A Tutorial on Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)

From 1D ODEs to 2D PDEs, Black–Scholes, and HJB

Simon Scheidegger

Department of Economics, University of Lausanne

Thursday, March 26th, 2025

Table of Contents

- Introduction to PINNs
- 2 1D ODE: Zero Boundary Conditions
- 3 1D ODE: Non-Zero Boundary Conditions
- 4 2D PDE with Zero Boundary Conditions
- 5 2D PDE with Non-Zero Boundary Conditions
- 6 The Black–Scholes PDE
- Mamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) Equation
- Conclusion

What Are Physics-Informed Neural Networks (PINNs)?

- PINNs incorporate physical laws (ODEs, PDEs, etc.) into the training of neural networks.
- Instead of (or in addition to) fitting standard labeled data, we penalize the network if it violates the governing equations.
- Use automatic differentiation (AD) to compute derivatives for the PDE or ODE residual.
- Boundary/initial conditions are enforced as part of the loss function.
- We effectively transform solving differential equations into a data-driven, neural-network-based learning problem.

General PINN Strategy

- **①** Define a neural network $u_{\theta}(x)$ (or $u_{\theta}(x, y)$, etc. for PDEs).
- Use automatic differentiation to compute partial derivatives needed for the PDE/ODE.
- **3** Define the **residual** for the equation, e.g. $F(u_{\theta}, x)$.
- Incorporate boundary or initial conditions by adding penalty terms to the loss.
- 5 Train (optimize) to minimize:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \underbrace{\mathsf{MSE}(F(u_{\theta}, x))}_{\mathsf{Equation} \ \mathsf{Residual}} \ + \ \underbrace{\mathsf{MSE}(u_{\theta}(\mathsf{boundary}) - \mathsf{BC})}_{\mathsf{Boundary} \ \mathsf{Loss}} \ + \ \cdots$$

1 After training, u_{θ} approximates the solution.

1D ODE with Zero Boundary Conditions

Example ODE:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = -1, \quad x \in (0,1),$$

with **boundary conditions**

$$y(0) = 0, \quad y(1) = 0.$$

Analytical solution:

$$y(x)=-\frac{x^2}{2}+\frac{x}{2}.$$

PINN Setup:

- Define $y_{\theta}(x)$ as a neural net.
- PDE residual: $r(x) = y''_{\theta}(x) + 1$.
- Enforce boundary conditions $y_{\theta}(0) = 0$ and $y_{\theta}(1) = 0$.

Pointers to Code

Code Reference:

- ode_zero_bc.py demonstrates the full PyTorch implementation.
- It constructs a small network, sets up the ODE residual, and enforces boundary conditions within the loss.
- Training is run via Adam, and a final plot compares the learned solution to the analytical solution.

Slide Note: In live presentation, you can open or run ode_zero_bc.py to show details.

1D ODE with Non-Zero Boundary Conditions

Example ODE (same interior PDE, different BCs):

$$y''(x) = -1, \quad x \in (0,1),$$

$$y(0) = 1, y(1) = 2.$$

Analytical solution:

$$y(x) = -\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x}{2} + 1.$$

Pointers to Code

Main Difference:

- The boundary conditions now are y(0) = 1 and y(1) = 2.
- Only the boundary part of the loss changes.

Code Reference:

 ode_nonzero_bc.py shows the minor modifications in the boundary loss terms.

Example: 2D Laplace with Zero BCs

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1),$$

with boundary u = 0 on $\partial \Omega$.

Trivial solution: u(x, y) = 0.

PINN approach:

- $u_{\theta}(x,y)$ as a neural net.
- Residual: $u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0$.
- Enforce u = 0 on all edges.

Pointers to Code

Code Reference:

- laplace_2d_zero_bc.py.
- Implements interior sampling for (x, y) and boundary sampling on the edges.
- Laplace residual computed via second derivatives from 'torch.autograd.grad'.

Example: 2D Laplace with Non-Zero BCs

$$u_{xx} + u_{yy} = 0, \quad (x, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1),$$

with u(0, y) = 0, u(1, y) = 1, u(x, 0) = 0, u(x, 1) = 1. Analytical solution: $u(x, y) = \frac{x+y}{2}$.

Key difference:

- The boundary condition is no longer zero but $\{0,1\}$.
- Adjust boundary losses accordingly.

Pointers to Code

Code Reference:

- laplace_2d_nonzero_bc.py.
- Shows how to define a boundary function for each edge and enforce it in the boundary part of the loss.

Black-Scholes Recap

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0.$$

Terminal: $V(S, T) = \max(S - K, 0)$.

Boundaries: V(0, t) = 0, $V(S_{\text{max}}, t) \approx S_{\text{max}} - Ke^{-r(T-t)}$.

Pointers to Code

Key Steps in the PINN Setup:

PDE residual:

$$V_t + 0.5 \sigma^2 S^2 V_{SS} + rS V_S - r V.$$

- Boundary conditions at S=0 and $S=S_{\max}$.
- Terminal condition at t = T.

Code Reference:

black_scholes_pinn.py contains the full implementation.



HJB: Problem Setup

We consider a 1D Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman (HJB) PDE:

$$-r V(x) + \sup_{a \in [-1,1]} \left[(x+a) V'(x) - \alpha a^2 \right] = 0, \quad x \in (0,1).$$

Boundary conditions:

$$V(0) = 0, V(1) = 1.$$

Interpretation:

- r > 0 is a discount rate.
- $\alpha > 0$ penalizes the square of the control a.
- The state variable is $x \in [0, 1]$.

Continuous Control in [-1,1]

Optimal Control:

$$a^*(x) = \operatorname{clamp}\left(\frac{V'(x)}{2\alpha}, -1, 1\right).$$

Then the PDE becomes:

$$-r V(x) + (x + a^*) V'(x) - \alpha (a^*)^2 = 0.$$

Key point: If $|V'(x)/(2\alpha)| \le 1$, the unconstrained optimum is valid. Otherwise, it saturates at ± 1 .

PINN Formulation

- Let $V_{\theta}(x)$ be a fully connected neural network with parameters θ .
- We compute V'(x) via automatic differentiation.
- PDE residual:

$$R_{\theta}(x) = -r V_{\theta}(x) + (x + a^{*}(x)) V'_{\theta}(x) - \alpha (a^{*}(x))^{2}.$$

Loss for interior points:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{PDE}}(\theta) = \sum_{x_{\mathrm{int}}} (R_{\theta}(x_{\mathrm{int}}))^{2}.$$

Boundary conditions:

$$V_{ heta}(0) = 0, \quad V_{ heta}(1) = 1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BC}}(heta) = \left(V_{ heta}(0) - 0\right)^2 + \left(V_{ heta}(1) - 1\right)^2.$$

• Total loss: $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{PDE} + \mathcal{L}_{BC}$.



Implementation

- Network architecture: fully-connected, Tanh activations.
- **Optimization**: Adam with a moderate learning rate (e.g., 10^{-3}).
- Sampling:
 - **Interior points**: random $x \in (0,1)$.
 - Boundary points: x = 0, x = 1.
- Code Reference:
 - See hjb_pinn_continuous.py for a complete example.
 - After training, we plot $V_{\theta}(x)$ on $x \in [0,1]$.

Results

- The PINN learns V(x) that (approximately) satisfies the HJB PDE and boundary conditions.
- The learned policy is $a^*(x) = \operatorname{clamp}(V'(x)/(2\alpha), -1, 1)$.
- No closed-form analytical solution is needed; we compare the training residual or do a quick check of boundary conditions.

Conclusion: PINNs can handle continuous controls in HJB equations by *differentiating* w.r.t. $V_{\theta}(x)$, computing the *optimal control*, and enforcing the PDE residual.

PDE Setup with non-trivial Boundary Conditions

We consider a 2D HJB:

$$-r V(x,y) + \max_{a \in [-1,1]} \left[(x+a) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + (y+a) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - \alpha a^2 \right] = 0,$$

with $(x, y) \in (0, 1) \times (0, 1)$.

Non-trivial boundary conditions:

$$V(0,y) = y$$
, $V(1,y) = 1 + y$, $V(x,0) = x$, $V(x,1) = x + 1$.

- r > 0 is discount factor, $\alpha > 0$ is penalty on a^2 .
- PDE domain: the unit square.

Continuous Control in [-1,1]

Optimal control $a^*(x, y)$:

$$a_{ ext{unclamped}} = rac{rac{\partial V}{\partial x} + rac{\partial V}{\partial y}}{2 \, lpha}, \quad a^* = ext{clamp}(a_{ ext{unclamped}}, -1, 1).$$

Then PDE residual:

$$R(x,y) = -r V + (x + a^*) \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + (y + a^*) \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} - \alpha (a^*)^2 = 0.$$

PINN Formulation

Neural Network: $V_{\theta}(x, y)$.

Interior loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{PDE}}(heta) = \sum_{(x_i, y_i) \in \mathsf{interior}} \left(R_{ heta}(x_i, y_i)
ight)^2.$$

Boundary loss:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{BC}}(\theta) = \sum_{(x_j, y_j) \in \mathsf{boundary}} \left(V_{\theta}(x_j, y_j) - \mathrm{BC}(x_j, y_j) \right)^2,$$

where BC(x, y) is the piecewise function that returns y, 1 + y, x, x + 1 on each edge.

Total loss:

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_{\text{PDE}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{BC}}.$$



Implementation

- Network: fully connected, e.g., Tanh activation, 64 hidden units.
- Sampling:
 - $N_{\rm interior}$ random points in $(0,1) \times (0,1)$.
 - $\bullet~N_{\rm boundary}$ random points on each edge.
- **Optimizer**: Adam, with moderate LR (e.g. 10^{-3}).
- Loss printing: PDE loss, BC loss, total loss each iteration (or every 500 epochs).

Code Reference:

- See hjb_2d_nontrivial_bc.py for the full script.
- ullet After training, we plot $V_{ heta}$ in a 2D color map and a 3D surface.

Summary 2D HJB with non-trivial BCs

- We introduced a 2D HJB PDE with non-trivial BCs.
- We clamp the control $a^* = \operatorname{clamp}(\frac{V_x + V_y}{2\alpha}, -1, 1)$ inside the PDE.
- The PINN approach adds PDE residual loss + boundary mismatch loss.
- This yields $V_{\theta}(x, y)$ that approximates the PDE solution subject to the specified boundary condition.

Summary & Next Steps

- We introduced PINNs: enforcing PDE/ODE constraints by building them into the loss function.
- Showed ODEs, PDEs, boundary conditions, and terminal conditions.
- Demonstrated examples: 1D boundary value problems, 2D Laplace, Black–Scholes, and a simple HJB.
- In practice, you can adapt these templates to more complex PDEs, domains, or multi-dimensional states.

References & Further Reading

- M. Raissi, P. Perdikaris, and G. E. Karniadakis, Physics-Informed Neural Networks: A Deep Learning Framework (2019).
- J. Berg and K. Nystroem, A Unified Deep Artificial Neural Network Approach to PDEs in Complex Geometries (2017).
- For HJB PDE references in reinforcement-learning contexts, see: D. Jiang, F. Meng, Q. Sun, X. Xue, and Y. Zou. DeepRitz Method (2019).

Thank You!

Questions?