 (1983).

## Discrete Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

Find an operator that is equivalent to exponential of doublon

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} |0\rangle &= |0\rangle \\ e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} |\uparrow\rangle &= |\uparrow\rangle \\ e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} |\downarrow\rangle &= |\downarrow\rangle \\ e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= e^{-\Delta\tau U} |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle \end{aligned}$$

An ansatz inspired by the continuous HS transformation

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm 1} \exp \left[ \phi s (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow) - \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} U (\hat{n}_\uparrow + \hat{n}_\downarrow) \right]$$

$$e^{\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2\pi}} \int d\phi_s \exp \left[ -\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2} \phi_s^2 + \Delta\tau U \phi_s (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow) \right]$$

# Hubbard-Stratonovich Transformation

J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 28, 4059 (1983).

## Discrete Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm 1} \exp \left[ \phi s (\hat{n}_\uparrow - \hat{n}_\downarrow) - \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} U (\hat{n}_\uparrow + \hat{n}_\downarrow) \right]$$

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U)|0\rangle = |0\rangle$$

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U)|\uparrow\rangle = e^{-\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{+\phi} + e^{-\phi}}{2} \right) |\uparrow\rangle$$

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U)|\downarrow\rangle = e^{-\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{+\phi} + e^{-\phi}}{2} \right) |\downarrow\rangle$$

$$\hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U)|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = e^{-\Delta\tau U} |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$$

$e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow}  0\rangle$	$=  0\rangle$
$e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow}  \uparrow\rangle$	$=  \uparrow\rangle$
$e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow}  \downarrow\rangle$	$=  \downarrow\rangle$
$e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow}  \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$	$= e^{-\Delta\tau U}  \uparrow\downarrow\rangle$

$$e^{-\frac{\Delta\tau U}{2}} \left( \frac{e^{+\phi} + e^{-\phi}}{2} \right) = 1$$

$$\rightarrow e^{-\Delta\tau U \hat{n}_\uparrow \hat{n}_\downarrow} = \hat{O}_{\text{HS}}(\Delta\tau U)$$

$$\phi = 2 \operatorname{arctanh} \sqrt{\tanh \frac{\Delta\tau U}{4}}$$

$$\left( \tanh \frac{\phi}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{\cosh \phi - 1}{\cosh \phi + 1} = \tanh \frac{\Delta\tau U}{4}$$

# Path Integral for Hubbard Models

## Hubbard model

$$\hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger\}] = - \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}$$

## Split step

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{+\Delta\tau \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} - \Delta\tau U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} \\ &= e^{+\Delta\tau \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma}} e^{-\Delta\tau U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta\tau^2 U^2, \Delta\tau^2 t^2) \end{aligned}$$

## HS transformation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\Delta\tau \hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \sum_{\{s_i\}=\pm 1} e^{\Delta\tau \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma}} \\ &\quad \times e^{\phi \sum_i s_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) - \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} U \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i\downarrow})} \end{aligned}$$

Hubbard model is mapped onto  
an ensemble of free fermions interacting with Ising variables

# Path Integral for Hubbard Models

Split step for kinetic and interaction terms

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-\Delta\tau\hat{H}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \sum_{\{s_i\}=\pm 1} e^{\Delta\tau \sum_{i,j,\sigma} t_{ij} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma}} \\
 &\quad \times e^{\phi \sum_i s_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{n}_{i\downarrow}) - \frac{\Delta\tau}{2} U \sum_i (\hat{n}_{i\uparrow} + \hat{n}_{i\downarrow})} \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \sum_{\{s_i\}=\pm 1} e^{-K[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} e^{-V[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\langle \bar{\psi}(L) | e^{-K[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}]} e^{-V[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} | \psi(L-1) \rangle \\
 = &\int \langle \bar{\psi}(L) | e^{-K[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(L), \psi_{i\sigma}(\ell)\}]} | \psi(\ell) \rangle \langle \bar{\psi}(\ell) | e^{-V[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(\ell), \psi_{i\sigma}(L-1), s_i\}]} | \psi(L-1) \rangle \\
 &\times e^{-\bar{\psi}(\ell) I \psi(\ell)} \prod_{i\sigma} d\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}(\ell) d\psi_{i\sigma}(\ell) \\
 = &e^{\bar{\psi}(L)(I-K_L)(I-V[\{s_i(L)\}])} \psi(L-1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$B_L = (I - K_L)(I - V_L[\{s_i(L)\}])$$

# Path Integral for Hubbard Models

$$\langle \hat{O}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}] \rangle = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{NM} \sum_{\{s_i(L)\}=\pm 1} \int \hat{O}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}\}] e^{-S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{NM} \sum_{\{s_i(L)\}=\pm 1} \int e^{-S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}$$

Weight  $Z[\{s_i\}] = \int e^{-S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]$

$$\langle \hat{O}[\{\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger, \hat{c}_{i\sigma}\}] \rangle = \frac{\sum_{\{s_i\}} Z[\{s_i\}] \frac{\int \hat{O}[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}\}] e^{-S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}{\int e^{-S[\{\bar{\psi}_{i\sigma}, \psi_{i\sigma}, s_i\}]} [d\bar{\psi} d\psi]}}{\sum_{\{s_i\}} Z[\{s_i\}]}$$

Hubbard model is mapped onto  
an ensemble of free fermions feeling Ising one-body potentials

# Update

Update configuration of Ising variables

$$\Delta_L = \frac{I - V_L[\{s'_i(L)\}]}{I - V_L[\{s_i(L)\}]}$$
$$B_L = (I - K_L)(I - V_L[\{s_i(L)\}])$$

$$\frac{Z[\{s'_i\}]}{Z[\{s_i\}]} = \frac{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L \Delta_L]}{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L]}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L \Delta_L]}{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L]} \\ &= \frac{\det [G_L \{I + G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L (\Delta_L - I)\}]}{\det [G_L]} \\ &= \det [I + G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L (\Delta_L - I)] \end{aligned}$$

$$I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L = G_L$$

# Update

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L \Delta_L]}{\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L]} \\ = & \frac{\det [G_L \{I + G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L (\Delta_L - I)\}]}{\det [G_L]} \\ = & \det [I + G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L (\Delta_L - I)] \end{aligned}$$

When the update is given by a local spin flip

$$\Delta_L - I = g_{i\uparrow} e(i \uparrow, i \uparrow) + g_{i\downarrow} e(i \downarrow, i \downarrow)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \det [I + G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L (\Delta_L - I)] \\ = & \prod_{\sigma=\uparrow,\downarrow} (G_L^{-1} B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L)_{i\sigma, i\sigma} g_{i\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

$O(N^2)$  algorithm for the update of inverse  $G_L$  is known

$$(I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L)^{-1} \rightarrow (I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L \Delta_L)^{-1}$$

# Update

Important formula for the update

$$\det [I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L] = \det [I + B_L B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L+1}]$$

$$\begin{aligned} & B_L (I + B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L)^{-1} B_L^{-1} \\ &= (I + B_L B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_L B_L^{-1})^{-1} \\ &= (I + B_L B_{L-1} \cdots B_1 B_M \cdots B_{L+1})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Cost for a MC step  $\mathcal{O}(N^3 M)$


Feynman's Path Integral:

Transform calculations of non-commutative operators  
to ones with commutative numbers or  
*anticommutative Grassmann numbers*

# Application of QMC

- Problems can be solved by QMC & the sign problem
- Dynamical mean-field theory
- Quantum chemistry

# Negative Sign in Suzuki's Path Integral




$$(\hat{W}_{\ell m})_{\sigma_\ell \sigma_m; \sigma_\ell \sigma_m} = \langle \sigma_\ell \sigma_m | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \sigma_\ell \sigma_m \rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{W}_{\ell m} &= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \downarrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\downarrow \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow\downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\downarrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow\uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle \uparrow\uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \hat{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow\uparrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & -e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & -e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

# Rotation of Vector

Infinitesimal rotation  $\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ u_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ +\varepsilon & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\varepsilon & 0 \\ +\varepsilon & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - i\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ +i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\simeq e^{-i\varepsilon \ell_z}$$

$$\ell_z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ +i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ell_x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & +i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ell_y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & +i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

# Finite Angle Rotation

Finite rotation

$$\vec{u} = e^{-i\theta \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell}} \vec{v}$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \left( 1 - i \frac{\theta}{N} \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell} \right)^N = e^{-i\theta \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell}}$$

Rotations are not commutable:

$$e^{-i\theta_1 \ell_z} = \begin{pmatrix} +\cos \theta_1 & -\sin \theta_1 & 0 \\ +\sin \theta_1 & +\cos \theta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & +1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{-i\theta_2 \ell_x} = \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & +\cos \theta_2 & -\sin \theta_2 \\ 0 & +\sin \theta_2 & +\cos \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$e^{-i\theta_1 \ell_z} e^{-i\theta_2 \ell_x} \neq e^{-i\theta_2 \ell_x} e^{-i\theta_1 \ell_z}$$

# Infinitesimal Rotation: An Example of Lie Algebra

$$\text{SU}(2) \quad [\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta] = i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma}\ell_\gamma$$

$$[\ell_\alpha, \ell_\beta] \equiv \ell_\alpha \ell_\beta - \ell_\beta \ell_\alpha \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma = x, y, z$$

Antisymmetric tensor:  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{for } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, y, z), (y, z, x), (z, x, y) \\ -1 & \text{for } (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (x, z, y), (y, x, z), (z, y, x) \\ 0 & \text{others} \end{cases}$

3D representation:

$$\ell_z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ +i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ell_x \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & +i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ell_y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & +i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

2D representation:

$$s_z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} +1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & +1 \\ +1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$s_y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ +i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

有限回転はSO(3)と呼ばれるリー群

$$e^{-i\theta \vec{n} \cdot \vec{\ell}}$$

# 2D Rep. to 3D Rep.

Wave function to spin components

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\langle s_z \rangle \equiv \vec{u}^\dagger s_z \vec{u}$$

$$\langle s_x \rangle \equiv \vec{u}^\dagger s_x \vec{u}$$

$$\langle s_y \rangle \equiv \vec{u}^\dagger s_y \vec{u}$$

$$\vec{s} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle s_x \rangle \\ \langle s_y \rangle \\ \langle s_z \rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

# Rep. & Basis of Rotation Group

“Wave function”    Double rep.

$$e^{-i\phi s_z} e^{-i\theta s_y} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{u} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{+i\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Spin

$$e^{-i\phi \ell_z} e^{-i\theta \ell_y} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{s} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

# Rotation of Local Spin Coordinate

M. Suzuki, S. Miyashita, & A. Kuroda, PTP 58, 1377 (1977).  
As a review, H. G. Evertz, Adv. Phys. 52, 1 (2003).

An example: 1D XXZ model

$$\hat{H} = J_x \sum_i (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z$$



$$\tilde{S}_{2\ell}^x = e^{-i\pi \hat{S}_{2\ell}^z} \hat{S}_{2\ell}^x e^{+i\pi \hat{S}_{2\ell}^z} = -\hat{S}_{2\ell}^x$$

$$\tilde{S}_{2\ell}^y = e^{-i\pi \hat{S}_{2\ell}^z} \hat{S}_{2\ell}^y e^{+i\pi \hat{S}_{2\ell}^z} = -\hat{S}_{2\ell}^y$$

$$\tilde{S}_{2\ell+1}^x = \hat{S}_{2\ell+1}^x$$

$$\tilde{S}_{2\ell+1}^y = \hat{S}_{2\ell+1}^y$$


$$\tilde{H} = -J_x \sum_i (\tilde{S}_i^x \tilde{S}_{i+1}^x + \tilde{S}_i^y \tilde{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z$$

# Removal of Negative Weight

$$\tilde{H} = -J_x \sum_i (\tilde{S}_i^x \tilde{S}_{i+1}^x + \tilde{S}_i^y \tilde{S}_{i+1}^y) + J_z \sum_i \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z$$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}_{\ell m} &= \begin{pmatrix} \langle \downarrow \downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow \downarrow \rangle & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \uparrow \downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle & \langle \uparrow \downarrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & \langle \downarrow \uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow \downarrow \rangle & \langle \downarrow \uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \downarrow \uparrow \rangle & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \langle \uparrow \uparrow | e^{-\delta_\tau \tilde{H}_{\ell m}} | \uparrow \uparrow \rangle \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & +e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & +e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \sinh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & e^{+\delta_\tau J_z/4} \cosh(\delta_\tau J_x/2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-\delta_\tau J_z/4} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Applicable to bipartite lattice




# Negative Sign in Fermions

M. Troyer and U.-J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 170201 (2005).

Another formulation of path integral

$$Z = \text{tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \text{tr}[\hat{H}^n]$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \langle \nu_1 | \hat{H} | \nu_2 \rangle \langle \nu_2 | \hat{H} | \nu_3 \rangle \cdots \langle \nu_n | \hat{H} | \nu_1 \rangle$$



# Negative Sign in Fermions

M. Troyer and U.-J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 170201 (2005).


$$|\nu_1\rangle = \hat{c}_2^\dagger \hat{c}_0^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$|\nu_4\rangle = \hat{c}_2^\dagger \hat{c}_1^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$|\nu_3\rangle = \hat{c}_3^\dagger \hat{c}_1^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$|\nu_2\rangle = \hat{c}_3^\dagger \hat{c}_2^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$$|\nu_1\rangle = \hat{c}_2^\dagger \hat{c}_0^\dagger |0\rangle$$



$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_i) \quad \text{nearest-neighbor hopping}$$

$$\langle \nu_1 | \hat{H} | \nu_2 \rangle \langle \nu_2 | \hat{H} | \nu_3 \rangle \langle \nu_3 | \hat{H} | \nu_4 \rangle \langle \nu_4 | \hat{H} | \nu_1 \rangle = -1$$

# Definition of Averaged Sign

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \text{tr}[e^{-\beta \hat{H}}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \text{tr}[\hat{H}^n] \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} \langle \nu_1 | \hat{H} | \nu_2 \rangle \langle \nu_2 | \hat{H} | \nu_3 \rangle \cdots \langle \nu_n | \hat{H} | \nu_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n} p(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_n) = \sum_c p(c) \end{aligned}$$

M. Troyer and U.-J. Wiese, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 170201 (2005).

$$\langle \text{sign} \rangle = \frac{\sum_c p(c)}{\sum_c |p(c)|} = \frac{Z_f}{Z_b} = e^{-V\beta(f_f - f_b)}$$

-Basis dependent

For example, H. Shinaoka *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B 92, 195126 (2015).

# Symmetry Removes Negative Sign

BSS QMC for Hubbard model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma}) + U \sum_i \hat{c}_{i\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\uparrow} \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}$$

Weight for each Ising configuration:  $Z = Z_\uparrow Z_\downarrow$

$$Z_\sigma = \det [I + B_M^\sigma B_{M-1}^\sigma \cdots B_1^\sigma]$$

Attractive Hubbard model  $U < 0$

-Ising variables are spin independent  $Z_\uparrow = Z_\downarrow$

Repulsive Hubbard model  $U > 0$

-Particle-hole symmetry  $Z_\uparrow = c Z_\downarrow$  ( $c > 0$ )

J. E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. B 28, 4059 (1983).



cf.) symmetry of Kane-Mele-Hubbard model

# Dynamical Mean Field and Impurity Model

As a review, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and Marcelo J. Rozenberg,  
Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).

## Mapping from lattice model to impurity model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle, \sigma} (\hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma}) + U \sum_i \hat{c}_{i\uparrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\uparrow} \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\downarrow}$$



$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j,\sigma} \epsilon_j \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \sum_{j,\sigma} v_j (\hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{d}_\sigma + \hat{d}_\sigma^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma}) + U \hat{d}_\uparrow^\dagger \hat{d}_\uparrow \hat{d}_\downarrow^\dagger \hat{d}_\downarrow$$

# Dynamical Mean Field and Impurity Model

As a review, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and Marcelo J. Rozenberg,  
Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).

## Self-consistent impurity model

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{j,\sigma} \epsilon_j \hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma} + \sum_{j,\sigma} v_j (\hat{c}_{j\sigma}^\dagger \hat{d}_\sigma + \hat{d}_\sigma^\dagger \hat{c}_{j\sigma}) + U \hat{d}_\uparrow^\dagger \hat{d}_\uparrow \hat{d}_\downarrow^\dagger \hat{d}_\downarrow$$

## -Green's function of impurity model

$$G^{\text{imp}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta + \mu - \Sigma^{\text{imp}}(\omega) - \Delta(\omega)}$$

## -Dynamical mean-field

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega) &= \omega + i\delta + \mu - \Sigma^{\text{imp}}(\omega) - \left[ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\vec{k}} \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta + \mu - \epsilon(\vec{k}) - \Sigma^{\text{imp}}(\omega)} \right]^{-1} \\ &= \sum_j \frac{|v_j|^2}{\omega + i\delta - \epsilon_j} \end{aligned}$$


You can find applications of DMFT in G. Kotliar, *et al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 865 (2006).

# Configuration Interaction Monte Carlo

G. H. Booth, A. Grüneis, G. Kresse, A. Alavi, Nature 493, 365 (2013).


Sampling basis set

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_m C_m \left[ \prod_{\lambda} \left( \hat{c}_{\lambda}^{\dagger} \right)^{\nu_{\lambda}^{(m)}} \right] |0\rangle$$





# Example of Applications: Spin Excitations in Square Lattice Heisenberg model

$\text{Cu}(\text{DCOO})_2 \cdot 4\text{D}_2\text{O}$



B. Dalla Piazza, *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 11, 62 (2015)

$S=1/2$  Heisenberg



H. Shao, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. X 7, 041072 (2017)

## References

-Dynamical mean-field theory

A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg,  
Rev. Mod. Phys. 68, 13 (1996).

T. Maier, M. Jarrell, T. Pruschke, and M. H. Hettler,  
Rev. Mod. Phys. 77, 1027 (2005).

G. Kotliar, S. Y. Savrasov, K. Haule, V. S. Oudovenko, O. Parcollet, and C. Marianetti,  
Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 865 (2006).

## Next Week

### Linear algebra in many-body physics

-Eigenvalue problem for fermions

-Eigenvalue problem for bosons

# Lecture Schedule

Exercise: sample\_Hubbard\_small\_v0.ipynb,  
sample\_vmc\_helium4.ipynb

- #8 Quantum lattice models and numerical approaches
- #9 Quantum Monte Carlo methods
- #10 Applications of quantum Monte Carlo methods
- #11 Linear algebra of large and sparse matrices for quantum many-body problems**
- #12 Large sparse matrices and quantum statistical mechanics
- #13 Modern algorithms for quantum many-body problems

6/27 11th

- Blankenbecler-Scalapino-Sugar, auxiliary field MC (1981)
- (World line MC)