

# ***Complexity Analysis***

## **Time Complexity**

$O(N \times I)$

- $N$  = number of bats (candidate solutions)
- $I$  = number of iterations

Each bat per iteration performs:

- Frequency and velocity update  $\rightarrow O(1)$
- Position update and boundary check  $\rightarrow O(1)$
- Fitness evaluation (Euclidean distance)  $\rightarrow O(1)$
- Acceptance check and possible local random walk  $\rightarrow O(1)$

So, the algorithm's total work scales linearly with both the number of bats and the number of iterations.

## **Space Complexity**

$O(N)$

Each bat stores:

- Position (x, y)
- Velocity vector
- Frequency value
- Fitness (implicitly or explicitly)

Overall space required is linear in the number of bats.

## **Notes**

- Efficient for real-time or embedded optimization in discrete environments like grid-based drone routing.
- Frequency tuning and loudness/pulse control provide a balance between exploration and exploitation.
- Can be extended with:
  - **Dynamic targets or obstacles**

- **Time-window constraints**
  - **Energy-aware flight modeling**
- More stable convergence than pure random methods due to controlled exploration.