| 3 Ba 4 Pe]: pri <clas #<="" data="" range="" th=""><th>review sentiment e of the other reviewers has mentioned that positive wonderful little production. thought this was a wonderful way to spend ti positive</th></clas> | review sentiment e of the other reviewers has mentioned that positive wonderful little production. thought this was a wonderful way to spend ti positive |
|--|--|
| Data # | sically there's a family where a little boy negative tter Mattei's "Love in the Time of Money" is positive nt(df.info()) # Printing the concise summary of the DataFrame 'df', including the data types and memory usage s 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> |
| 1 | Index: 50000 entries, 0 to 49999 columns (total 2 columns): Column Non-Null Count Dtype review 50000 non-null object sentiment 50000 non-null object |
| memor None The | s: object(2) y usage: 781.4+ KB results show information about the data columns: umn 0: "review" Non-Null Count: 50,000 Dtype: object (textual data) Column 1: "sentiment" Non-Null Count: 50,000 Dtype: object (textual data) The "review" column contains 50,000 non-null entries, and each entry is of type object (string). It represents the movie |
| revi The | ews in the dataset. "sentiment" column also contains 50,000 non-null entries, and each entry is of type object (string). It represents the sentiment (positive or negative) associated with each movie review. dtypes attribute provides information about the data type of each column. |
| : pri | |
| The | sentiment, dtype: int64 result indicates the distribution of sentiments in the dataset: Sentiment: |
| | ■ "positive": 25,000 occurrences ■ "negative": 25,000 occurrences s means that the dataset contains an equal number of positive and negative sentiments, with 25,000 instances of each sentiment type. The dtype of the result is int64, indicating that the values represent counts or frequencies. **alculate the length of reviews** |
| df[# 50 pos: | <pre>'review_length'] = df['review'].apply(lambda text: len(text)) eparate positive and negative reviews itive_reviews = df[df['sentiment'] == 'positive'] ative_reviews = df[df['sentiment'] == 'negative']</pre> |
| plt plt plt plt | Lot distribution of positive reviews by length .figure(figsize=(10, 6)) # Create a new figure with the specified size .hist(positive_reviews['review_length'], bins=50, color='green', alpha=0.7) # Plot a histogram of review lengths for positive reviews .title("Distribution of Positive Reviews by Length") # Set the title of the plot .xlabel("Review Length") # Set the label for the x-axis |
| plt # P plt plt | <pre>.ylabel("Frequency") # Set the label for the y-axis .show() # Display the plot lot distribution of negative reviews by length .figure(figsize=(10, 6)) # Create a new figure with the specified size .hist(negative_reviews['review_length'], bins=50, color='red', alpha=0.7) # Plot a histogram of review lengths for negative reviews .title("Distribution of Negative Reviews by Length") # Set the title of the plot</pre> |
| plt plt | .xlabel("Review Length") # Set the label for the x-axis .ylabel("Frequency") # Set the label for the y-axis .show() # Display the plot Distribution of Positive Reviews by Length |
| | 000 - |
| | |
| Freque | 000 - |
| | 000 - |
| | 0 2000 4000 6000 8000 10000 12000 14000 Review Length |
| | Distribution of Negative Reviews by Length |
| 4 | |
| Frequency | |
| | |
| ۵۱ | 0 2000 4000 6000 8000 |
| | Review Length 200 4000 6000 8000 Review Length 24: Preprocessing the Text In this step, we preprocess the text data by removing unnecessary characters, converting text to lowercase, removing stop words, and performing lemmatization. 24: Adownload('stopwords') # Downloading the stopwords corpus from NLTK, which contains a list of commonly occurring words to be removed during text preprocessing |
| nltk [nltk [nltk | k.download('wordnet') # Downloading the WordNet corpus from NLTK, which is a lexical database used for lemmatization [_data] Downloading package stopwords to _data] /Users/lenara/nltk_data [_data] Package stopwords is already up-to-date! |
| [nltk [nltk : True | _data] Downloading package wordnet to /Users/lenara/nltk_data _data] Package wordnet is already up-to-date! |
| : lemi | matizer = WordNetLemmatizer() # Creating an instance of WordNetLemmatizer, which will be used to perform lemmatization preprocess_text(text): text = text.lower() # Converting the text to lowercase text = text.replace(' ', ' ') # Removing the ' ' tag and replacing it with a space |
| : # / | text = text.replace(' ', ' ') # Removing the ' ' tag and replacing it with a space text = ''.join([char for char in text if char.isalpha() or char == ' ']) # Removing non-alphabetic characters except spaces text = ' '.join([lemmatizer.lemmatize(word) for word in text.split() if word not in stop_words]) # Lemmatizing the words and removing stopwords return text Applying the preprocess_text function to the 'review' column of the DataFrame 'df' and storing the preprocessed text in a new column called 'processed_text' 'processed_text'] = df['review'].apply(preprocess_text) |
| Step : X = | of: Splitting the Dataset We split the dataset into training and testing sets using the train_test_split function from scikit-learn. df['processed_text'] # Assigning the preprocessed text data to the variable 'X', which represents the input features for the sentiment analysis model |
| X_t: # Si # Ti # Ti | df['sentiment'] # Assigning the 'sentiment' column of the DataFrame 'df' to the variable 'y', which represents the target variable (positive or negative sentiment) rain, X_test, y_train, y_test = train_test_split(X, y, test_size=0.2, random_state=42) plitting the dataset into training and testing sets using the train_test_split function from scikit-learn the 'X' and 'y' variables are split into 'X_train' (training data), 'X_test' (testing data), 'y_train' (training labels), and 'y_test' (testing labels) the 'test_size=0.2' argument specifies that 20% of the data will be used for testing, while 80% will be used for training the 'nandom state=42' argument sets a pandom seed for propoducibility of the split |
| Step | torizer = TfidfVectorizer() |
| X_t: # Ti | reating an instance of the TfidfVectorizer class, which will be used to convert text data into TF-IDF vectors rain_vectors = vectorizer.fit_transform(X_train) ransforming the training text data, 'X_train', into TF-IDF vectors using the fit_transform() method of the vectorizer his step fits the vectorizer on the training data and transforms the data into TF-IDF representations |
| # T | est_vectors = vectorizer.transform(X_test) ransforming the testing text data, 'X_test', into TF-IDF vectors using the transform() method of the vectorizer his step applies the same transformation that was learned from the training data to the testing data 7: Building the Sentiment Analysis Model Now, we'll build a sentiment analysis model using logistic regression. |
| # Ci | el = LogisticRegression() reating an instance of the LogisticRegression class, which represents the logistic regression model for sentiment analysis el.fit(X_train_vectors, y_train) itting the logistic regression model to the training data his stan involves training the model, by Leganing the coefficients and intercents that best fit the training data |
| # Ti | his step involves training the model by learning the coefficients and intercepts that best fit the training data he 'X_train_vectors' are the input features (TF-IDF vectors) and 'y_train' are the corresponding target labels (sentiment) isticRegression() 8: Evaluating the Model We evaluate the performance of our sentiment analysis model using accuracy score and confusion matrix. |
| # PI # TI | red = model.predict(X_test_vectors) redicting the sentiment labels for the testing data using the trained logistic regression model he 'X_test_vectors' are the input features (TF-IDF vectors) for the testing data |
| # Con: | uracy = accuracy_score(y_test, y_pred) alculating the accuracy of the model's predictions by comparing the predicted labels ('y_pred') with the actual labels ('y_test') fusion_mat = confusion_matrix(y_test, y_pred) enerating the confusion matrix to evaluate the performance of the sentiment analysis model the confusion matrix provides information about the true positives, true negatives, false positives, and false negatives |
| # G0 # T1 | ssification_rep = classification_report(y_test, y_pred) enerating a classification report that includes metrics such as precision, recall, F1-score, and support the classification report provides a summary of the model's performance for each class (positive and negative) |
| # P | nt("Accuracy:", accuracy) rinting the accuracy score of the sentiment analysis model acy: 0.8957 result indicates the accuracy of a classification model. An accuracy of 0.8957 means that the model correctly predicted the sentiment of approximately 89.57% of the test instances. |
| sent | uracy is a common evaluation metric used in classification tasks, and it represents the ratio of correct predictions to the total number of predictions. In this case, the model achieved an accuracy of 0.8957, indicating a relatively high level of accuracy in predicting the timent of the test data. nt("Confusion Matrix:") nt(confusion_mat) |
| Confu [[436 [44 | rinting the confusion matrix, which shows the distribution of predicted labels compared to the actual labels sion Matrix: 7 594] 9 4590]] result is a confusion matrix, which is a table that describes the performance of a classification model on a set of test data. It provides a summary of the predictions made by the model and how they compare to the actual labels. |
| • | confusion matrix is typically organized into four quadrants: True Negative (TN): The number of instances that were correctly predicted as negative. False Positive (FP): The number of instances that were incorrectly predicted as positive. |
| • In th | False Negative (FN): The number of instances that were incorrectly predicted as negative. True Positive (TP): The number of instances that were correctly predicted as positive. True given confusion matrix: 4367 instances were correctly predicted as negative (True Negatives). |
| • | 594 instances were incorrectly predicted as positive when they were actually negative (False Positives). 449 instances were incorrectly predicted as negative when they were actually positive (False Negatives). 4590 instances were correctly predicted as positive (True Positives). confusion matrix provides a detailed breakdown of the model's performance and can be used to calculate various evaluation metrics such as precision, recall, and F1-score. |
| pri | nt(" <mark>Classification Report:")</mark> nt(classification_rep) rinting the classification report, which provides detailed metrics for evaluating the model's performance ification Report: |
| n p | precision recall f1-score support egative 0.91 0.88 0.89 4961 ositive 0.89 0.91 0.90 5039 |
| ma weigh | ccuracy 0.90 10000 cro avg 0.90 0.90 10000 ted avg 0.90 0.90 0.90 10000 result is a classification report, which provides a comprehensive evaluation of the model's performance on different metrics for each class (positive and negative) as well as an overall summary. |
| • | Precision: It measures the proportion of correctly predicted instances of a particular class out of all instances predicted as that class. In this case, the precision for the negative class is 0.91, indicating that 91% of the instances predicted as negative were actually negative. The precision for the positive class is 0.89, indicating that 89% of the instances predicted as positive were actually positive. Recall: It measures the proportion of correctly predicted instances of a particular class out of all instances that belong to that class. The recall for the negative class is 0.88, indicating that 88% of the actual negative instances were correctly predicted as negative. The |
| • | recall for the positive class is 0.91, indicating that 91% of the actual positive instances were correctly predicted as positive. F1-score: It is a harmonic mean of precision and recall, providing a single metric that balances both measures. The F1-score for the negative class is 0.89, and for the positive class, it is 0.90. Support: It represents the number of instances in each class in the test data. |
| • | Accuracy: It is the overall accuracy of the model across all classes. In this case, the accuracy is 0.90, indicating that the model correctly predicted the sentiment for 90% of the instances in the test data. Macro Avg: It represents the average of precision, recall, and F1-score across all classes, giving equal weight to each class. Weighted Avg: It is the weighted average of precision, recall, and F1-score, where the weights are based on the support (number of instances) for each class. |
| Step | erall, the classification report provides a detailed assessment of the model's performance, including precision, recall, and F1-score for each class, along with the overall accuracy. 9: Semantic Analysis To perform semantic analysis, we can use the word embeddings approach. However, for simplicity, let's perform a basic sentiment polarity analysis by calculating the average polarity scores of the positive and negative reviews. m nltk.sentiment import SentimentIntensityAnalyzer |
| # II # So : sia # Co | mporting the SentimentIntensityAnalyzer class from the nltk.sentiment module entimentIntensityAnalyzer is a pre-trained model for sentiment analysis = SentimentIntensityAnalyzer() reating an instance of the SentimentIntensityAnalyzer class |
| : df[# Co # Ti | 'polarity_score'] = df['processed_text'].apply(lambda text: sia.polarity_scores(text)['compound']) alculating the sentiment polarity score for each processed_text in the dataframe the polarity score is obtained by applying the polarity_scores() method of the SentimentIntensityAnalyzer to each text the 'compound' score represents the overall sentiment polarity of the text |
| : pos: # C | <pre>itive_reviews = df[df['sentiment'] == 'positive'] reating a new dataframe that contains only the rows with positive sentiment ative_reviews = df[df['sentiment'] == 'negative']</pre> |
| # Co | rage_negative_polarity = negative_reviews['polarity_score'].mean() rage_negative_polarity = negative_reviews['polarity_score'].mean() |
| יב | rage_negative_polarity = negative_reviews['polarity_score'].mean() alculating the average polarity score for negative reviews by taking the mean of the 'polarity_score' column in negative_reviews Int("Average Positive Polarity Score:", average_positive_polarity) rinting the average polarity score for positive reviews ge Positive Polarity Score: 0.679057692 |
| # Co | result indicates the average positive polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for positive reviews. In this case, the average positive polarity score is 0.679057692. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to 1 indicate a more positive sentiment. Therefore, an average positive polarity score of 0.679057692 suggests that, on average, the positive reviews in the dataset have a moderately positive sentiment. |
| # Co : pri # Pl Avera The | s value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the positive reviews and can be used to gauge the general positivity of the text. |
| # Co prii # Pl Avera The This prii # Pl | nt("Average Negative Polarity Score:", average_negative_polarity) rinting the average polarity score for negative reviews ge Negative Polarity Score: 0.01653428 |
| # Co : prii # Pl Avera The This : prii # Pl Avera The | rinting the average polarity score for negative reviews ge Negative Polarity Score: 0.01653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. |
| # Co : prii # Pl Avera The This : prii # Pl Avera The The This Step : from # Il | ge Negative Polarity Score: 0.01653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. a value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset is relatively weak or close to neutral. 10: Text Analysis For text analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive and negative reviews. 11 sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer 12 mporting the CountVectorizer class from sklearn.feature_extraction.text |
| # Collection # Collection # Collection # Post Avera The This Step # In # Collection # In # | ge Negative Polarity Score: 0.01653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. It is value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset is relatively weak or close to neutral. 10.10: Text Analysis For text analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive and negative reviews. 11. **Institution** 12. **Institution** 13. **Institution** 13. **Institution** 14. **Institution** 15. **Institution** 16. **Institution** 16. **Institution** 16. **Institution** 16. **Institution** 16. **Institution** 17. **Institution** 18. ** |
| # Company # Company # Company # Power | result indicates the average pegative polarity score is 0.8653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset is relatively weak or close to neutral. of 10. Text Analysis For text analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive and negative reviews. In sklearn, feature, extraction, text import CountVectorizer upporting the CountVectorizer class from sklearn, feature, extraction, text pountVectorizer is used to convert text into a matrix of word counts put matplotlib, pyplot module from matplotlib for data visualization plot_most_frequent_words(text, title): ### Define a function called plot_most_frequent_words that takes text and title as input cv = CountVectorizer() #### Create an instance of the CountVectorizer class word_count = cv.fit_transform(text) |
| # Co # Pi # Pi Avera The This : prii # Pi Avera The The This Step : from # In def | ge Negative Polarity score: 0.81653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset is relatively weak or close to neutral. 10. Text Analysis for text analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive and negative reviews. 11. Skleann.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer 12. Popularity is used to convert text into a matrix of word counts 13. Ort matplotlib.pyplot as plat 14. Popular module from matplotlib for data visualization 15. Polit_most_frequent_words(text, title): 15. # Define a function called plot_most_frequent_words that takes text and title as input 15. Create an instance of the CountVectorizer class |
| # Company # Company # Company # Plant Averation The This The This Step : from # In # Company # In # Company # In # | ge Regative Polarity score: 0.01653428 result indicates the average negative polarity score, which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score is 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values doser to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. It is a value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset is relatively weak or close to neutral. 1 the Text Analysis For text analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive and negative reviews. 1 the Scheam, feature, extraction, text import CountVectorizer as used to convert counts from shitcom, feature, extraction, ext import CountVectorizer is used to convert text into a matrix of word counts orthogonal counts from shitcomic production in the positive and title as input appropriate the popular analysis, let's find the average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. It has the average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. It has a relatively weak or close to neutral. 1 the Count of the CountVectorizer (assumptions) for the count of the count o |
| # Colling # Polling # Indicate # Indica | enterting the average potantly score (0.8855428 result indicates the average negative polarity score in 0.8855428 result indicates the average negative polarity score in 0.8855428 result indicates the average negative polarity score in 0.8855428 result indicates the average negative polarity score in 0.8855428 result indicates the average negative polarity score in 0.8855428 polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, an average negative polarity score of 0.01553428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews. a kilcram, fouture, extraction, text import fount/vectorizer specified in Count/vectorizer class from skilcram, fouture, extraction, text summitted count/vectorizer class from skilcram, fouture, extraction, text summitted in swell to connect text into a metric of word counts are transplatible, paper as an explacible for data visualization plot, most, frequent, sonds (text, title): a fortine of protocological plot, text, title): a fortine of protocological plot, text, title): a fortine of instance of the Count/vectorizer class word, count = v.v.fit, transfermit(ext) a convect the text into a matrix of word counts using fit, transferm() method of Count/vectorizer word, frequency = no.array(send_count.sum(avis-sen)); a fortine of instance of the countric counts for each word in the text using sum() method on the motrix a convect it to a range purpour sing pa.array() a fortine of text countries () to obtain the word frequencies word, lists of Fosture names (words) using get_feature_names() method of Count/vectorizer sorted, indices = no.argsort(-word, frequency) and classes the first of Fosture names (words) using get_feature_names() method of Count/vectorizer sorted, indices = no.argsort(-word, frequency) |
| # Co | energitive polarity score (an institute reviews generalized polarity score in 0.01653428. result incidate the average negative polarity score which is a measure of the sentiment polarity for negative reviews. In this case, the average negative polarity score in 0.01653428. polarity score typically ranges from -1 to 1, where values closer to -1 indicate a more negative sentiment. Therefore, on average negative polarity score of 0.01653428 suggests that, on average, the negative reviews in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. value provides an insight into the overall sentiment expressed in the negative reviews and indicates that the negative sentiment in the dataset have a very slight negative sentiment. In Each Analysis For lest analysis, let's find the most frequent words in the positive neviews. In Albert feeture, extraction, text. Signet Countries and requires reviews. In Albert feeture, extraction, text. Signet Countries and the positive neviews. In Albert feeture, extraction, text. Signet Countries and text. In the positive neviews. In Albert feeture, extraction text. Signet representation text. Signet present sections of a metric of accordance of a signet connect text data as metric of accordance of a signet connect text data as metric of accordance of accordance of the Countrivictories of signet connect text. Signet accordance of the Countrivictories of signet connect text. Signet accordance of the Countrivictories of signet connect signed present to the signet signet signet signed present to the signet signet signet signet signet signet signed present signet signet signet signet signet signet signet signed present signet signet signet signet signet signet signet signed signet s |
| # Company # Company # Company # Plant Average The This Step # Company # Indef | results indicates the average posarity score; from requisive professional great professional posarity score; is 100.0000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| # Company # Company # Company # Plant Average The This Step # In # Company # In # | retards to average patiently some patiently analysis and indicate the severage regative pointly some patiently some patiently analysis and indicate the severage patiently some patiently |
| # Collection # Collection # Collection # Plant Avera The This Step : from # In def | retriet or sometime positioning protein protei |
| # Company of the state of the s | relating the same profesting come promptime measures relation to the same program grain grain yet on which is measured the scaling for range has related in this case, the amenge region grain yet and yet on which is measured in the scaling for range has related as the region or grain program in the funds of the scaling program in the funds of the |
| # Company and the state of the | integrated content place of cells placed and present placed policy on a policy on |
| # Company of the print of the p | reclaims believe for memory reclaims for memory desired and account process of the control policy for against an experience of |
| # Company Average The This Step Average The | resident for the control country and in control of the country of |
| # Company Avera The This step # Company # Place # Company # Com | related the exempt entering control print print print print print prints (prints the prints and in the prints and in the prints and the prints and in the prints and the print |

NLP Challenge: IMDB Dataset of 50K Movie Reviews to perform Sentiment analysis

Step 1: Importing Libraries We begin by importing the necessary libraries for our project. These include pandas, numpy, scikit-learn, and nltk (Natural Language Toolkit).

Importing the numpy library for numerical operations

from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split # Importing train_test_split for splitting the data into training and testing sets

Importing the Natural Language Toolkit library

from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer # Importing TfidfVectorizer for text feature extraction

from nltk.corpus import stopwords # Importing stopwords from NLTK corpus for text preprocessing

from nltk.stem import WordNetLemmatizer # Importing WordNetLemmatizer for text preprocessing
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt # Importing matplotlib for data visualization

from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression # Importing LogisticRegression for building the sentiment analysis model from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, confusion_matrix, classification_report # Importing metrics for model evaluation

Importing the pandas library for data manipulation and analysis

IMDb

In []: import pandas as pd

import nltk

import numpy as np

40000 Frequency 00000 20000 10000 Words In []: # Word Clouds # Comment: Indicates that the following code is related to generating word clouds def generate_word_cloud(text, title): # Define a function called generate_word_cloud that takes text and title as input wordcloud = WordCloud(width=800, height=400, background_color='white').generate(text) # Create a word cloud object using the WordCloud class with specified width, height, and background color # Generate the word cloud for the given text plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6)) # Create a new figure for the plot with a specified size plt.imshow(wordcloud, interpolation='bilinear') # Display the word cloud image using imshow() method from Matplotlib plt.title(title) # Set the title of the plot plt.axis('off') # Turn off the axis lines and labels plt.show()

Display the plot

excellent audientsong See and never got

man may minute

positive_text = ' '.join(positive_reviews['processed_text'])

negative_text = ' '.join(negative_reviews['processed_text'])

although point

Why would you have selected this problem for the challenge?

Some gotchas in this domain you should know about:

Feature Engineering:

Modeling Techniques:

Model Weaknesses and Improvements:

Bug Checking:

interpreting these nuances accurately can be difficult.

The highest level of accuracy achieved with this dataset or similar problems/datasets:

Types of visualizations that will help grasp the nature of the problem/data:

• To ensure that the code does not contain any bugs, you can follow these steps:

1. Validate the code syntax and ensure that there are no syntax errors or typos.

better contextual understanding of the text and improve the model's performance.

2. Verify that the data is loaded correctly and the columns are assigned the correct data types.

4. Review the splitting of the dataset (Step 5) to confirm that the data is divided into training and testing sets correctly.

6. Examine the model training step (Step 7) to confirm that the logistic regression model is trained correctly on the training data. 7. Validate the evaluation metrics (accuracy, confusion matrix, classification report) in Step 8 to ensure they are calculated accurately. 8. Finally, compare the obtained results with your expectations and domain knowledge to identify any potential issues or inconsistencies.

Forests, Gradient Boosting), or deep learning models (RNNs, Transformer-based models) that have shown better performance in NLP tasks.

• Collecting and incorporating more labeled data into the training set could also help improve the model's performance, as larger and more diverse datasets often lead to better generalization. • Finally, conducting a thorough error analysis by examining misclassified instances or false positives/negatives can provide insights into the model's weaknesses and guide further improvements.

5. Double-check the feature extraction step (Step 6) to ensure that the TF-IDF vectors are generated accurately.

• The provided code does not mention the highest level of accuracy achieved with this dataset or similar problems.

generate_word_cloud(positive_text, "Word Cloud for Positive Reviews")

generate_word_cloud(negative_text, "Word Cloud for Negative Reviews")

Concatenate the processed_text from positive_reviews using ' '.join() to create a single string

Concatenate the processed_text from negative_reviews using ' '.join() to create a single string

Call the generate_word_cloud function with the positive_text and a title for positive reviews

Call the generate_word_cloud function with the negative_text and a title for negative reviews

beautiful people little Scene first even a lamost wonderful young almost thing man today watch ending least See lot look say watch ending least See lot look someone life moment viewer difference wonderful young almost thing man today watch ending lot look someone life moment viewer difference wonderful young almost thing man today watch ending lot look someone life moment viewer difference wonderful young almost thing man today watch ending lot look someone life watch ending look someone life watch end look someone look someone life watch end look someone look someone

Word Cloud for Positive Reviews

DE CONTROL DE CONTROL

star sepisodeas comedy in

girl two

NO L John wed back

Word Cloud for Negative Reviews

great thing

> come woman

go real thats new

alway

director

come good though

• Sentiment analysis is a common and important task in natural language processing (NLP). Understanding the sentiment of movie reviews can provide valuable insights for various applications, such as analyzing customer feedback, recommendation systems, and

• Subjectivity and context: Sentiment analysis is a challenging task due to the subjective nature of language and the dependence on context. The sentiment of a movie review can vary depending on factors such as sarcasm, irony, or cultural references. Capturing and

• Negation handling: Negations can significantly affect the sentiment of a sentence. For example, "I don't like the movie" conveys a negative sentiment, whereas "I don't dislike the movie" conveys a positive sentiment. Handling negations correctly is crucial for accurate

• Word cloud: Creating word clouds based on the most frequent words in positive and negative reviews can give a visual representation of the words associated with each sentiment. This can help identify important keywords and general sentiment trends.

Machines (SVM), Random Forests, Gradient Boosting, or even deep learning models like Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) or Transformer-based models (e.g., BERT) can be effective at capturing complex relationships and improving performance.

• One possible feature engineering technique that could help improve the signal in this project is to create additional text-based features. For example, you could extract numerical features such as the length of the reviews, the number of words, the presence of specific

• Logistic Regression, as used in the given code, is a good modeling technique for capturing linear relationships between the features and the target variable. However, in NLP tasks like sentiment analysis, more advanced techniques such as Naive Bayes, Support Vector

• One weakness of the model could be its reliance on a linear classifier like logistic regression, which may not capture more complex relationships in the data. To improve the model, you could try using more advanced algorithms such as ensemble methods (Random

• Another potential weakness is the feature representation. While TF-IDF is a popular choice, it may not capture the semantic meaning of the words effectively. Using more advanced word embeddings techniques like Word2Vec, GloVe, or BERT embeddings can provide

• Additionally, it may be beneficial to explore hyperparameter tuning to find the optimal settings for the model. This can involve techniques such as grid search or random search to search over a range of hyperparameter values and find the best combination.

thoughtake

market research. By selecting this problem for the challenge, the participants can demonstrate their ability to develop effective sentiment analysis models using NLP techniques.

• To determine the highest accuracy achieved, you would need to refer to the literature or prior research on sentiment analysis using the IMDb dataset or similar datasets.

• Researchers and practitioners often report their model's accuracy, and you can find benchmark results for sentiment analysis on this dataset.

3. Check the preprocessing steps (Step 4) to ensure that the text data is correctly cleaned, transformed to lowercase, and stopwords are removed.

• Ambiguity: Sentiment can sometimes be ambiguous, especially in cases where the sentiment expressed in the text is mixed or neutral. Interpreting and correctly labeling such instances can be challenging.

• Distribution of sentiments: Visualizing the distribution of positive and negative sentiments in the dataset can provide an overview of the class balance and help understand the dataset's sentiment distribution.

• Review length distribution: Plotting histograms or boxplots of review lengths for positive and negative reviews can reveal insights about the distribution of text lengths and potential differences between sentiments.

keywords or phrases, or the frequency of certain words or n-grams. These additional features could provide the model with more information about the text and potentially capture more nuanced relationships.