For this algorithm we'll use the following DGEs:

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(1)} - 1) < U_{(1)} \leqslant F_{n,p}(X_{(1)})$$

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(2)} - 1) < U_{(2)} \leqslant F_{n,p}(X_{(2)})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(m)} - 1) < U_{(m)} \leqslant F_{n,p}(X_{(m)}),$$

$$(1)$$

where $F_{n,p}$ is the CDF of a Bin(n,p) distribution,

$$G_{n-X_{(1)}+1,X_{(1)}}(1-p) < U_{(1)} \leq G_{n-X_{(1)},X_{(1)}+1}(1-p)$$

$$G_{n-X_{(2)}+1,X_{(2)}}(1-p) < U_{(2)} \leq G_{n-X_{(2)},X_{(2)}+1}(1-p)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G_{n-X_{(m)}+1,X_{(m)}}(1-p) < U_{(m)} \leq G_{n-X_{(m)},X_{(m)}+1}(1-p),$$

$$(2)$$

where $G_{\alpha,\beta}$ is the CDF of a $Beta(\alpha,\beta)$ distribution, and

$$G_{X_{(1)},n-X_{(1)}+1}^{-1}(1-U_{(1)})
$$G_{X_{(2)},n-X_{(2)}+1}^{-1}(1-U_{(2)})
$$\vdots$$

$$G_{X_{(m)},n-X_{(m)}+1}^{-1}(1-U_{(m)})
$$(3)$$$$$$$$

```
Algorithm 1: Produce a posterior fiducial distribution for Bin(n, p).
 Input: iterations: how many particles to draw from the posterior
           starting_method: method for choosing starting n
           X = X_1 X_2 \dots X_m: observed data values
           user_n: optional param to choose first n
           \sigma: tuning param for the MH Logit step
 Output: fiducial_dictionary: The set of fiducial posterior particles: each a set of n and
             associated \mu values.
 \hat{n} \leftarrow \text{first starting } n \text{ chosen using user\_n method.} If no starting n is provided, the
   DasGupta-Rubin estimator is used:
 \hat{p} \leftarrow \text{mean}\{X\}/\hat{n};
 first_uniforms \leftarrow output from Algorithm 2, a set of uniforms that work for our data \hat{n}, \hat{p};
 X^* \leftarrow all unique data points (without repeats);
 N^* \leftarrow number of occurrences in X of each value in X^*;
 U_{min} \leftarrow \text{minimum uniform associated with each element of } X^*;
 U_{max} \leftarrow \text{minimum uniform associated with each element of } X^*;
 all_{data} \leftarrow X^*, N^*, U_{min}, U_{max};
 fiducial_posterior \leftarrow NULL;
 for iter \leftarrow 1, \ldots, iterations do
      updated\_uniforms \leftarrow updated uniform values using Algorithm 3;
      posterior_draw ← fiducial posterior particles found using updated_uniforms with
       Algorithm 4;
     Add posterior_draw to fiducial_posterior:
 end
Algorithm 2: Find a first set of working uniforms given our data.
```

```
Input: X: original data, ordered from least to greatest
          \hat{n}: starting value for n
          \hat{mu}: starting value for mu (if given)
Output: first_uniforms: a set of uniforms that work for given inputs
            U_uppers: a set of uniforms that work for given inputs
            U_lowers: a set of uniforms that work for given inputs
if \hat{mu} is given then
    \hat{p} \leftarrow \hat{mu}/\hat{n};
else
    \hat{p} \leftarrow \text{mean}(X)/\hat{n};
for i \leftarrow 1, \dots, m do
    U_{\text{upper}} = G_{\hat{n}-X,X+1}(1-\hat{p});
    U_{\text{lower}} = G_{\hat{n}-X+1,X}(1-\hat{p});
    Add (U_lower + U_upper)/2 to first_uniforms;
    Add (U_lower to U_lowers;
    Add (U_upper to U_upperss;
end
```

```
Algorithm 3: Draw a new uniform set given that a solution exists.
 Input: all_data: see Algorithm 1
            \hat{n}: starting value for n
            \bar{n}: the largest value for n achieved by previous runs of this algorithm
            \sigma: tuning parameter for the MH step
            \epsilon: how close to the limiting poisson we allow before we stop searching the n space
 Output: all_data, updated
              \bar{n}, updated
              \epsilon, updated
 for index in \ 1 \dots nrow(all\_data) \ do
      fix_max \leftarrow single draw from Bernoulli(0.5);
      if N^*[index] == 1 then
          temp\_data \leftarrow all\_data \setminus all\_data [index];
           p_lowers, p_uppers ← output of Algorithm 4 using temp_data;
          \mathsf{min\_unif}, \mathsf{max\_unif} \leftarrow \min\{G_{\mathsf{N}^*-\mathsf{X}^*[\mathsf{index}]+1,\mathsf{X}^*[\mathsf{index}]}(1 -
            p\_uppers), max{G_{N^*-X^*[index],X^*[index]+1}(1-p\_lowers)};
           new\_unif \leftarrow single draw from Unif(min\_unif, max\_unif);
          U_{min}[index] \leftarrow new\_unif;
          U_{max}[index] \leftarrow new\_unif;
      else if fix_max then
          \max_{\text{unif}} \leftarrow U_{max}[\text{index}];
           // Temporarily remove U_{min} from calculation.
          U_{min} \leftarrow U_{max}[index];
          p\_lowers, p\_uppers \leftarrow output of Algorithm 4;
           \mathsf{min\_unif} \leftarrow \min\{G(1-\mathsf{p\_uppers})_{\mathsf{N^*-X^*[index]}+1,\mathsf{X^*[index]}}\};
          U_{min}[index] \leftarrow single draw from minimum order statistic for these uniforms:
            Beta(1, N^*[index] - 1) * (max\_unif - min\_unif) + min\_unif;
      else
          min\_unif \leftarrow U_{min}[index];
           // Temporarily remove U_{max} from calculation.
          U_{max} \leftarrow U_{min}[index];
           p\_lowers, p\_uppers \leftarrow output of Algorithm 4;
           \mathsf{max\_unif} \leftarrow \max\{G(1-\mathsf{p\_lowers})_{\mathsf{N^*-X^*[index]},\mathsf{X^*[index]}+1}\};
          U_{max}[index] \leftarrow single draw from maximum order statistic for these uniforms:
            Beta(N^*[index] - 1, 1) * (max\_unif - min\_unif) + min\_unif;
 end
 Perform the MH-Logit updating step as outlined by Algorithm 5;
```

Let H^{-1} be the quantile function of a gamma distribution.

```
Algorithm 4: Given data and uniforms, find solution sets n \times \{p_l, p_u\}.
  Input: all_data: see Algorithm 1
             first_iteration: starting value for n
             \epsilon: how close to the limiting poisson we allow before we stop searching the n space
  Output: p_lowers: lower bounds of p for the fiducial sets
                p\_uppers: upper bounds of p for the fiducial sets
                n_{\text{hats}}: n values for the fiducial sets
  if first_iteration then
      Increase \epsilon until we no longer explore the sample space beyond \hat{n} = 1000.
  end
  \hat{n} \leftarrow \max(X^*);
  while TRUE do
       lower_ps \leftarrow [G^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{min})_{\mathsf{X}^*.\hat{n} - \mathsf{X}^*+1}, G^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{max})_{\mathsf{X}^*.\hat{n} - \mathsf{X}^*+1}];
       upper_ps \leftarrow [G^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{min})_{\mathsf{X}^*+1,\hat{n}-\mathsf{X}^*}, G^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{max})_{\mathsf{X}^*+1,\hat{n}-\mathsf{X}^*}];
       potential_soln \leftarrow \max(\mathsf{lower\_ps}), \min(\mathsf{upper\_ps}), \hat{n};
       if max(lower_ps) \leq min(upper_ps) then
            Add \max(lower_ps), \min(upper_ps), \hat{n} to fiducial_particle;
       lower_{-}\mu \leftarrow lower_{-}ps * \hat{n};
       upper_\mu \leftarrow upper_ps * \hat{n};
       poisson_upper \leftarrow [H^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{min})_{\mathsf{X}^*+1,1}, H^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{max})_{\mathsf{X}^*+1,1}];
       poisson_lower \leftarrow [H^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{min})_{\mathsf{X}^*,1}, H^{-1}(1 - \mathsf{U}_{max})_{\mathsf{X}^*,1}];
       if poisson_lower, poisson_upper are \epsilon close to lower_\mu, upper_\mu then
           Break:
       \hat{n} += 1;
  end
```

Algorithm 5: Performs a MH updating step on the uniform values. Forces a further shift of the uniform values that allows for free movement in the n direction.

```
Input: all_data: see Algorithm 1
Output: all_data, (potentially) updated.
all_uniforms \leftarrow NULL;
for i \leftarrow 1 \dots nrow(\text{all\_data}) do
     Add N^*[i] draws from Unif(U_{min}, U_{max}) to all_uniforms;
end
m \leftarrow \text{length(all\_uniforms)};
p_lowers, p_uppers, n_estimates ← output of Algorithm 4 using all_data;
new_mu \leftarrow Unif(p\_lowers[1] * n\_estimates, p\_uppers[1] * n\_estimates);
coin_flip \leftarrow single draw from Bernoulli(0.5);
new_n \leftarrow n_estimates[1] + (-1)^{coin_flip};
if new_n \ge \max\{X^*\} then
     U_lowers, U_uppers ← output of Algorithm 2 using new_n, new_mu;
     new_uniforms \leftarrow Unif(U_lowers, U_uppers);
     new_p_lowers, new_p_uppers, new_n_estimates \leftarrow output of Algorithm 4 using all_data
       with new_uniforms;
     \mathsf{MH\_denominator} \leftarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{p\_uppers}[1] * \mathsf{n\_estimates} - \mathsf{p\_lowers}[1] * \mathsf{n\_estimates}}\right) \prod_{i=1} \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{U\_uppers} - \mathsf{U\_lowers}}\right);
     U_lowers, U_uppers ← output of Algorithm 2 using n_estimates[1], new_mu;
     MH_numerator \leftarrow
       \begin{array}{l} \textit{MH\_numerator} \leftarrow \\ \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{new\_p\_uppers}[1]*\mathsf{new\_n\_estimates} - \mathsf{new\_p\_lowers}[1]*\mathsf{new\_n\_estimates}}\right) \prod_{i=1} \left(\frac{1}{\mathsf{U\_uppers} - \mathsf{U\_lowers}}\right); \end{array}
random\_draw \leftarrow a single draw from Unif(0,1):
if random_draw < MH_numerator/MH_denominator then
     U_{min} \leftarrow U_{lowers};
     \mathsf{U}_{max} \leftarrow \mathsf{U}_{-}\mathsf{uppers};
```

1 Appendix

The following covers how I derived the DGEs given at the beginning of this document. To derive our DGE, we are using the fact that for a Unif(0,1) distribution and a Binomial CDF F, we have $F^{-1}(U) \sim \text{Binomial}$. So, for an observed set of data X_1, \ldots, X_m , the fiducial framework insists that there must have been a realization of uniforms U_1, \ldots, U_m such that

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(1)} - 1) < U_{(1)} \leq F_{n,p}(X_{(1)})$$

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(2)} - 1) < U_{(2)} \leq F_{n,p}(X_{(2)})$$

$$\vdots$$

$$F_{n,p}(X_{(m)} - 1) < U_{(m)} \leq F_{n,p}(X_{(m)}).$$

According to Introduction to probability and random variables by Wadsworth, the binomial CDF is equivalent to the CDF of a Beta distribution. Specifically, for Bin(n, p), we have

$$F_{n,p}(x) = G_{n-x,x+1}(1-p),$$

where G is the CDF for a $Beta(\alpha, \beta)$. Here $\alpha = n - x$ and $\beta = x + 1$. Thus, our DGE can be rewritten to

$$G_{n-X_{(1)}+1,X_{(1)}}(1-p) < U_{(1)} \leqslant G_{n-X_{(1)},X_{(1)}+1}(1-p)$$

$$G_{n-X_{(2)}+1,X_{(2)}}(1-p) < U_{(2)} \leqslant G_{n-X_{(2)},X_{(2)}+1}(1-p)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$G_{n-X_{(m)}+1,X_{(m)}}(1-p) < U_{(m)} \leqslant G_{n-X_{(m)},X_{(m)}+1}(1-p).$$

A property of the beta distribution is that

$$G_{n-X_{(i)}+1,X_{(i)}}(1-p) = 1 - G_{X_{(i)},n-X_{(i)}+1}(p).$$

Thus, the upper bounds of the above inequalities can be written as

$$\begin{split} U_{(i)} \leqslant G_{n-X_{(i)},X_{(i)}+1}(1-p), \\ U_{(i)} \leqslant 1 - G_{X_{(i)}+1,n-X_{(i)}}(p), \\ G_{X_{(i)}+1,n-X_{(i)}}(p) \leqslant 1 - U_{(i)}, \\ p \leqslant G_{X_{(i)}+1,n-X_{(i)}}^{-1}(1-U_{(i)}), \end{split}$$

and similarly the lower bound can be written as

$$\begin{split} G_{n-X_{(i)}+1,X_{(i)}}(1-p) &< U_{(i)}, \\ 1-G_{X_{(i)},n-X_{(i)}+1}(p) &< U_{(i)}, \\ 1-U_{(i)} &< G_{X_{(i)},n-X_{(i)}+1}(p), \\ G_{X_{(i)},n-X_{(i)}+1}^{-1}(1-U_{(i)}) &< p. \end{split}$$