## Test Cases for PINN

March 2025

Abstract

JEL codes:

**Keywords:** 

1	Example #1: consumption-savings in partial equilibrium	2
2	Example #2: discrete earnings risk	4

## 1 Example #1: consumption-savings in partial equilibrium

The economy is populated by a continuum of households. Time is continuous and indexed by  $t \ge 0$ . We abstract from aggregate uncertainty.

**Preferences.** A generic household's instantaneous utility function over consumption is  $u(c_t)$ , where  $c_t$  denotes her consumption at date t and follows a stochastic process. The household's lifetime utility given a stochastic process  $c_t$  is defined as

$$v_0(\lbrace c_t\rbrace_{t\geq 0}) = \mathbb{E}\int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t}u(c_t)dt,$$

where  $\rho$  is the discount rate.

**Budget and borrowing constraints.** Households can accumulate and trade capital. We denote the stock of the household's capital at date t by  $a_t$ . The household's return on capital is  $r_t$ , which we take as given in partial equilibrium. Household wealth evolves as

$$\dot{a}_t = r_t a_t + w_t z_t - c_t,$$

where we assume that the household supplies one unit of labor inelastically, earning the wage rate  $w_t$  for effective units of work  $z_t$ . We will capture idiosyncratic earnings risk through  $z_t$ , which follows a stochastic process as described below.

Households cannot borrow beyond a borrowing limit given by

$$a_t \geq \underline{a}$$

which must remain satisfied at all dates *t*.

**Earnings risk.** In this first example, we assume that  $z_t$  follows a continuous-time AR(1) process. That is, we model it as an OU diffusion process

$$dz_t = \theta(\bar{z} - z_t)dt + \sigma dB_t.$$

We assume that  $z_t$  remains bounded on an interval  $[\underline{z}, \overline{z}]$  with reflecting boundaries.

**HJB.** We can give a recursive representation to this problem as follows. We assume that the interest rate and wage are constant,  $r_t = r$  and  $w_t = w$ , implying a stationary problem. The problem of a household with wealth a and labor productivity z admits the recursive representation

$$\rho V(a,z) = \max_{c} \left\{ u(c) + \left[ ra + wz - c \right] \partial_{a} V(a,z) \right\} + \theta(\bar{z} - z) \partial_{z} V(a,z) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \partial_{zz} V(a,z)$$

together with the state constraint boundary condition

$$\partial_a V(\underline{a}, z) \ge u'(r\underline{a} + wz)$$

and reflecting boundaries in the z dimension

$$\partial_z V(a, \underline{z}) = 0$$
 and  $\partial_z V(a, \overline{z}) = 0$ 

The resulting consumption policy function is therefore characterized by the FOC

$$u'(c(a,z)) = \partial_a V(a,z).$$

Notice that plugging in for the consumption policy function  $c(a,z)=(u')^{-1}\partial_a V(a,z)$  gives rise to a highly non-linear HJB equation

$$\rho V(a,z) = u(c(a,z)) + \left[ra + wz - c(a,z)\right] \partial_a V(a,z) + \theta(\bar{z}-z) \partial_z V(a,z) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_{zz} V(a,z)$$
$$c(a,z)) = (u')^{-1} \partial_a V(a,z).$$

In the finite-difference methods approach, solving this non-linear equation is extremely difficult. That's why the usual approach is instead to solve for a time forward-marching scheme. See Ben's documentation for further details. But roughly speaking, we solve for a sequence of linear equations in  $\{V^n(a,z)\}$  such that

$$\frac{V^{n+1}(a,z) - V^{n}(a,z)}{\Delta} + \rho V^{n+1}(a,z) = u(c^{n}(a,z)) + \left[ra + wz - c^{n}(a,z)\right] \partial_{a} V^{n+1}(a,z)$$
$$+ \theta(\bar{z} - z) \partial_{z} V^{n+1}(a,z) + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{2} \partial_{zz} V^{n+1}(a,z)$$
$$c^{n}(a,z)) = (u')^{-1} \partial_{a} V^{n}(a,z)$$

where  $V^{n+1}$  is now linear in  $V^n$ . We add the leftmost term now as if we were solving for a non-stationary equation — see e.g. LeVeque for a discussion.

## 2 Example #2: discrete earnings risk

**Earnings risk.** We now model the stochastic process  $z_t$  as a two-state Markov chain with transition rates  $\lambda$ .

Formally, the household is endowed with an income transition process  $\{N_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ , which follows a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . The value  $N_t\in\mathbb{N}$  represents the number of earnings state transitions the household has drawn by time t, with  $N_0=0$ . We denote the household's current income state as  $z_t\in\{z^1,z^2\}$ . Upon drawing an income transition at date t, the household switches her income state. And we take her initial state  $z_0$  as given. In that case, the counting process jumps by 1, so  $dN_t\equiv N_t-N_{t^-}=1$ , where  $N_{t^-}$  is defined as the left limit.

**HJB.** We can give a recursive representation to this problem as follows. We assume that the interest rate and wage are constant,  $r_t = r$  and  $w_t = w$ , implying a stationary problem. The problem of a household with wealth a and labor productivity z admits the recursive representation

$$\rho V(a, z^{j}) = \max_{c} \left\{ u(c) + \left[ ra + wz^{j} - c \right] \partial_{a} V(a, z^{j}) \right\} + \lambda \left[ V(a, z^{-j}) - V(a, z^{j}) \right] \right\}$$

together with the state constraint boundary condition

$$\partial_a V(\underline{a}, z^j) \geq u'(r\underline{a} + wz^j).$$

The resulting consumption policy function is therefore characterized by the FOC

$$u'(c(a,z^j)) = \partial_a V(a,z^j).$$

## References