```
CSE431 HW5
```

```
Siqi Cheng, 50388579
```

```
Problem 1: (1):
```

Certificate: A set of 3 tuples representing joints covering the entire graph.

Verifier Algorithm:

We have to make sure each vertex is in one 3-tuple only, and verify for each 3-tuple (vi, vj, vk), (vi, vj) and (vj, vk) are edges.

```
def verifier(G, joints):
  V, E = G
  covered vertices = empty set
  for joint in joints:
     if len(joint) != 3:
       return False
     vi, vj, vk = joint
     if not ((vi, vj) in E or (vj, vi) in E) or not ((vj, vk) in E or (vk, vj) in E):
     Add vi, vj, vk to covered_vertices
  If covered vertices = V:
     Return True
  Else:
     Return False
(2):
Certificate: A 2 × 2 submatrix of \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} in the original matrix.
Verifier Algorithm:
Function verifier(matrix, i, j):
  if matrix[i][j] == 1 and matrix[i+1][j] == 0 and matrix[i][j+1] == 0 and matrix[i+1][j+1] == 1:
     return True
  return False
```

(3):

Certificate: A sequence of moves (UP, DOWN, LEFT, RIGHT) from the starting position to the destination.

```
Verifier Algorithm:
Function verifier(grid, moves):
  position = starting position
  orientation = standing
  For each move in moves:
    if move == "UP":
      if orientation == standing:
         position.y -= 2
         orientation = horizontal
      elif orientation == horizontal and position is horizontal on y-axis:
         position.y -= 1
         orientation = standing
      else:
         position.x -= 1
    if not position_is_valid(grid, position, orientation):
      return False
  return (position is at destination and orientation is standing)
Function position_is_valid(grid, position, orientation):
  Check if the block, given its position and orientation, is on valid cells of the grid.
  Return True if valid, otherwise False
```

```
(4):
Certificate: A subset of k vertices that forms an independent set in G.
Verifier Algorithm:
Function verifier(G, S, k):
   if |S| != k:
     return False
   for every pair of vertices (u, v) in S:
     if (u, v) is an edge in E:
        return False
```

return True

## Problem 2:

(1): Given a 3-SAT instance of  $\phi$  = (x1  $\lor$  x2  $\lor$  x3)  $\land$  (x1  $\lor$  x2  $\lor$  x3), what is the SUBSET-SUM instance we get using the above reduction? Is it a yes-instance or a no-instance?

Given:

$$n = 3(x1, x2, x3)$$

m = 2(2 clauses)

For x1:

$$a1 = 10^{(m+1)} + sum(10^{1} + 0) = 1010$$

$$b1 = 10^{(m+1)} + sum(0 + 10^{2}) = 1100$$

For x2:

$$a2 = 10^{(m+2)} + sum(10^{1} + 10^{2}) = 10^{4} + 110 = 10110$$

$$b2 = 10^{(m+2)} + sum(0 + 0) = 10^4 + 0 = 10000$$

For x3:

$$a3 = 10^{(m+3)} + sum(0 + 0) = 10^5 + 0 = 100000$$

$$b3 = 10^{(m+3)} + sum(10^{1} + 10^{2}) = 10^{5} + 110 = 100110$$

For clause c1 & c2:

$$d1 = h1 = 10^1 = 10$$

$$d2 = h2 = 10^2 = 100$$

The set S is:

For the target t:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{m+i} + 3 * \sum_{j=1}^{m} 10^{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3} 10^{2+i} + 3 * \sum_{j=1}^{2} 10^{j} = 10^{3} + 10^{4} + 10^{5} + 3(10^{1} + 10^{2}) = 1000 + 10000 + 100000 + 330 = 111330$$

The subset {b1, a2, b3, d1} from S sums up to t = 111330, so the SUBSET-SUM instance derived from the 3-SAT problem is **yes instance** 

## (2): 2. Given a 3-SAT instance of $\phi = (x1 \lor x2) \land (x1 \lor x2) \land (x1 \lor x2) \land (x1 \lor x2)$

Given: n=2 (x1 & x2)

m=4 (for the 4 clauses)

For x1:

$$a1 = 10^{(m+1)} + sum(10^{1} + 10^{2} + 0 + 0) = 10^{5} + 10 + 100 = 100110$$

$$b1 = 10^{(m+1)} + sum(0 + 0 + 10^3 + 10^4) = 10^5 + 10^3 + 10^4 = 111000$$

For x2:

$$a2 = 10^{(m+2)} + sum(10^{1} + 0 + 10^{3} + 0) = 10^{6} + 10 + 1000 = 1001010$$

$$b2 = 10^{(m+2)} + sum(0 + 10^2 + 0 + 10^4) = 10^6 + 10^2 + 10^4 = 1010100$$

For the clauses:

d1=h1=10

d2=h2=100

d3=h3=1000

d4=h4=10000

The set S is:

For the target t:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} 10^{m+i} + 3 * \sum_{j=1}^{m} 10^{j}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} 10^{4+i} + 3 * \sum_{j=1}^{4} 10^{j} = 10^{6} + 10^{5} + 3(10^{1} + 10^{2} + 10^{3} + 10^{4}) = 1133330$$

We cannot find any set of number sum equal to t 1133330, thus, the SUBSET-SUM instance derived from the 3-SAT problem is a no-instance, indicating that the 3-SAT formula  $\varphi$  is unsatisfiable.

## **Problem 3**

(1):

Input:

A set V of loot boxes, where each loot box i has an integer value vi

Output:

Does there exist a subset  $V' \subseteq V$  such that:

$$\sum_{vi \in V'} vi = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} vi$$

This problem called Bank Robbery Mission problem.

(2):

Certificate: The subset  $V' \subseteq V$  which sums to half the total value of all loot boxes is the certificate.

Verifier Algorithm: Given an instance of V and a certificate

- 1. V' is a subset of V.
- 2. The sum of elements in V' is equal to half the total value of all elements in V.

function verifier(V, V prime):

```
total_value = sum(V)
if not all(v in V for v in V_prime):
    return False
return sum(V prime) == total value / 2
```

(3):

No, this problem is not in P. As we can see, the bank robbery mission problem is NP-hard.

we can use a direct polynomial-time reduction from the Partition Problem.

An instance of the Partition Problem, a set W of integers. Use W as our set of loot boxes V for the Bank Robbery Mission problem. Each integer w in W becomes a value vi in V. A solution to the Partition Problem (two subsets with equal sums) corresponds directly to a solution to the

Bank Robbery Mission problem (a subset whose sum is half of V's total value). Therefor, Bank Robbery Mission problem is NP-hard, since the Partition Problem is NP-complete.