

① Given, the eigen^{value} decomposition of A

$$A = Q \Lambda Q^T \quad \text{where } Q = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

SVD of A would be in form $A = U \tilde{\Sigma} V^T$;
U, V are orthogonal matrices, $\tilde{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} 6_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 6_3 \end{bmatrix}$ $6_1 \geq 6_2 \geq 6_3 > 0$

Given A is a symmetric matrix, Q is orthogonal and has

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{21} & u_{31} \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & u_{32} \\ u_{13} & u_{23} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

transforming the negative sign, by changing sign of relevant column in U.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & -u_{13} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & -u_{23} \\ u_{31} & u_{32} & -u_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{21} & u_{31} \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & u_{32} \\ u_{13} & u_{23} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

transforming order of values to make sure diagonal elements of the middle matrix are in descending order.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & -u_{13} & u_{12} \\ u_{21} & -u_{23} & u_{22} \\ u_{31} & -u_{33} & u_{32} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{21} & u_{31} \\ u_{13} & u_{23} & u_{33} \\ u_{12} & u_{22} & u_{32} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{--- ①}$$

Hence equation (1) is the SVD of A with

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} u_{11} & u_{12} & u_{13} \\ u_{21} & u_{22} & u_{23} \\ u_{31} & u_{32} & u_{33} \end{bmatrix} \quad \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} \\ v_{31} & v_{32} & v_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

(2) PROOF OF KYFAN THEOREM

$H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$; H is symmetric matrix with eigen

values $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ corresponding eigenvectors $U = [u_1, \dots, u_n]$

We have to prove that $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = \max_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}; A^T A = I_k} \text{trace}(A^T H A)$

and the optimal $A^* = [u_1, \dots, u_k] Q$, $Q = \text{arbitrary orthogonal matrix}$

proof: ~~here~~ let eigen decomposition of H be

$H = U \Lambda U^T$; $\Lambda = \text{diagonal matrix with eigen values}$

$$A^T H A = A^T (U \Lambda U^T) A = A^T U \Lambda U^T A$$

assume $A^T U = B^T$. Then $A^T H A = B^T \Lambda B$

$$\text{trace}(A^T H A) = \text{trace}(B^T \Lambda B)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} t_{ik} \Lambda_{kj} t_{jn} \\ = \sum_k \left(\Lambda_{kk} \sum_n t_{kn}^T t_{kn} \right)$$

Here $t_{kn}^T t_{kn} \leq 1$ as A is semiorthogonal and U is orthogonal

Here A is semiorthogonal as $A^T A = I_k$, i.e. $A^T = A^{-1}$ for $k \times k$ rows and columns, with rest being 0.

then $\text{tr}_k = 1$, is when $U_i^T A_i$ is not orthogonal for $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$ $U_i^T A_i = 1$

and when $U_j^T A_j$ is orthogonal for $j = k+1, \dots, n$ $U_j^T A_j = 0$.

$$\max \text{trace}(B^T \Lambda B) = \max \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i \sum_{j=1}^k b_i^T b_j + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \lambda_j \sum_{j=k+1}^n b_j^T b_j \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k.$$

Hence $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = \max_{A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}, A^T A = I_k} \text{trace}(A^T H A)$

$\forall i \in [1, k]$, $\|A^T U_i\|_2 = 1 \Rightarrow U_i = A q_i$ where q_1, \dots, q_k are orthonormal

For $k+1 \leq i \leq n \Rightarrow \|A^T U_i\| = 0$

Hence $A^* = [u_1, \dots, u_k] Q$ where

$$Q = [q_1, \dots, q_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$$

5) Bonus Question.

let us take the training and testing kernels as K_1 and K_2 $K_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $K_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

training data: $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$; testing data: $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times l}$
for some number of features k .

$$K_1 = A A^T; \text{ let } \text{corralt} \text{ } \Sigma \text{ of } K_1 = U \Sigma U^T$$

$$K_1 = U \Sigma^{1/2} (\Sigma^{1/2})^T \quad (\because \Sigma \text{ has positive values on the diagonal})$$

$\therefore \boxed{A = U \Sigma^{1/2}}$

Hence A , aka, the training data can be computed using U and Σ and it can be used for training primal solvers.

For testing, $K_2 = A B^T \Rightarrow K_2 = U \Sigma^{1/2} B^T$

$$U^T K_2 = U^T U \Sigma^{1/2} B^T$$

$$U^T K_2 = \Sigma^{1/2} B^T$$

$$B^T = (\Sigma^{1/2})^{-1} U^T K_2$$

$$\boxed{B = (\Sigma^{-1/2} U^T K_2)^T}$$

($U^T U = I$ as U is orthogonal)

In this way, the testing data B can also be computed. We use this to get predictions.