
Project Proposal : CS3543 - Computer Networks 2

Mini-Nmap

Harsh Agarwal
cs15btech11019

S. Vishwak
cs15btech11043

1 Objective of the project

The objective of this project is to implement a native version of Nmap - focusing more on various kinds of host discovery and port-scanning algorithms.

2 Description of the project

2.1 Host Discovery

One of the very first steps in any network reconnaissance mission is to reduce a set of IP ranges into a list of active or interesting hosts. Scanning every port of every single IP address is slow and usually unnecessary. The idea in host discovery is to send *concurrent* ICMP messages to all the hosts in a network, and continue to do so until we have found a list of active IPs in the subnet. Host discovery is sometimes called ping scan. Nmap provides a lot more features to host discovery - which will take a lot of time to implement in entirety, and hence we are focusing on ICMP based host-discovery with certain options obtained by configuring the ICMP packet.

2.2 Port Scanning

A very popular method to perform port scanning is using the TCP SYN field, and is also called the TCP SYN scan. Here the host which is scanning (the scanner) sends a TCP packet with the SYN bit set and waits for either one of a SYN/ACK or a RST to detect open ports. We will be implementing this method along with other variants which are briefly described below:

- FIN scan \Rightarrow Send TCP packet with FIN bit set and wait for FIN or RST response to detect open ports.
- Xmas scan \Rightarrow Send TCP packet with FIN, URG, PUSH bit set and wait for FIN or RST response to detect open ports.
- Null scan \Rightarrow Send TCP packet with no special / flag bits being set and wait for FIN or RST response to detect open ports.

There are also some indirect scanning techniques. The idea is to use the (spoofed) IP address of a third host to disguise the real scanning system. Since the scanned host will react by sending or not certain segments to the spoofed host, all that is needed is to monitor the IP activity of the spoofed host to know the results of the original scan. Our implementation will include three methods in indirect scanning:

- Dumb Scan \Rightarrow Use a victim computer to scan target computer ports.
- Decoy Scan \Rightarrow Send many packets with spoofed IP addresses and 1 genuine address. This makes it hard to pin-point the "attacker" IP.
- `identd` Scan \Rightarrow By exploiting the Identification Protocol, retrieve the username (Userid) that owns the daemon running on a specified port.

We also plan on implementing an ARP poisoning technique. This tool is divided into 3 parts.

- The ARP Poisoner module responds back to every ARP request made by the target with scanner's own MAC address.
- The Packet Sender sends UDP packets with source port as the port being scanned.
- The Sniffer captures all the UDP and ICMP packets coming to the scanner and predicts whether port is open or closed.

3 Contributions from members

- Harsh: TCP SYN scan, Xmas scan, Dumb scan, ARP Poisoner and Sniffer, `identd` Scan.
- Vishwak: Host Discovery techniques using ICMP, FIN scan, Decoy scan and ARP packet sender.

Please note that this list is temporary and could evolve during the course of the project. Since we are using GitHub as a VCS, evaluators will be able to note contributions from there.

4 Technologies that will used and deliverables

Technologies

For testing purposes, we will create a private subnet on an `OpenStack` setup and spawn many VMs on this subnet. We will open multiple ports on all VMs and test our tool on them. For version control, we will be using GitHub, and `Wireshark` and/or `tcpdump` for capturing packet traffic and behavioural aspects. We hope to also use `Prometheus` as a monitoring system. For coding, we will preferably stick the Linux network API in C / C++ and occasionally use Python as well. Paper references are specified in the end of this document.

Deliverables

At the end of the project, we will submit the source code. Using `Wireshark` and/or `tcpdump`, submit flow graphs of conversation taking place between scanner and victim. `Prometheus` will be able to provide information regarding traffic ingress and egress on the victim. We will also provide a small report discussing about the accuracy of various port scanning techniques, since modern OSes have checks to prevent canonical port-scanning techniques.

5 Scope for Improvement and future work

All the above listed items will be implemented definitely as a part of the project. In addition - provided time permits, we hope to implement a few ideas specified below:

- Port Scanning Detection techniques \Rightarrow how to find out if an open port is being scanned?
- Stack Fingerprinting and OS Detection \Rightarrow detecting operating systems using the format of the reply obtained from the client. This is possible due to certain (un)specifications in the RFCs.

References

- M. Dabbagh, A. J. Ghandour, K. Fawaz, W. E. Hajj, and H. Hajj. Slow port scanning detection. 2011.
- Marco de Vivo, Le Ke, Germinal Isern, and Gabriela O. de Vivo. A review of port scanning techniques. 1999.
- Sumit Kumar and Sithu D Sudarsan. An innovative udp port scanning technique. 2014.
- Gordon Fyodor Lyon. *Nmap Network Scanning: The Official Nmap Project Guide to Network Discovery and Security Scanning*. 2009.