# Inverted Alchemy: An Integral Economy

Wealth and the metrics used to measure it have become increasingly devoid of the dimensionality intrinsic to humanity. Welcome to the discussion of a new vision and an alternative Ancient Future of Wealth.

Wednesday, April 22, 2020

# COVID-19 Anti-Trust Argument

Some of this information was submitted to the Office of the Inspector General for the United States Department of Health and Human Services on April 22, 2020

Request for Investigation - Possible Sherman Act Violation

Citizens of the United States of America

v.

United States Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Robert R Redfield, et al.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Anthony Stephen Fauci, et al.

Governors of All States Issuing Executive Orders abridging the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment of the Constitution

University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

Professor Ralph Baric, et al.

And unknown Parties

On April 25, 2003, the United States Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (hereinafter, "CDC") filed an application for a United States (Application Number US46592703P, subsequently issued as U.S. Patent 7,776,521) entitled "Coronavirus isolated from humans". Claim 3 –A method of detecting a severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in a sample...; and, Claim 4 - A kit for detecting a severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) in a sample..., provided the CDC with a statutory market exclusion right the detection of and sampling for severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV). Securing this right afforded the CDC exclusive right to research, commercially exploit, or block others from conducting activities involving SARS-CoV. On September 24, 2018, the CDC failed to pay the required maintenance fees on this patent and their rights expired.

From April 2003 until September 2018, the CDC owned SARS-CoV, its ability to be detected and the ability to manufacture kits for its assessment. During this 15-year period, the effect of the grant of this right – ruled unconstitutional in 2013 by the United States Supreme Court in the case of *Association for Molecular Pathology et al. v. Myriad Genetics* – meant that the commercial exploitation of any research or commercial activity in the United States involving SARS-CoV would constitute an infringement of CDC's illegal patent.

It appears that, during the period of patent enforcement and after the Supreme Court ruling confirming that patents on genetic material was illegal, the CDC and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases led by Anthony Fauci (hereinafter "NIAID" and "Dr Fauci", respectively) entered into trade among States (including, but not limited to working with Ecohealth Alliance Inc.) and with foreign nations (specifically, the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Chinese Academy of Sciences) through the 2014 *et seq* National Institutes of Health Grant Ro1AI110964 to exploit their patent rights.

It further appears that, during the period of patent enforcement and after the Supreme Court ruling confirming that patents on genetic material were illegal, the CDC and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (hereinafter "NIAID") entered into trade among States (including, but not limited to working with University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill) and with foreign nations (specifically, the Wuhan Institute of Virology and the Chinese Academy of Sciences represented by Zheng-Li Shi) through U19AI109761 (Ralph S. Baric), U19AI107810 (Ralph S. Baric), and National Natural Science Foundation of China Award 81290341 (Zheng-Li Shi) *et al.* 

It further appears that, during the period of patent enforcement and after the Supreme Court ruling confirming that patents on genetic material was illegal, the CDC and NIAID entered into trade among States (including, but not limited to working with University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill) and with foreign nations to conduct chimeric construction of novel coronavirus material with specific virulence properties prior to, during, and following the determination made by the National Institutes for Health in October 17, 2014 that this work was not sufficiently understood for its biosecurity and safety standards.

In this inquiry, it is presumed that the CDC and its associates were: a) fully aware of the work being performed using their patented technology; b) entered into explicit or implicit agreements including licensing, or other consideration; and, c) willfully engaged one or more foreign interests to carry forward the exploitation of their proprietary technology when the U.S. Supreme Court confirmed that such patents were illegal and when the National Institutes of Health issued a moratorium on such research.

The aforementioned items appear to constitute, "contract, combination in the form of trust or otherwise, or conspiracy," as defined under 15 US Code § 1.

Under 15 U.S. Code §1 (the Sherman Antitrust Act) "Every contract, combination in the form of trust or otherwise, or conspiracy, in restraint of trade or commerce among the several States, or with foreign nations, is declared to be illegal. Every person who shall make any contract or engage in any combination or conspiracy hereby declared to be illegal shall be deemed guilty of a felony, and, on conviction thereof, shall be punished by fine not exceeding \$100,000,000 if a corporation, or, if any other person, \$1,000,000, or by imprisonment not exceeding 10 years, or by both said punishments, in the discretion of the court."

Reportedly, in January 2018, the U.S. Embassy in China sent investigators to Wuhan Institute of Virology and found that, "During interactions with scientists at the WIV laboratory, they noted the new lab has a serious shortage of appropriately trained technicians and investigators needed to safely operate this high-containment laboratory." The *Washington Post* reported that this information was contained in a cable dated 19 January 2018. Over a year later, in June 2019, the CDC conducted an inspection of Fort Detrick's U.S. Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (hereinafter "USAMRIID") and ordered it closed after alleging that their inspection found biosafety hazards. A report in the journal *Nature* in 2003 (423(6936): 103) reported cooperation

between CDC and USAMRIID on coronavirus research followed by considerable subsequent collaboration. The CDC, for what appear to be the same type of concern identified in Wuhan, elected to continue work with the Chinese government while closing the U.S. Army facility.

Reportedly, on December 31, 2019, the Chinese government informed the World Health Organization (WHO) that a number of cases of suspected coronavirus-associated SARS cases were being treated in the area of Wuhan. The CDC reported the first case of SARS-CoV like illness in the United States in January 2020 with the CDC's Epidemic Intelligence Service reporting 650 clinical cases and 210 tests. Given that the suspected pathogen was first implicated in official reports on December 31, 2019, one can only conclude that CDC: a) had the mechanism and wherewithal to conduct tests to confirm the existence of a "novel coronavirus"; or, b) did not have said mechanism and falsely reported the information in January. It tests credulity to suggest that the WHO or the CDC could manufacture and distribute tests for a "novel" pathogen when their own subsequent record on development and deployment of tests has been shown to be without reliability.

Notwithstanding, the CDC and WHO elected to commit to a narrative of a novel coronavirus – exhibiting properties that were anticipated in the U.S. Patent 7,618,802 issued to the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill's Ralph Baric – and, in the absence of testing protocols, elected to insist that SARS-CoV-2 was the pathogen responsible for conditions that were consistent with moderate to severe acute respiratory syndrome.

On March 4, 2020, California Governor Gavin Newsome appears to have violated the law of the State of California by issuing Executive Order N-33-20 based on the "threat of COVID-19" with no evidence that such threat existed as confirmed by serology or confirmed immunologic evidence. The Government Code sections cited in the Order (Government Code sections 8567, 8627, and 8665) require that criteria be met which do not include the "threat" of any condition but evidence of said condition. At that time, neither the CDC nor the WHO had sufficient testing in place to: a) confirm and isolate "a novel coronavirus" from other coronaviruses; b) California did not have pathology data to suggest that an epidemic was imminent; and, c) the rest of the United States was equally incapable of making any such assessment as a result of the aforementioned conspiring parties actions. Governor Newsome's Executive Order, followed by numerous other similar orders, all are based on the threat of a thing that may or may not exist.

Around March 12, 2020, in an effort to enrich their own economic interests by way of securing additional funding from both Federal and Foundation actors, the CDC and NIAID's Dr Fauci elected to suspend testing and classify COVID-19 by capricious symptom presentation alone. Not surprisingly, this was necessitated by the apparent *fall in cases* that constituted Dr. Fauci's and others' criteria for depriving citizens of their 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment rights. At present, the standard according to the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists Interim-20-ID-01 for COVID-19 classification is:

In outpatient or telehealth settings at least two of the following symptoms: fever (measured or subjective), chills, rigors, myalgia, headache, sore throat, new olfactory and taste disorder(s)

OR

at least one of the following symptoms: cough, shortness of breath, or difficulty breathing OR Severe respiratory illness with at least one of the following:

- Clinical or radiographic evidence of pneumonia, or
- Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS).

AND No alternative more likely diagnosis

Laboratory Criteria for Reporting

- Detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in a clinical specimen using a molecular amplification detection test.
- Detection of specific antigen in a clinical specimen.
- Detection of specific antibody in serum, plasma, or whole blood indicative of a new or recent infection.\* \*serologic methods for diagnosis are currently being defined

After inflicting grave harm to the citizens of the United States of America in economic hardships resulting from their allegation of an "epidemic" or "pandemic", the CDC and the NIAID set forth, and the President of the United States and various Governors in the respective States promulgated, standards for lifting conditions in violation of the 1<sup>st</sup> Amendment to the Constitution that serve exclusively to enrich them. Both the presence of a vaccine or treatment and, or, the development of testing – both that solely benefit the possible conspiring parties and their coconspirators – are set as a condition for re-opening the country. This appears to be an unambiguous violation of the Sherman Act and, if so, should be prosecuted immediately to the full extent of the law.

Additional information is available upon request.

Submitted this 22<sup>nd</sup> of April, 2020

Dr. David E. Martin – all Whistleblower Rights and Protections Reserved

# David Martin at 6:33 PM

#### 18 comments:



#### Brett April 23, 2020 at 3:03 AM

additional information is herein requested - thank you, David

Reply

#### Anonymous April 27, 2020 at 4:38 AM

This document will be given to an internationally known immunologist and the support team, and also an internationally connected integrative practitioner. Your and Kims's work is multidimensionally humanitarian. All success to those of like consciousness, and may all beings benefit.

Reply



#### Octohorse April 28, 2020 at 9:41 PM

I'd like to know if advocating this approach mandatory or widespread testing might result. I do not want testing

Reply



#### Adlm April 29, 2020 at 10:27 AM

More investigations may be a waste of time and may be quietly quashed. Would not a class action law suit under the RICO Act have more teeth and produce immediate results through discovery. It seems to me that there are serious RICO Act violations as well and these are in addition to those you have so well articulated above.

Reply



# Unknown April 29, 2020 at 11:52 AM

I would suggest the Attorney General in your state receive this email. Everyone should be asking the AG if the state requirements are legal.

Reply



#### David Merrill April 29, 2020 at 1:13 PM

I looked into it: https://drive.google.com/open?id=1mzuUbJR8rHl4iMlxl8ocNslB7c4PIFpL

Reply



#### David Merrill April 29, 2020 at 1:21 PM

What state was this filed in? I will look on PACER.

Citizens of the United States of America

٧.

United States Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Robert R Redfield, et al.

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Anthony Stephen Fauci, et al.

This is what came up for DC.

https://drive.google.com/open?id=1mzuUbJR8rHl4iMlxl8ocNslB7c4PIFpL

Reply



# Andrew Johnson April 30, 2020 at 3:05 AM

Thanks so much for all your work and efforts David!

Reply



# Andrew Johnson April 30, 2020 at 3:05 AM

Thanks so much for all your work and efforts David!

#### Reply

#### **Replies**



# David Merrill May 1, 2020 at 3:46 PM

You are quite welcome.

#### Reply



# blowt000366@gmail.com April 30, 2020 at 4:24 AM

vime deleted your film post a new link in response to this comment

# Reply



# David Merrill April 30, 2020 at 8:18 AM

I looked into it. Thanks!!

https://drive.google.com/open?id=1mzuUbJR8rHl4iMlxl8ocNslB7c4PIFpL

It looks like an attorney got hold of the Citizens and changed them into American Oversight.

#### Reply



# Leon Gore May 1, 2020 at 12:07 AM

Hi David, can you please verify, when I check the documents of US PATENT 7776521, It says that the Assignee in which term I also not fully understand indicated this: Assignee: The United States of America as represented by the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Washington, DC)

N/A (N/A) and at the same time checking on Dr. Fauci this is the information I got: Anthony Stephen Fauci is an American physician and immunologist who has served as the director of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases since 1984e My queries now is, if Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases is the same or are they belong in one organization and if yes what government organization is that. I would like to share your work but this one I would like to be clear about. Thank you

#### Reply

# **Replies**



# David Merrill May 1, 2020 at 12:20 PM

https://drive.google.com/open?id=12J45Wmr7V3KR0F9EVZ2qnekUZcFPzeVM

and

https://drive.google.com/open?id=15\_6Q2s0Vv4zUS7wY4K1WtzdNSdiesOg4

Might be of help.

Also:

https://intelligence.weforum.org/topics/a1G0X000006O6EHUA0?tab=publications

# **Reply**



# Mr. Augie May 1, 2020 at 7:07 AM

Brilliant. Where is the pdf w/ documentation you showed in video?

Reply



# Carol Brouillet May 1, 2020 at 8:18 AM

Thank-you David for all your work. It is extremely helpful. FYI, due to "Shelter in Place" our Northern California 9/11 Truth Alliance meetings were suspended. We see what is happening as "9/11 on steroids" and I have been working (when possible) on understanding what is going on and challenging the obviously false narrative which has been used to place under "house arrest" the bulk of humanity. I do greatly appreciate the details that you have provided that are actionable. Whitney Webb has also done some very good investigative work on this, showing how this event accomplishes the goals of the extremely wealthy, now tasked to renovate the American economy (for their enrichment and at great expense to our liberties, health, well being). The fear has conveniently swept aside legacy systems, that they would like to replace with their "AI" wetdreams. I am so glad that you are on our side!

Reply



#### Unknown May 1, 2020 at 12:38 PM

Thank you, I'm Australian with the same situation, and I have been writing my own Will and Mandate demands to my political members and the prime minister of their criminal violations.. Thank you again for standing on the truth..!

Reply



#### Lana A. May 1, 2020 at 2:18 PM

Hi David, I am trying to find the link to the latter we can send to people. Seems the links I found do not work. Can you help please? Thank you! Thank you also for all of your hard work and for sharing. God bless.

Reply



Thank you for your comment. I look forward to considering this in the expanding dialogue. Dave

Home >

# View web version

#### **About Me**



David Martin
Charlottesville, Virginia, United States
View my complete profile

Powered by Blogger.