

# Anderson localization under Robin boundary conditions

Let

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^d b^i(x) \partial_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d a^{ij}(x) \partial_{ij} \quad (1)$$

be a second-order elliptic differential operator in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded domain. Consider the following eigenvalue problem with *Robin boundary condition*:

$$\begin{cases} -Lu + KVu = \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + hu = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

where  $V = V(x)$  is a disordered potential,  $K \gg 1$  is a large constant called the *degree of randomness*, and  $n = n(x)$  is the inward pointing unit normal vector field on  $\partial\Omega$ . If  $h = 0$ , the Robin boundary condition reduces to the *Neumann boundary condition*.

For *Anderson localization*,  $\Omega = [0, 1]^d$  is often chosen as the unit hypercube and each side of the hypercube is divided uniformly into  $n$  intervals. In this way, the hypercube is divided into  $n^d$  smaller hypercubes of the same size. In each smaller hypercube, the potential  $V$  is a constant with its value being Bernoulli distributed:

$$V(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } p, \\ 1 & \text{with probability } 1 - p. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

Let  $G$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $\Omega$ . We define the landscape of localization  $w = w(x)$  as the solution to the following Dirichlet problem with mixed boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} -Lw + KVw = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus G, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = -\frac{h}{\beta} & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ w = \frac{1}{\alpha} & \text{on } \partial G, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  are two constants. Then we have the following important inequality:

$$|u(x)| \leq (\lambda + \alpha + \beta)|w(x)|, \quad x \in \Omega \setminus G. \quad (4)$$

where the eigenmode  $u$  is normalized so that  $\|u\|_\infty = 1$ . This inequality shows that the eigenmode  $u$  must be small at those points where the landscape of  $w$  is small. The *valley lines* of the landscape  $w$  separates the domain  $\Omega$  into several subregions. All eigenmodes are localized inside these subregions and are small at the boundary of each subregion.

We emphasize here that the landscape  $w$  is a function defined in  $\Omega \setminus G$  and thus the inequality (4) becomes invalid for  $x \in G$ . To avoid this invalidity, we can take  $G = \{x_0\}$  with  $x_0$  being an arbitrary point in  $\Omega$  and define the landscape  $w = w(x)$  as the solution to the following Dirichlet problem:

$$\begin{cases} -Lw + KVw = 1 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \{x_0\}, \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = -h & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ w(x_0) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where the eigenmode  $u$  is normalized so that  $\|u\|_\infty = 1$ . Then we have the following inequality which holds for the entire domain:

$$|u(x)| \leq (\lambda + 2)|w(x)|, \quad x \in \Omega.$$